

Harold This is  
everything I have  
that you don't  
already have. I  
really thought you  
already had these.  
My brain is  
gone! Mary

March 27, 1993

Mary Ferrell  
4406 Holland Avenue  
Dallas, TX 75219

Dear Mary:

How are you and Buck? I hope all is well in Dallas and the roses are still in bloom! Did they last at least awhile. The florist spent a lot of time taking off open petals, so they might have been around a few days.

I want to sincerely apologize for any trouble that I may have caused you. I recently found that the source of all the misinformation about you was just feeding me false leads in order to disrupt and mis-direct my research.

I honestly trusted Mark Crouch and paid him large sums (many thousands of dollars) of money and was devastated to find that he has been feeding me this information about you for over a year now, plus a lot of other false information about the autopsy pictures. In fact, his whole story is in doubt.

Rick and I left very satisfied with your answers and we felt that you were sincere. I'm glad we met with you. It was nice seeing you again after so long and so much pain, suffering, and great achievement in this case. You cleared up a lot of false information about yourself and Buck, Downtown Lincoln/Mercury, etc.

I have a problem with the fact that Harold and others have deliberately made up false stories about us and used this to prevent me from speaking at these meetings. The story that Gary puts out is false. I have never disrupted any meeting. His concept of behavior is one more example of how he lies to himself, especially in fear of someone who knows too much about him and this case, or knows too much about his friends. We know what these people are afraid of: Harold was reporting regularly to the Hunt Oil Company who paid his trips to Dallas in the Sixties, and among other things, Roscoe White's family has given us written statements demonstrating that Shaw participated in creating a major hoax. His attempt to malign them with a slander, saying that they are "disgruntled" because they didn't get a lot of money is clearly at variance with their attitude in the first place about the whole deal, which is documented.

When did you first meet and begin dealing with Paul Rothermel? I know that he knows you from way back, and you and Sue Fitch run through his writings, which I obtained in Boston at the JFK Library. Steve Jaffee also wrote about you (and Sue Fitch) extensively.

Rothermel wrote a memo to Hunt saying that Sue Fitch thought that Harold was an asshole, and I sent this to Harold for his comment. He has caused a vast amount of trouble since. People would have done better to let me alone to do my research, because when people take the kind of action Harold and his gangsters have taken, I respond with my brain and more investigation. When people interfere with my publisher, as has happened repeatedly, I respond with great fury in this research. My publisher, for whom I am money in the bank, gives me yet more contracts. They know when we have stirred something up that people will be interested in reading about. I had no intention on this earth of writing about them until Harold and the others began slandering me with false statements and running down my research and character. Since this brought into question their credibility, I had to question or investigate just who they were, and came up with much more than I bargained for. Remember that, because it is the truth. This is the reaction that their pre-emptive strike caused in me.

We had an obligation to investigate the charges against you, and this was never a personal thing. I wish that you would have done the same when they told you I had somehow disrupted meetings or threatened people, none of which is true.

Now, who attended that dinner together March 5th? Marina, Summers, Rookstool, you, Shaw? I'll write that up in the social notes in my new book.

We would like to take you out to dinner on our next trip. I hope you'll drop me a line and let me know how things are.

Sincerely,



Harrison E. Livingstone

Mary McHughes Ferrell  
4406 Holland Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75219-2133  
(214) 528-0716  
March 31, 1993

Mr. Harrison E. Livingstone  
3025 Abell Avenue  
Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Dear Harrison:

Buck and I both appreciated the letter we received from you yesterday. It seems very sincere but I am again perplexed. We both felt that you were sincere when you left our home that Saturday afternoon. I really believed that we had cleared up any misunderstandings and I again believed you were my friend. However, within hours of your visit, I began receiving calls saying, "Mary, do not trust Harry. He left your house saying he was 'out to get you.' He has told people that he brought you roses and took you to dinner and had you 'eating out of his hand.' I have tried my best not to believe these reports but the calls are continuing to come in.

There are several things in your letter of March 27 that I want to correct or perhaps shed some light on. To begin with, Mark Crouch has only spoken to me twice. He made two brief calls and I do not understand how he would have had enough information to furnish you with anything, false or otherwise.

I have never met Paul Rothermel in my life. When he called me (the only time I have ever spoken to him), I said, "Mr. Rothermel, we've never met but Sue Fitch spoke of you often and fondly." He said, "Yes, she spoke to me about you, too." I am sorry but I cannot be responsible for what you found in the JFK Library or what Steve Jaffe wrote about me. I just know what the truth is. There is no doubt in my mind. I have NEVER met Paul Rothermel.

You mentioned a recent dinner with Summers. I have not seen Tony Summers in four or five years. The last time I saw him was in San Francisco over a 4th of July weekend. Buck and I were out there and we spent some time with Tony at that time. I was not invited to his wedding or to the reception in Connecticut. He has been in Dallas several times but we have not met during those visits. It is my understanding that he did spend some time with Marina recently but he did not call me during that visit.

The only thing I can think is that you and I are both being given misinformation -- I just hope it is not being maliciously done.

You asked about our health. It seems that I am the one who will have to go to a hospital for surgery. The doctor had wanted me to go this week, but that was impossible to arrange.

With best regards,

mailed 4/3

April 3, 1993

Mary Ferrell  
4406 Holland Avenue  
Dallas, TX 75219

Dear Mary:

Thank you for your letter of March 31st. This is certainly a nightmare. As I'm sure you know, we were conducting a major investigation for the past two years in Dallas, and it seems that every effort is being made to disrupt and ruin all that we were doing. Everyone is being played off against each other. It would help me to know *who* told you that I had said all those things after we left your house. I only spoke to a couple of people that day, and we were booked out on the plane the following morning. We did go to the Center and met with Larry Howard, Robert Johnson, etc.

I mentioned to only a couple of people that we had been to see you, and I believe I did call Mark Crouch and give the impression that everything was alright with you and us. I found last winter that he was betraying me and that there was an attempt to frame me in some very unsavory matters in Dallas--totally untrue--and he seemed to be instrumental in that, so I began testing him. His call to you that Monday was a result of a test I had run on him, and you gave us the answer I wanted. Please tell me the date of Mark's other calls to you. Also, if you can gauge who he might be working through--who else he is pointing at you, I need to know. Remember, he is the one who says he has Fox's autopsy pictures. Fox's son denies that his father ever had those pictures.

As for the comments you report that were made about what I allegedly said, of course you should not trust me until we can establish some basis of trust. I promise you that I did not say that I was "out to get" you, but we certainly want to learn more here. Our problem is that it will take awhile before you are believable, just as I may not be believable to you. You perplex me greatly, with your apparent background and your activity in this case. All I knew was that you were participating in a conspiracy against us that week. The comments sound like what I planted on Crouch, with the above adjustments, and which he undoubtedly reported to Groden, who called you. Right? Wrong? We know that Crouch seems to be deliberately distorting events and what I give or tell him. Why? Crouch in fact tried to get first me and then us into a lot of trouble over the past few months. Why?

We are concerned that there is a major hoax with regard to the "Family Jewels." The following Monday after I saw you, Robert Groden began being deposed in his law suit, and

what is surfacing is rather shocking. The attempt was made in Dallas the week we saw you to frame Rick and myself by Crouch and others, evidently in an attempt to help Groden with his suit. It backfired because we have always conducted ourselves with great integrity, I should hope. I would not have the help of veteran police officers if I was dishonest. But I have suffered terribly from slander, and that is why I was so hurt by Shaw and preventing me from speaking anywhere, when I have had such a great achievement. This stuff just escalates and goes round and round.

It is essential that you cooperate 1000% with us now, and fast, because others have been investigating you and the others, and there is a lot of criminal activity, as well as fraud and misrepresentation. Rick was so angry he has made moves for official action. I have been provided with Washington lawyers to do the same. Our words and actions have been deliberately distorted by those who have something to fear from us. I was never investigating you or any of the others until I became such a target.

Is Rookstool running this? Why were you telling him all that was going to come down that week? All that was going to happen to me? They had somehow found out who the witnesses were that we were going to interview and the Baltimore police, whom you faxed, had asked them to report on us. Of course, we could not have known, and instead we got the highest report back to the BCPD. But *somebody* is determined to warp and distort all that I do. I think it is a whole gang. Essential that you tell me the whole truth. We know the terrible thing that Weisberg has done to try to hurt me, and I have paid him a lot of money. I paid Crouch a fortune and he still betrays me. For what? I have devoted all of my royalties to this investigation and helping people.

Another person has written something that might be published. One of the people that came to see you was with *The New York Times*. Please tell us the whole story, and I may be able to protect those who will be hit unfairly, if that is the case. I am sure that you were pointed at us and did all of those things, believing all that you were told about me, but who did it and why? Harold, right? You can't still believe in Lifton or Groden?!

Thanks for answering the questions I put to you. It is essential that you not mention Rothermel's name again now or at any time in the future. That is a network of former FBI agents and they mean business. I am tied in close there. Say nothing more to no-one at all. I am bound to protect my sources as well.

It is absolutely essential that I know what Rookstool's bottom line is. There is going to be hell to pay (legally) for some of the things that happened to us.

You must work fast in this matter.

I sincerely regret your illness and operation. I mean that. I don't wish anyone pain or sickness. God bless,

Sincerely,

*Haw*  
Harrison E. Livingstone

3025 Abell Avenue  
Baltimore, MD 21218

*This was Peter  
Mc Kenna -  
except that the  
NYT says he  
never worked  
for them -*