Appreciate copy Adams/Edwaris statement. Could have wept when I read it and recalled what I saw aired and read in papers. Haven't ability to write you at length I'd like because while I can sit up some I can't stand the required leg-apread Very long.

Jim told fred y spontaneongir. I'11 your belief Sohwefker has shiftec again. I agree. I wonder whether sissipil ofter a pritae no indtistervith him now. Yesterday i herird he has Lane in hisfamous he had no time for. Smelis like Gaudet, in whom when tane was getting rich and (What wasn't?) I have a notion of what you think of thelevant to what Garrison was up to. taminating the flag os pea-green and hbilemellow of this. There is no ppint in my confact and reason. But I do get sick when I think how the opporturity se have had ainoe Waterfate is boing blown by incompetence and sil iness and ignorance and a couple who add sick arabition and self-seeing. And how each of the flerabers who hes been at all willing to try has his face thrust into a nanure pila.

If there is a basia for assuming Gaudet knew Oswald or lied as he is ropresented in the Fil repori I an unaware of it. Sp, he'11 be brought to Washington to say he was not on a flight to haxioo with uswald and what is equally trua, that Mirida is a rightwing center to which a "red" would never have gone. Gaudet does, as I recall, appear to have cone to Yuentan, Oswald to rex. Cy, and on different days.

Tge way thit is going almost everything that could be of value in a real, competent investictation will have been irretrievably fucked up before there is a chence of asting one started.

I teke this time and write when it is uncomfortable and therez are other tilinge I should be doing because I do not equate youf cr what $I$ do think you want with those with whom you associate. Again I would like to save you from yourself and deter your wasting of your seed upon barren ground. In the end you will bave $b$ emie inefficetive in the arga where you could have been so effective and valuable if not indispensible. I really don't want this to happon to you/us.

I'm sorry you did not give nore thought to the speech I prepered for NYU. It was not intended personally with any I adiressed, wi.th or without name. It's purpose was to try to get people who are not gelf-soekers to think and to auegest that without an end to the childishness futility was the futurs. To this minute it remains correct analysis/prediation.

There are other problems. One is what good will it do if there is atill another whitesash? Belleverurs me, I'll be pert of none. Here what $I$ anh saying is that if any of these juvenilities had worked it would in the end have been counter-productive.

One of the reporters who has a little knowledge of the subject and does listen and sometimes ask last night gavem me a description of the Edwards hearing and the row of you and the passing of hasty notes and the futility of it all. It and his deecription of poor bdwarda are pathetic. And I regard it as not less than indecent to do this to a willing man like Edwards. Worse, there is the queetion of Wembor/ataff minimal competence. If I knew no more than what is in Adams" statement and häd the chance to really examine him I could have tom him apart. I do know more, about all the aspects of Adams' statenent. While they are not central, Edwarde had proper jurisdiction and this could haxwe have come out other than to now it has.

011 this areasy kid stuff coincides with scrious restriction on what I can do. I'te been cold much to long to worry about a little more numbness. I am resolute on having this done coriectly or not at aill. There is nothing I can do to stop all this miserable adventuxism but I can preserve myself and pertsaps sowe of my work in it and whether or not in the end this wili haver aearing this I an determined to do. As I see it, there can be no meaning any other way.

[^0]
[^0]:    叞 the pot bđils. But who is burned? Is tiere to be no end to this self-destructWith sincere regrets,

