

Bad Via Jim, who may want to add notes to what he has,
EM in his copies of FBI letters to me

5/9/83

Mauch as I appreciate the copies of the non-Mexico City FBI 105-3702 records that you sent me, and I really do, I also wish you'd have remembered to give me the scoop on them. In your and Mark Allen's interest. Maybe so I could have told you without so much guessing just how the FBI screwed you. Or so it seems.

AsnI've wri ten you, it appears that this disclosure is limited to a non-disclosure, of merely what of the Mexico City file had been disclosed in FBIHQ ~~XXXXXX~~ 1-5-82555.

Fortunately, I've been trying to keep and update a card file on what I have, by number and by subject. So, fortunately before I filed what you sent, I decided to insert new cards so I wouldn't forget and because standing is difficult for me and to do that I had to stand. So, I discovered earlier disclosure, and, apparently, of what you did not get for Mark!

I had to come upstairs and get my legs up, so I didn't bring up to read, I presume again, what the FBI sent me in 12/80, after 10/80 disclosure to another.

What they sent, while Shea was there to keep an eye open, is 311 pages that are NOT in the FBIHQ 105-82555 disclosures. Plus worksheets that include, as I told you was inevitable, references to subfiles.

If you got these records in a lawsuit and you did not file a silly request or make any deal, if you have any kind of decent judge you can now do very much. So, will you please fill me in so I'll know?

Our copier is not working at all well. We are to hear from the serviceman later today on the cost of repairs. He has already recommended against repairs because although our machine appears to be in new condition, thanks to L-11, it actually has greatly exceeded its engineered capacity and is working long after any other known machine of that make (Toshiba) and model is known to have performed. So, I can't now make copies for you if I find anything worthwhile in going over what I discovered on filing. (For future ref, my Drawer 57) We may decide to do as recommended, get a new machine. I shudder at the cost! But it may cost less in the long run. (And I've been thinking of reprinting the first "hitewash, which is almost out of print after 8 editions.)

As soon as I can I'll go over the pages I got in 1980 and mark for copying any I think may interest you (and Mark).

But if you are in court, what a field day you can have!

Best,