After finishing reading the Mule deposition, which followed our phone conversation, I was troubled both by what we missed and by how we missed it. I did not recall being in on the discussion of approach but I did clearly enough recall discussion that it was all a fulfility on Maile's part because the judge had ruled that depositions could be admitted for impeachment only. While this surprised me because I thought wivil rules minimisty controlled, I did not question this and then agreed that it would be a waste of time, considering all the work to do and probably the cost.

Other factors enter into this so I checked by records. The one time this could have been a discussion involving me was September 12. I was not inwashington the previous week at all. I saw you on the 10th, a day I devoted almost entirely to the book, including spending much of it at Hanny's. You should recall the 12th because from the time I left the orthopsedist's office until I came home I was in sud's office because he had asked my judgment on the newest Non Smith stuff. You, too, wasted a day on that which could not have been at issue in the possing proceedings if ever.

The next day I left the area for two days. From the time-for my return until the 27th I was not even in Washington. I spent the night of the 29th with you and early the next morning we left on discovery.

The only possibility of my having been involved in any discussion on deposing fluie, therefore, is 9/12. This is two days after Bud wrote Haile, on 9/10.

My purpose here is not to reoriminate and you can give bud and Bill copies of this or not as you see fit. But as I told Bud in Memphis, we'd best face the realities and one of them is Jimay. He was really uptight about not deposing Huie and in retrospect he should be. It was a major blunder and I think it had beet be corrected as rapidly as possible. It will now, of course, cost more. But there is basis for it, including incomplete discovery, new information and judicial error in admitting what was outside the judge's own rules. I here assume that the milk-teast leftgauge Bud used is accurate, that Haile's notification was after the last date in the judge's directives.

liaile was cumning in his close of this deposition. Whether or not truthfullyand the judge and others have no way of knowing-he made quite a record of both abdication and dissembling by Bud and you both. He was, I note, careful to can't Rog Livingston.

Each of us works and writes consistent with his personality. Bud's way is what he thinks is politeness but my observation of it would characterize it differently. I wrote a long meso on how to cope with Haile long before this. But Bud ignored it to the point where he didn't acknowledge receipt. Locking back I defy anyone to say I wasn't right, politically and legally. And Bud's letter, correctly - nay - understatedly - described by Haile as "mild" is a classic example of how to entrap yourself, how to slit your own throat and sorew your client, when faced with a corrupt and skilled adversary like Haile to when principle is as strongs as flying to the planets.

Instead of saying fuck you, Henry, the judge has ruled you are too late and you had plenty of time before this Bud says, "It was our understanding that Judge MoRee had required a list of all prospective depositions before the close of August." And instead of saying with some vigor you started this jass in March with Foresan, deposed in April, why the hell didn't you ask for and do Bute then Bud says, really tough alleyest that he is, "and I do not remember any mention of Bude." Tak, Tak.

Further on the late date, with manly vigor, "also, the September 20th date is most inop ortune from our point of view." "Inopportune" is going to decide a judge in a case like this? Why is it so "inopportune?" immensions Especially in light of the short notice [two weeks is not all that short] and the pending appeal in Cincinnati [in which we were not a party]."

What was <u>really</u> "inopportune" is that Bud decided a nice European vacation was more important than his client's urgent needs so he took a nice European vacation. And if he had not been, how prepared was he to depose fluie? How much can he <u>really</u> do besides spell Huis's name?

A. 16. Cal.

Hell, I did all the original work, including laying the basis for what you later did. That you later did it is only because Bud vetoed it when I showed him Huie's 1969 offer to me, including all of Hanes and Foremen and Booker- all even including what you are still looking for. And by the way. I gave Bud the original of this letter to use if he needed it ami I haven't gottem it back and I do want it. I'll bet he really has no real familiarity with what you desire back with - when you had to make that unnecessary trip when you had other urgent things to do only because of Bud's hangups. And, naturally, because you had to do his work, without pay.

You were everly busy. You were better prepared. So you couldn't really depose fluie without other appears of the defense suffering, but Jud couldn't have done it because he hasn't done any real work and knows more about Cliff and Detroit then about the issues that were to be faced in a hearing. "cality, not cope-and-robbers.

Do you of "ill think for a minute that "alle read Bud's 9/10 letter as other than an invitation to take the chance? And did he not get away with 1t? And is this not predisply what I addressed in that time-consuming were on how to cope with Haile before Bud fucked up all over again?

Jesus Christ: Infants learn from touching hot irons: Sud in the Ray cale has a career of lifting them. But with "ay's hands, yours or mine.

Heanwhile, I enticipate these problems, take the time for which I'm not paid to spell them out, get ignored and worse, became more kind of villain for first seeing the problem and them explaining how to cope with it. Can there never be an end to this?

Or to the neglect of May's interest that in such case is the result?

And sending the judge a copy of that baby-stuff letter was merely to tell him that Bud presents no problem and he can adjust sensity to his local political situation.

Is for us) when he blows. I even told you how I did it and gave you the tape. If Bud hasn't listened to it that it no worse a crime than consistency. But Huis boils low and hard and is insome on this subject. If I'd had any notion that this deposition could or would have been used in court except to impeach "wie when he was on the stand I'd have reared in opposition. Sure we could said did get the helpful in it. But under what conditions? Not for impeachment. We wann't a witness, and not in any way you can use until you can write another book and call it an appeal. Right now it presents hasards because Maile has his own intentions, the reason he as deposed "uie ands) so late. We probably know Bud's plans for the junket.

Holther implicitly nor explicitly is this criticism of you. You were too deeply involved in what Bud was supposed to be doing and never han and you lack his in-court and other experience. Of course it is criticism of Bud, who has t done my real work and found this "inopportune" because his vacation meant more than his client and he didn't want to flamt his unpreparedness, for Bude or on the entire case (egosyt for Edm; "Uliff and Annie and the like).

But the real question at this moment in what do we do and what can be the result if we don't? I agree that as soon as possible Hule has to be deposed. But with preparation. But deceived us both by leading us to believe I'd be with you when you deposed Foreman. I'd rather not have to take the time to go to a full deposition, although I'd anticipate an explosion like Foreman's when he accessed. But there are other ways of preparing and how about Bud doing some of the work — and as well as he can when he wants to do well— so you can take care of some of my overdue affairs? Believe me, if Haile gets away with a misuge of the "wie deposition, Jimy is upset to begin with. Sincerely.