josar is raystified by this lettor, geos no nown for it, lonows thore in wo






## Dear Jing,

$11 / 26 / 74$
 for wh jeh jou iny find wase ir you so blect in arguint for takine huie's doporition. I won't bo them: in passon, an you can be sure. thow is no criticisu of you in it but the
 Howevar, I'd expect iatise to reise use or dishonest golections and at anst we' 11 again be derending when we never shoult have ioen. At worst it provides juderes lookint for an out with one. italle did not do it for nothine. Hia long oud for hiu dangerous delay has to have besi from fear or for harmasing us.
 hin, hav: thet intin under oath in direct contradiotion on the watarial and confront liasle and the julge with a perjumy situntion. Huis coula not change what he soid from his oarlier recort, partly undar oath. and Foreman wass alrewiy in trouble with a erand jurye

If you are might, that we can atini to it, it ias inportant, as I think you suild. But the only way it can bes wone $i s$ by the responaible cheapakato payine, and how he hetisg to apond erempt on atisuelt or in total wate, which is also on hisueje.
 I do sot angry. il. doess no nork. ile just fucks up what we do. dina baskis on cankra, braze in rint.
 when you aro at fooker's. I thonk he returnod wo it in ikemphis.
 In that case. I huvo no intontion of initiatank them. How ver, it aifht help hius at loast
 to wiat diany is capehle of if the julgen yield to prossures they have to feel. fy days of swothing thinge ove: for ina are oven:




 seg on you and how the bouk cave out. The ann drbor sun, a weonty, perhupa altertative,

 diatribution, it would bo quito exructive. All we onn no say is tat athout icouine






