Dear Bill,

colillrausen

The letter to Bud that I mailed you three hours age crosses another one os his insulting metes, undated but postsarked yesterday. Jim led me to believe that he had left town. However, whether with reason or not a subtlety I think I detect is basis for conjecture if not nonclusions. I therefore write you because you are Bud's friend as well as partner, because you knew that as far back as your 15th Street effice I sought you out to convey my werries about the hurt to Bud and you in what hewas doing, and because my days of aking any more of this or in any way telerating anything I can even imagine to be a journally to Jiany's rights are as of this instant at an end. I do hope that with all I want to do this will be the last of those painful and time-masting effort I'll either feel I have to take or will. But I'm going to lovel with you and I begin with an effor of proof that you would be centent to be the average of what you take to court if not more than this. Uall me any time you want to and I will show you, in documents, more than you will need.

You should also understand that this note from Bud is written without anything I have written having been in his possession or within his knowledge to which he might take offense.

He sent me part of a communication to him from which he had physically cut off the second page at the end of the fourth paragraphs paragraph. It is, in content, another enticement to the kind of exceedingly dangerous if not adtionable thing he went off on with Mes Livingston without consultation with me and I'm pretty sure without consultation with Jim. The Vallage Voice that Bud dien't like, of which, I've just road a copy, spells out, I believe reasonably, that that atuality with "Cliff is conflict of interest

New when after all these years of an entirely one-side arrangement Bud physically removed part of a communication and sent it to me without explanation at all, and when a engeliam idiot would be of lower intelligence than that not to have questions about its content, and doesn't even bether to take the time to explain this flaunting of a lack of trust in me, I hope you can understand that between this, the Cliff stupidity/insanity and other things of which you may not have knowledge but in simplest form have to de with Bud's chisciling petty sums, I responded with some vigor. The sontence to which he may have taken offense is "The record persuades that there is no purpose to be gained by my giving you cautions but this gibberish prompts the unwelcome suggestion again." I then took the time to warm him against getting involved in what could further hurt him. And need I say his client, which could hurt him wereo? He returned my original but if page you want a copy I'll send it.

His note is anbiguous. It may refer to this which I added in a brief note that is en paper that desset lend itself to photosopyings "A new Jamesusericard bill camesteday. It includes a charge of \$12.00 for the period el/discovery trip. I do not and did not remember it so I checked the list I gave Carmon and it is not on it. It is an airline charge. While I don't recall it, I am cortain I have done no personal flying in some years."

Bud stapled both of these theother and attached a hand note: "Dear Hareld, I wen't trouble you in the future. From, alive, in your swn gall."

The truth is that I have not been on an airplane on my ewn since 1968 except for one speech I think in 1971. When I have had to go to New York I've taken the bus and telegrated both the conditions and the layevers. I haven't even been able to afford to drive to altimore. I take the bus in Frederick.

The tenth is that for years I have subsidized Bud. I mean not only in work but in memory I den't have far which I have get be repaid. For the moment, because his note to which I take deep efforme, is attach to a lousy \$12.00 (there are either similar cases in the past.) If he should do what I den't expect and send it to me now, depite the difference in our ages I will go in and make what effort I can to physically stick it up his ass.

At least with me Bud has been with maney what would make a miser look like a profligate. Because I am also wanting you to be aware of a partial record of what may at some point become an issue in which you as well as he get caught up - and I dente for a minute eliminate the possibility that he may in this campaign of giving me effense have not immediately visible purposes, I'll tick off a few illustrations. I inexurred certain expenses on his behalf and with his specific approval. Among these were some phone bills. When I asked him for repayment he literally told me "I den't have the meney." But the highest total on phone bills you can imagine and ask yourself of this could ever since you have known Bud been true.

Earlier, when he was still at the Senate, he asked me to make a trip to New Orleans for his when I was going to San Diego to speak (and remain unpaid for the speeches or the travel). He gave so \$100 in Travellors' checks. Do you for a minute think I could go from

San Diego and live in New Orleans, with the extra fare, for INS \$100?

On that same occasion he said he wanted me to go to New Orleans in early December and work with him for two weeks and would I make the arrangements, except for quarters. I sould have stayed with friends but no, he wanted me to stay in a metal with him. So, I made the arrangements, he never told me he had changed him wind, and I had to go through with these extra expenses on my own. We did go there for another purpose at that time. He did take no out to one good meal. And he did buy me a bettle of Scotch to help me group working all night while he played important. But I was stuck.

One, when you were still on \$\frac{2}{3}\$ 15th St and my bank interest was everque and he wanted to talk to me, I told him I'd have to leave in a few minutes to berrow some sensy from a friend to keep the bank from starting forsclesure. We asked how much. It was under \$400. He asked me for how long. I said several weeks, until serperate checks that were coming to me went through channels and reached me. He then effered to lend this this softry sum, for thich I did not ask him, so that I could epend the time with him and he could get unpaid consultation with me.

When the first of those checks reached me I immediately deposited it and immediately gave that sum in my check to Carmen. He returned it with a deeply effensive letter saying he was not about to join my long list of creditors. There happened then to be and to this day is no such list and not even one person. I have not lived this way and the old friend who had already agreed to lend no the meney sould not have dreamed of hamiliating me thus. Within a matter of days the second check came. I have Rud's letter with the refurned check if you want to see them. And when the second check came I sent him a check in fulli.

The time was short. Not much ever two weeks.

New I have gone on on trips for Bud for which he has never paid me a penny. I can show you accommodations of less then \$10.00 a night that I used. I did not live it up on him. But when he was living it up in Russia when he had the professional obligation to be preparing for the resent hearing and to have been personally involved in the discovery, broke as I am I kited my ancomericand and picked up Jin's the for Bud, from the rental earn in Mashville to the motel in Mouphic. He was in no hurry to repay me and my credit suffered for its I think it is wait to past week that he sent me a thock.

I could go on and on with Bud and money this way. But can you think of a single reason why I should be advancing mency for him, why I should be paying his exposures on the case he has never stopped commercializing?

It is had smouth to have to do his work while he lives it up abread. But advance mency for him when my situation is such that part of this time I have qualified for and, I tell you in confidence, have actually survived on food stampe? And then have him write this menstreus insult when I remind him of a leusy \$12.00 of the money I have put out for him on discovery as best I can recall it and the timing indicates? I have to advance the mency for Jin's rental car, for Jim's metal and related expenses, so But can come back for this nice junket and tell no that if you have to drink too much, vedka is best? My guts were in knote, I was working instead of sleeping, so he could have this nice vacation and so I could propage evandamed but of work for wheth he locked so good in the recent hearing before the national media and on national TV? I note here that I stayed in the background, was never on camera, on nike, and spoke with friends in the proce only sork and then tells me to "drown alive" in my "own gall! Gall like his not even Nixon displayed.

Hemember almost a year age when Jinny fired him and the fat was in The fire? And he was saying the most insume things to the press? I make a trip I can't afferd in my ear that can't be replaced and is ten years eld and has 120,000 miles on it when he was reaping his own whirlwind. Secause I recognized he was on a course of ruin and if I were to say the sun is shining at high noon he'd disagree I asked you to join us in his office. I then suggested that he write out and if agreed to restrict hisself to a simple statement that would make saving him pessible on one hand and his self-destruction less likely on the other. You were there. It was agreed to. But when Jim or I left his effice after he wrote it out we'd come back to his spenting undiluted idvingsten.

Instead of thunks, or the eifer of repayment of my actual expenses in keeping him from his just deserts, he said nothing until the next time we went, when he said he was net so sure he was happy that I'd saved him and kept day as his client. Well, at this point neither as I because rather than the centrived fear I addressed in what I sailed carlier today I am werried about other natters. And I think this may be a clue to why he

is without noed provoking the kind of reaction he knows he can expect.

You will resall that you and he came up here the Sunday after his return from his mise vacation at his client's expenses and Jin's and mine so that we could discuss and agree upon certain stops. We did. He abandened each one without consultation with any other lawyer or me ence you were not present. We'll pay for this cocardice (if not

serse) more than we already have.

915/19

\$ 32. 2

200

....

.....

The next weekend I thrice came upon hin and Idvingston cooking up still another copout. I dan't think he'll minit it and I den't know how much of it Jim reminibers because Jin walked into his ewn reen as seen as the temperature storted to rise. Bob may even tell the truth teasy, but bus wen't. They were, despite all this work I'd done, despite all the discovery (would lack of use be taying with the court?) figuring out he to got around going into effectiveness of counsel, sticking to only the creekedness. When I get tough about this Bud shifted to a variation of hew they would do it still. But not until I warned him of the consequences if this line of defense was abundance. Bob's plaint was "I have to live with these people; the judge has to live with these posple," etc.

What I think is pessible is that Bud wants to get sut but isn't wen enough to just do it, so he is picking fights with me to use as excuses. With Bud's record Ray will be better eff without him, but I've done and intend nothing to this end. it has all been the other way, even when I've been deeply werried. The reason is that Jim and I have thousands of hours of work an this but we neither were bern rich.

If I am right and if sud wants to do this, I think he has cortain obligations to meet. That is paying all the expenses of the probable appeals or until, if possible, other arrangements that will relieve him of responsibility and not cripple Jim and no can be made. His original agreement includes this but he never did a thing about it because it would have denied him personal evacrahip of all the free publicity. But if this is his smeaky purpose, why the hell can't he be men enough to express himself, to make an effort to not jospardize the case and the client by it, or to tell Jim and ne whom we have time to try and work semething else out? Why do it is this unprincipled and masty way?

The maney he has pissed away on what is not related to the case by this time has to be a fertune for me.

Maybo this isn't it, but frankly short of insanity I find any other explanation almost impossible.

If his purpose is to dump me so he can cop out he'd best consider what he knows of me, climinating the single factor of my willingness to accept his endless abuse in silence. While he has been this great ferry masen on all the TV he could get I've out thunsands of unpaid hours in, as has Jin, and I wen't lot him ruin this any more than he has if there is anything I can do about it. I think I'll be resourceful enough and I think you personally and as a member of the firm would do well to give this possibility some consideration. If I have to unload, you'd be well advised to believe I am loaded.

I den't want to, I den't still intend to, but I do want you to know that all this miserable personal conduct and needless above and danger to Atsainx Jimny's rights has get to and and in a way that does not in any way present any further jespardy to them. What I began warming you about back in your 15th Street days is already too much of this.

I can understand that this can be a burden to a law firm. I would hope that any law first can uncoratand that it is a greater burden to those who work without pay or incomes and are content for that lawfirm to got what benefit there may be.

You have other pre some cases? Woll, if this one was too much you should not baye

taken the others end. Mebosy took a club to anyone ever this one, anyway.

Take no literally and if you doubt it ask dim, bud's meeting up and this and dumping the whole lead on Jim and me has seriously hurt me in what Jim believes are solid cases he has had to meglect. This, of course, when he has no income, seems he that he, too, is hurting. I leave entirely to his judgement what he tells you, waiving any rights I may have except as they relate to you personally, because I den't went "in telling anyone elec. We can tell you. One is a case in which there is real proplect of not even having to go to court. He can't even megetiate thanks to Bud. His negotiations were ended by Bud's European vacation as his contribution to unprecedented discovery and preparations for the hearing and for doing the present work Bud is not only copping out on but isn't even prepared to do.

There is snother aspect I ment you to be some of if this isn't resolved in a decent, impresent quite way that does not endanger the edge. But and I have what I regard as a contract, if it is not in ariting. I as prepared to take what steps I

believe may be necessary to enforce it.

It may be the last thing I went, but den't assume it will be the last thing I'll de if I ducide it is necessary. And there is, uncentestedly, enough of it recorded in Various ways to establish the fact.

Despite what I regard as the mest miserable abuse I as prepared to meet my obligations and propared to follow the course that to now I have followed, which includes

nothing in public.

\$24.30

But I am not propored to accept any more abuse of any kind, any were neglect of Jimmy's interested in which I feel I bear a heavy reopensibility and as I have not only been prepared to do but have done, I'll continue to bggleet my personal interests to do what I can. That I had to shanden the book dis went into don't to print se Bud could have a nice vacation and so that I could de his work for him has to be apparent to you. What is not and what you are serfectly velcome to satisfy yourself on is that I also had to abanden work in which, no matter how alight the posmibility, there was interest by two major sublishers. For so this is very roul sacrifico. By willingness to try to make this leng effort successful is with full recognition of more of this personal marfields sacrifice.

I have no way of knowing what bouldes ego or emotions what lies under all of this.

I have conjectured where conjecture seems justified. I think it would be better if there were a real levelling because without it there may be much unnecessary burt.

Since returning from Nemphis three days age I have been able to speak only two half days on writing other work almost completed. I'm not going to take more time for long letters in an effert to explain. If you want explanations, I'll make them by phone, regardless of who listens in, or in parson.

Believe me or not, the situation is dangerous. Believe me or not, I still want to avoid those dangers.

And believe no er net, I'll de whatever I feel I must if I feel I have to meet them.

It is a frightful thing to dump on an inexperienced ever-spried man like Jim the lead he new has to bear. But I think that the cost will be less in the end if you have any doubts if you take a little of your time and his.

FROM THE OFFICES OF

FENSTERWALD & OHLHAUSEN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW 910 SIXTEENTH STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

TELEPHONE (202) 223-1667

I won't trouble you in the future. Drown, alone, in your own gall.

Dear Bud,

11/19/74

A new Bankamericard bill came today. It includes a \$12000 (twelve) wharge for the period of our discovery trip. I do not and did not remember it so I checked the list I gave Carmon and it is not on it. It is an airline charge. While I don't recall it, I am certain I have done no personal flying in some years.

Best,

Mul

Yesterday you mailed me the first page and the first 4 grafs of the second page of a letter your attached notes describes as "from an ex-FBI man." Your reason was because this might interest me.

It does. I presume the source is Murtagh. What interests me is the meaningless generality and the absence of specifics he can provide, given the disposition.

Unless there is a brave new informational world in what you cut of he's said much more, and much more impressively (and less self-servingly) in TV.

The record persaudes that there is no purpose to be gained by giving you cautions but this gibberishs prompts the unwlecome auggestion again.

There is no substitute for factual knowledge in making this kind of evaluation. There is factual information he could have supplied instead of some of the misleading generalities, where he is careful to label it as opinion. Some, for example, is what your tratwhile creny (against whem I did also caution you) fucked up several years ago, at an inspiration I can't specify and don't pretche to. But because you found it inappropriate to describe what you deemed it necessary to withhold by seissors I have no way of carrying this further and under the circumstances no disposition to take the writing time. However, if you want more and he didn't give it *I'm again going under the belief this is Nurtagh - he was part of the (anti) King operation, at least in Atlanta. Perhaps it is in earlier corresponded you have deemed it inadvisable to share with me. But there is much more this man knows, including about anti-King surveillance.

Another caution: watch these guys who get to rethrement age before they develop pangs of conscience. They engage in self-justification and at the least from it exaggerate. Example: only the delts didn't know what was going on or what they were part of. They know. Some have discussed it with me. Others quit over it.

The epinions he expresses here, which do not seem to be precisely those I've heard him express in the past, are open to question once he gets beyond general knowledge. His guidance seems to me to be in the least likely direction. And four phoned interruptions in two hours discourages carrying this further. If you'd like to discuss it, fine. I'll take time.

And when he went for Bobes crazinoss and declined even to acknowledge my specific questions I find no reassurance. I think I asked him specific questions and I know I get no acknowledgement and he did take the initiative with Bob.

Are you not concerned that he refers to "the system" but not to the saint?

Sincerely,

M

Law Office FENSTERWALD & OHLHAU 905 18th STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006





m. Karold Weisberg Rente 8 Frederick Md.