8/27/76

Mr. Les Whitten 1401 16 St.HM Wash., D.C. 20036

Dear Les.

SOLE - Bearing

Service Commence

in single

Not until close to 10 last night did the pieces missing from my no longer total recall begin to come back after Harc Sumbonsky's call yesterday morning. That a why I called you last night. If Harc lives in Arlington, he also was not home. I ketp trying with him until about 11, figuring your wife got slough of my name if you were not too late getting in. When I decide to leave bed these days I just lie there and think for about 10 minutes, giving the blood a chance to work a little hardes before stirring. Se about 5 a.m. I spent about ten minutes thinking about this, along the lines those work requires no more than a quotable source generally do not require of them.

Marc must have detected my feeling there were was something wrong with the Ruby-Maria-Rosselli etc story based on that mingle CIA record he'd been given. I urged him to ask CIA if they have any others. Well, they have, and you're faces are covered if you

I read that stuff last April, maybe March. With the charges in my hife I have time for Ittile reading not relevant to what I'm working on currently. I save it for when I travel. I also make nates. So if you have to follow this further and if I can find what I've not been able to file, I'll have notes directing me to the right recerds.

The original source on that crap, unreasonable on the face, was a Britisher named John Wilson Budson, I think with an alias or a Thanking of names. I have I think mables from London but the CIA's checking of the guy and finding him totally undependable, a fabricater. So if your source did not give you all he did what I've been trying to caution you against—he used you. I'm sware of who your source is.

This is what I was thinking about a few minutes ago. And all of you people of good conscience who've ducked this major story except from certain nagles for 13 years are going to be suckered because of your sonsciences.

What Mare is working on, as I told him, had been used and demied with credibility by Raby's family. Hew I recall where. Your serves gave it to Dick Russell, who then phoned no about a different part. He used it in Village Voice. So your piece isn't even new.

On one side this alleged Mafia angle in the resumed Mig Diversion. On the other, of which I am not part, it is the hot gas for the pot-boiling in which they have faith and I regard as utterly unprincipled and doomed to be self-destructive.

I procume the pet-boilers are stoking hard because I had a total of I think five calls on different angles yesterday. I spent some time on one that under other circumstances I would not have because it was Moodward and the Fost. I can trace his kip with a fact fair assumt of confidence and it is probably this same pet-boiling.

Mark has a personal longing for the Doming resolution to be passed. I tried to explain to him why I'm exposed to it, stuange as it may seem when I'm the first to have domanded a Congressional investigation after the Warren "sport. The per-boilers lust for it. They begin with bolief in the unprincipled in pursuit of what they conceive to be principle. This justifies anything and everything to them. They've done some pretty despicable things. The government is using some to venfront me with a very serious problem in my litigation right now. Boy are they feeding it to me! So they have fed all kinds of garbage to the uninformed in the Congress. Bright as these people are their records in this field, from fact to understanding, has been longy. They've also been unserupulous. I won t go through all my reasoning but I think the best chance of defeating the possibilities of a real Congressional investigation in the coming session is to tim pass any resolution in this one with the beckstopping of the potboilers, which I can wreak ad lib and I'm sure officials will with an abundance of records.

I have other concerns in this. I know what my possibilities are in court. I do not know what will happen, only what can. I can break this thing in court. If there were any help for Jim wear, who is assisted on the legal end by only the help I, a non-lawyer, can give him, I'd be fairly certain of success. Anyway, this kind of game-playing with

the press in the end serves the Disinfermation operators. I know what they have to hide.

From what I face in court- what I'm working on right now - I know the risks they'll run.

So there now are two sides trying to menipulate the press.

What chance does truth have?

garage of the second

100 温神

All of this is made comier because nobody in the press wants to do what is necessaryaddress the most basic fact.

From the first I've stayed away from the Ruby angle except where I've bumped into it. My reasoning, again, was simplet with all there was to do why work on that which has beent prospect?

From the first I've been concentrating on the hards evidence. I've got more than enough and I'm not shifting because more than enough seems to be too little.

With the changes of these 13 years even more is now involved. As I use it, anyway.

If there are more changes, like the column abandoning its policies on this subject, if you decide that you would like to risk some really responsible journalism than can be historic, I'll give you all you want and more, all verefutable, all official, all what over all this long and entirely suppaid years I've dug out.

I think I understand what had Drew and "ack hung up on this. They were had through their sincere beliefs and respects for personages. And it is impossible to do a daily

celumn plus other things and become expert on any subject.

I'm not leaning on you but I am again offering. Try me.

There is no way of undoing what people like Verren have done. The best those who respect people like Verren and the good they did the country can hepe for is that truth can lead to compassionate understanding. This is what separated so from the Lance and the Epsteins early one they dusped it all on Verren, Epstein even to defending Moover. Inhave not since then been able to return to the other work I had already begun.

One of the consequences of the failuressof the press on this big story has been the entrenching of a greeting authoritarismics. This, not a shadonit, is what I've been

working on - and easingt.

I've taken this time for several reasons. I'll try to get through by phone after you or fark may be in but the past does not encourage hope I'll get through. And I do not believe any of you want to be used, meaning misused. Or should be.

Bont.