12/14/75

Dear Jim.

Because there is no need for a flurry of letters back and forth I'll not be mailing this immediately. I'll write it while it is on my mind and before I'll quote what I'll be

I have always regarded Jimsy Ray's letters as confidential. However, there is a paragraph in one he wrote Pecember 9, delayed in reaching me (opened) until yesterday, I think you should know:

"I forget to mention it above but Bud was opposed to the Playboy interview, apparently under any conditions. I doubt he will change his wind and there may not be any point in talking to the media unless it would in some way assist in winning the trial, anyway, I'll let you all decide that."

I'll explain what is not obvious.

As Bud found the time to prepare for and emercise dicovery the one time he could take a European vacation from which he returned with but three theroughly constitued working days before we had to leave for the first hearing (preliminary, of 10/17), so also did he find it essential that he go to California on business for the period Jim would be preparing our final argument, styled Hestorandum. (And I decaribe it as an excellent job.) In between time, the holiday break during the hearing, he also had to go both during and after the hearing as well as in the crucial days just before it he had other law business that took priority.

On this last trip it was his plan to stop off with his Mashville family for Thankagiving. Jim asked his to do something with the Hooker firm and he did it. Jim did not expect his to see Ray but he did. Nothing wrong with that. But from what Jimmy has written se, aside from this quote the purpose was ballahit and negativism in other areas that are essential in Jimmy's interest. One example: civil suits. These are not only important for the original defense, they provide Jimmy with a way of leading a decent life if he is acquitted in a trial and not subjected to other improprieties. From what Jimmy says Bud did not merely tell him he would not be part of civil suits. He opposed them. Because Jimmy is without funds there would be nothing wrong in Bud's telling him he just can't afford any more pro bone work. It appears to be more than that indicated, just and pretty clearcut. There may be a question of timing on which lawyors might disagree but I have made independent inquiry and there is not on fact.

Now Bud left on this trip pretty cortainly aware of my reaction to his antinely and unnecessary latter on the proposed interview to Jinny. By recollection is that he before leaving included "ashville on returning. This had the effect of not giving Jinny the opportunity of seeking other views or mastering his own thoughts or preparing questions. And neither had a proposal before him on which to decide, to which Bud also saw! leave have other conclusions to you. Not that recent news may not have mooted it anyway, but I also the case.

Jin's work in this has been at extreme sacrifice. It means he has had to let other cases from which there could be return slide. "his includes several collections for me and a solid and potentially remmerative malpractice action. Bud has lost no business. He has received coast-to-coast advertising from "in's work and mine, in fact. However, "in is a meither financially able hor experienced enough to handle any civil actions alone. Bud simply However, one specialist to whom I spoke in Memphis saw it in seven figures. An evaluation also of Bud's advice to "imay. Well see. Best regards.