Dear Maggie,

Not intending to be imposite but to save a few minutes I have some notes for you in something I mailed Bill this morning. Your letter of 4/9 then arrived and there are some things I want to answer. I wrote Theyer Waldo several weeks ago and he hasnot answered. Until then, may I have his exact words on Schiller? I have some suspicions that bear on it and would like to know as much as possible.

Also, Penn has not shared with me this dire predictions of what may result for people like us from the Garrison exposures". Your own comment following it is provacative, referring as it does to hesty departures.

But the real purpose of this basty letter is to ask you to remind me what it is you seek identification of. Whatever it is I sent you, of course you may use. But I do not now recell. Your sentence is, "may I use the 'memorandum' you sent me from the archives in my pusblished c work and if so how shall it be designated." There are only a few things I'd profer to hold back, and these for special reasons, not pride or greed. I doubt if this is one. But unless I know what it is I connot tall you how to identify it. Perhaps; if identification is difficult, you can send it back and I gold tell whitexix when I see it. If it is the same as the Griffin one of March 12, the identification, seido from what it bears, is from the staff working papers or the internal memoranda, ff that is enough. On that, when you can, I'd like the uncreased Kerox (which is why I'd hoped we could get it Xeroxed in NYC) because it is clearer and the creases show. I gave you the Thermofex to have a copy to keep for yourself when you sent a Kerowed copy to the publisher. "His cest me but 15¢ but the Xeroxing would have cost you 60. I'll send it back, if you'd like.

There do you get those cute plastic paper clius. Therek very good for sirmail.

On Lane, as you have noticed, I do not assault him publicly. When Playboy found out the vile thing he did in his interview they offered me the opportunity, for pay, to lascerste him. I refused. They had a lot of trouble with that piace and spant hours correcting his errors, those they could from the 26 volumes. Now it is bad enough when he steals my staff and gets paid for it, so he has, and steals it just to promote himself, as he has, but when he attributes it to others just to hurt me, that is going to far. Yet I haven't clothered him. Perhaps some day I'll have to. But I do not want to, especially not know. My objects, as I say in WHITEWASH to, is doctrinel. Further, these cheap and entirely unnecessary things he does are, aside from a reflection of character flaws (and I feer we all have these), hurtful to us, to all of use, and to what we all want. Here is my real objection. If you have read the Roberts book, which I am sure was assisted, if not inspired, you'd relize that it is he more even that Epstein who is use against us all,

The others zeroed in on him immediately. Thy do you think Liebeler will debete him and not me. And why did I go out to California when I had no WHITEWASH IIs outsthere to sell, as it turned out at my expense be truse the San Francisco people did not keep their promise to repey my expenses, and then defend him all over: No, this is not a personal thing. Some day Igll tell you the vile personal-commercial things his people have done to me, here and abroad (they may have cost me publication in two foreign countries by the rottenest tricks. In at least one case he was publicly party to at). Marks contributions, aside from his initial and less then completely responsible declarse of Cameld, has been to be the proper mate for a publisher who perfectly blended exactly the right amounts of unscrupulousness and aggressiveness. At this point and for some time he makes end has made no contribution and is a liability. That Roberts has done is by no means exhaustive. I've written Grosset & Dunlap, after he has declined to debate me, saking them to arrange it. Bill can show you the letter. But you should stop and think: can this upenimity of purpose that most of us still have continue with his conduct and thisveries. Is it bickering for the victim to protest: Hou'd hardly expect him to. I do not relish being in the position of the paped women who finds herself cherged as an attractive nuisence. There is going to have to come a time when he has to start behaving like a human being or get what is his obverdue.

Bringuier's store if 107 Decatur. There eppears to be no 1032 Canal.

I had it checked. 117 Camp may be a mistake (117 Decatur is Pena's address)
or it may be a ricus. There is a clothing atoms there.

A long time ago I heard that Liebeler was radical right. At the moment, were I a Democrat in his constituency, I'd like nothing better. It now looks as though I may be be ay during the compaign anyway. But I's sure love him to run and refuse a challenge to debate his record, and I do have his record. He spoke in Las Vogas before a Democrat is group, claiming there was no whitewash, no coverup, the closest he has come to mentioning me that I know of, and claimed he had no claim but truth. To client. I recall only two thing that may be called errors in II, both corrected. Both era his fault. One is the wording of the caption, where the text is right, on the road stripe, the other is the windsheild, that Bill's shorp eye detected, on Willis 5. If he attacks me for those he's in hornet's nest. Note also

The Schiller end Carrison inquiries cay be important. Jones Harris told me Schiller is au ciurent with what is going there as no one else is and before it happens or is announced. I like him less all the time. I spent some time working on the spirt for him, spent besides time and phone calls ( that are tolls for me) \$40.00 for pictures for him, and an still weiting for repsyment.

Hope we get tobether so n. I've an idea for the promotion of your book I'd like to mention. I wish there were time for asking you end Bill to read a carbon of Cawald In New Orleans, which I have just started to read and the leat pages of which Lil is now typing. It will run 500 pages © 275 words per page, without appendix. The appendix can be that long! I think I have a deal. If I do and can get galler, would you be willing and have time to do it fast; Feltrinelli has expressed en interest in Italy. He let Dell Albero have II (it is probably out, but I've not yet seen the contract) and there is Fritish interest. Best

## MRS JOSEPH A.FIELD JR 1115™ BEVERLY DRIVE BEVERLY HILLS CALIFORNIA 90210

April 9, 1967

Dear Harold,

Thank you so much for taking the time and trouble out of your staggeringly busy schedule to think of me and to send me your welcome enclosures.

At this time I am not going to go into the subject of Mark Lane re his present role in the Garrison investigation. I cannot help but feel that you have a legitimate reason for feeling that both your research and your grasp of the New Orleans phase of the case not only pre-dated Lane's but was certainly more extensive and knowledgeable. There is no question in my mind that the work you have done on the case, in every facet, is of the highest calibre and deserves the richest possible praise and recognition and one day this nation may well be made aware of its debt to you. I do not want to be in the position of choosing sides for, while I am in complete sympathy with your feelings, there are too few of us in this tight circle for any of us to engage in intramural bickering, no matter how legitimate the reasons may be. The real fight is just now beginning to take shape because of the Garrison involvement and we need all the unanimity of purpose and strength we can muster in order to stand our ground against what seems to me the inevitable power thrusts against us which are ahead. Before going on to specific questions I do want to say that I am one of your greatest champions (for what that may be worth) and that I feel enormously grateful to you not only for the immeasurable public contribution you have made but for the very unselfish private assistance you have offered may selfish private assistance you have offered me.

And now to answer a couple of your queries, 117 Camp, I believe, is at the Trade Mart, but I'm not certain at all; could 1032 Canal be Bringuier's store? Philip Geraci says he went into a store on Canal Street. I haven't checked this out in any sort of thorough way so I don't really know. As for your question about Liebder, as far as I know, he has been silent but I have heard rumors that he plans to run for Congress — are you ready for that?? Incidentally, Curtis LeMay is rumored to be running for the Senate. It makes one so proud of one's home state

A Section of Parties of the Section of the Section

that I wonder how soon I can get the hell out of here. If Penn's dire predictions of what may result for people like us from the Garrison exposures are at all valid (and they well may be) I won't have to look up schedules, I'll be told to leave. Word from Thayer Waldo in Mexico a few days ago implies that the ubiquitous Mr. Schiller may be an agent of one sort or another which comes as no surprise to me if true and I suspect it is, for I have harbored that suspicion from the very beginning. Lifton has a copy of their "book" and I understand that they have done a pretty thorough job of dragging us through the mire from which they sprang. Although I have not seen it personally because I don't intend to shell out any of my shekels to further fatten the swine, imagine how poverty-stricken they are when they are obliged to use unknown and unimportant me as a "lure". Lifton says that on one of the covers of the book is a provocative statement, "THE TRUTH ABOUT MAGGIE FIELD." As for what Hal Verb had to say about discovering "a new proof of an Oswald-government connection on a radio program," it must be Sylvia's discovery for I know nothing about it. I'm delighted that you have a reliable source in New Orleans. You deserve that for your work in that field. But how horrifying to think that he was nearly killed and is in the hospital. I hope Garrison can not only protect him but himself as well, I can imagine that the New Orleans book must be a real challenge but, knowing you, it will be extremely valuable. I am returning your archives notations on the Rankin-Griffin exchanges of March 12, 1964. Very interesting, indeed, and certainly dome bear out my suspicions on the 1026 North Beckley business. Incidentally, Harold, may I use the "memorandum" you sent me from the archives in my published work and if so how shall it be designated? I see no references for it. Bill has astounded us all with the rapidity and facility of his recovery. He went home yesterday and he's already walked on his own steam. There is a great deal to be thankful for in that happy knowledge.

I have finished my book, for all intents and purposes, having mailed the last segments of it to the publisher on Thursday last but, as you know, there is really no end to this case and I find myself adding more information every day. I hope I don't reach 26 volumes. I guess I've covered about everything I know for the moment. Next weekend husband, daughter and I go to San Francisco for the Spring Mobilization to end the war and later on I'll have to go to New York again. Do keep well and try not to overburden yourself anymore than is absolutely essential. There are too few of us for any one of us to spread himself so thin.

Warm regards, Magne