11/19/74

Yesterday you mailed no the first page and the first 4 grafs of the second page of a letter your attached notes describes as "from an ex-FRI man." Your reason was because this might interest me.

It does. I presume the source is Murtagh. What interests me is the meaningless generality and the absence of specifies he can provide, given the disposition.

Unless there is a brave new informational world in what you out of he's said much mere, and much mere impressively (and less self-servingly) in TV.

The recerd persaudes that there is no purpose to be gained by giving you cautions but this gibborishs prospts the unalecese auggestion again.

There is no substitute for factual knowledge in asking this kind of evaluation. There is factual information he could have supplied instead of some of the misleading generalities, where he is careful to label it as epinion. Some, for example, is what your tratwhile eveny (against when I did also caution you) fucked up several years ago, at an inspiration I can't specify and den't protond to. But because you found it inappropriate to describe what you deemed it necessary to withheld by scissors I have no way of carrying this further and under the circumstances no disposition to take the writing time. However, if you want more and he didn't give it II'm again going under the belief this is Nurtagh - he was part of the (anti) king operation, at least in Atlanta. Perimps it is in earlier corresponded you have deemed it inadvisable to share with me. But there is much more this man known, including about anti-King surveillance.

Another caution: which these gays who get to rethresent age before they develop pange of conscience. They engage in self-justification and at the least from it exaggerate. Example: only the delta disn't know what was going on or what they were part of. They know. Some have discussed it with me. Others quit ever it.

The existent he expresses here, which do not seen to be precisely these I've heard him express in the past, are open to question once he gots beyond general knowledge. His guidance seems to me to be in the least likely direction. And four phoned interruptions in two hours discourages carrying this further. If you'd like to discuss it, fine. I'll take time.

And when he went for Boble crasiness and declined even to acknowledge my specific questions I think I asked him specific questions and I knew I get no acknowledgement and he did take the initiative with Sob.

Are you not concerned that he refers to "the system" but not to the saint? Sincerely,