

The Gregory Gang on ABC's "Wood Night, America," 3/6/75 11:30 p.m. to 1 a.m. - Bud is now part of this operation.

Despite starting at 4 a.m./ I stayed up for this show and while from Gregory and Groden it was there best, what Schoenman did made me so uneasy I then could not fall asleep. It was well after 2 a.m. when I did.

Felicoff called and told me that he had- rather when I questioned him told me he had leaned on Robert to do as Jerry had seen me do on the Black Journal show taping (to be aired NYC 3/18, 10 p.m.; DC 3/22, 9 p.m., when I can't be home) and that this time Robert has listened to and followed the advice. True on what was aired. (Jerry says the craziest was edited out and he was there.) There was no serious error in anything Robert said, no basis for response or criticism. This is not to say there won't be the attempt.

What Gregory said can be accepted as fair comment.

But what Schoenman did with misuse and misquotations of documents that I strongly believe came in part from Bud is typical of his unprincipled way and invites destruction on response. I got sick as I saw and heard this, for even the unhesitant Schoenmann was uncertain in talking about them. I realized immediately that a response on this part could easily be made, how easily I could make it, and that with a presentable person or an ostensibly authoritative one doing it, it would succeed.

Confirmation of the prospect was at the end of the show. ABC announced, atypically for anyone on this subject, that on the next show Specter will respond.

While sleepless I thought of this. I now think there has to be a different explanation than the one I made to account for the Dallas story Newhall had read to me earlier, the comment from the assistant US Attorney that he would accept this evidence and that it presented nothing new and nothing to be really considered. I then noted that there was no jurisdiction for the feds in this and if any, not there but where there is investigation of the agencies, Washington.

I deduce that this means Bud has his Bealey Fless Irregulars (and are they ever irregular!) mobilized to use their local and irresponsible media connections to ask this of the feds there. From this and the possibility of connecting Bud with what Schoenmann used I deduce that the Dallas caper will include another Bud effort at self-promotion.

I find support in this thinking from several perhaps meaningless things. Bud failed to return my call after Weberman called me and I called Bud in his own interest, to inform him of what the new nut attack on him is. Now it seems he is working with those same nuts. And Jerry told me that Robert will try to see me Sunday because he will be in Washington over the weekend so he can be at the O'Teole press conference Monday a.m. (On his own, particularly after apparent unemployment for some weeks, Robert can't afford this and the Gregory operation in no sense requires it.) Lesar told me yesterday that Bud is connected still with the O'Teole operation, despite his partners apprehensions.

Craziest above refers to Schoenmann, not Groden.

This was the kind of deal that despite apparent sensational content I expect not to overcome the normal media prejudice. It can feed direct and seemingly unequivocal response, which would not surprise me. It can also feed the Rockefeller whitewash mill.

There seems to be a Gregory-Rivere (host) friendship. If these guys were to persuade him to ask me to appear with Specter, Specter will refuse, which would do some good. There was a hassle inside ABC over this show and Rivere was read to resign, which may also account for Life's willingness to let the film be shown. If Specter did appear, I could ruin him. Which would be better. And in his own area.

The ego, self-concepts, ambitions and lusts plus the insanities precludes the possibility.

Not one of these characters has done any real work and not one can ever use evidence honestly or accurately. The first time close is Robert's severely limited use of Zapruder and Nix, not as good as it could have been but good and fine compared to the past. He failed to rebut the coming explanation of the backward notion, as I did.