

ASSASSINATION ARCHIVES AND RESEARCH CENTER

918 F. ST., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004  
(202) 393-1917

August 13, 1985

• Mr. Harold Weisberg  
Old Receiver Road  
Route 12  
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold:

If you can interest Phil Hirschkop, I'll take him by the hand and bring him up to Frederick. He is the kind of lawyer you need.

That's the best offer I can make at the moment.

Hurriedly, but with warm regards to you and Lil, as always

Sincerely,



Bernard Fensterwald, Jr.

BF/is

Dear Bud,

8/13/85

In your 8/13 you say that if I can interest Hirschkop you'll take him by the hand and bring him up to Frederick. (Are you both in good enough shape for that long a walk?) "That's the best offer I can make at the moment." Before suggesting two simple ones in addition, I don't want to forget something seemingly unusual that happened last time I wrote you. I've sent the returned, unopened envelope to you via Jim.

Someone after mailing scrawled through the 1000 in your address and then the envelope was returned for the street to be added. The 1000 could be ~~read~~ read through the pencil and the Wilson Blvd was clear, as was the zip. So, even after someone took liberties with the mailing it could have been delivered.

I've been after Jim for a year or more to send me Hirschkop's address and he promises and then forgets. Would you please?

What kind of approach do you suggest? You know him better than I do. I can write a very brief, simple letter or I can go into some detail.

He was tempted to take over on my suit against the government for helicopter damage to our farm after Williams abandoned us but he was quite frank and honest. He told me that he had been paying his dues for years, which is quite true, and that he had a wealthy client who could and would pay him well, and he was off, that night or the next day, for Texas. Later I learned that the client was the Hunt brothers. (Jimmy Ray told me that Percy Foreman died, by the way.)

From the time of his remarkable success for them I've not seen his name in the papers and I've wondered if he prefers to take it easy, without causes to fight as he did so well.

I suppose you'd considered phoning him for me and decided against it.

To update you, and I've forgotten whether I've told you anything since you were here: I filed a Rule 60(b) motion charging fraud, false swearing and misrepresentation, they filed an Opposition ignoring all I charged, making not even a pro forma denial, misrepresenting only one of my factual allegations, and I replied pointing out that what I charged is undenied, etc. In anticipation of a quick rubberstamp job from Smith I've drafted a Motion to Reconsider but I've heard nothing from him so, given his quick-form-the-hip shooting record I assume he is on vacation. To clarify the above, they ignored all but one of my factual allegations and misrepresented that one. Transparently misrepresented, semantically - and untruthfully. I know I can file suit against them under 60(b) and I believe money damages are possible for the fraud. Which now is factually airtight as well as perjurious, which I understand to mean personal knowledge. Even that, by Phillips et al, is undenied. I doubt if for this kind of suit a better factual record is possible. And, for whatever it may in the end mean, I've sent copies of all pleadings to the DC bar assn. (The lawyers also lied to the tolerant courts and didn't correct their lies, or deny them, after this was pointed out.) I don't know if Phillips is a lawyer.)

Thanks and best to you all,