## Dear 1ant,

## $16 / 04$

In youe $9 / 1$ you say that I was suc ow fue that 1.0 . Wa indine tive Ind frow ricile, the link boing b twoen Oswad and verrio. You any you can't prove it but you susject th mawe with th $54{ }^{4}$ amp Steet ande.

I's aure I've sent you all I've som on such then. Not :uch gets onto papor that is disclos The Theld of icen ar the PiI's nemory holes.

Ty ourlio beltefs along those and other, sintar lines are in wonclior witinc, 544 and other sucis thi yo in Oeveld in "ow riteans.

I've seon nothine to belisve that the is aus change at any bubrecquent date. the PBI hiten to wide. Frithe ofton kovah, via soar buak chamol, what is not gut ob paper.

What is provocative is thoorizing over the ingiration for hitine fron Muh the promassasatiation OEw lderemte link, of his thool days.

What I just ont you is a rucond I got wher appeal of thioe youra aco. it had been witheld, In sure the reoord relects without oven a figmeafor justification.
to Perez: conjecturing a con ection betwoch him and tho kine job is not unreasonabie, thua my inquiry.

Barl has gotton and road the aflidavit and 23 goine throwe tha large stack of exhibita. Be syoke Folday. He in inpressed. ile has interest in the other reconds in sont

 and as a remplt divares in now inpartiai.



 patas conisnume. Beat rardis,

