Dear Jis.

ু চাইব

Contraction of

1.1.1.1

States.

C. AND .

12/11/74

I had trouble deciding whether to write Bud last night. He is childish where his concept of the importances in political assassinations is concerned. Long time ago it mani fested itself in actions much reflecting with the belief that because he is Bud and because he spent his own money to organize a constitute he owned the subject. Especially because he was so noble of phryose and unself in intent and he came to believe it genuinely, along with a paranoidal belief that the worse it proved itself to be the more he was beset by jealous enemies, of when I'm chief.

Actually, a major part of his problem has two parts: his concept was impracticaly and was an abortion exactly as I told him it would when he asked me to join it and I refused (as a political infant should have known); and because if he didn't know before it and all that has happened since hubituanificationizializationizationizationizationization matrix and all that has happened since hubituanificationizationizationizationizationization it and all that has happened since hubituanificationizationizationizationizationization matrix and that has happened since hubituanificationizationizationizationization matrix and that he is utterly and completely incomputent in the filled and in some aspects of the law. He rements, deeply, his dependence first on me and then on you. And what he has seen that we do that he doesn't. It is a strange twist. A rational man would delight in it. He has acquired a cost-todeast fame for work that was not his and of which he was incompletely often done over his objections - and that does not satisfy him and does, secretly, gall him.

Knowing this and more I was confronted with a problem when I heard from Mc inlyy. Bid rarely reacts rationally when his ego is involved. So, I figured that whatever I told him he'd twist into me threatening him. Thist is not the case. But what is real is that his own orany behavior has brought about a situation I did describe to him. He can believe it or not. And because he wasn't dealing with you and me, people who in order to accomplish a socially-useful purpose have come to take his abuse although in his sickness of mind be twisted it this way and saw someone else getting attention he loves (and there would none for either of us in an interview). He rebelled and made up objections haw that were they valid were premature and having satisfied his pure mind on this score he went orany. He is so used to our taking it he does it automatically. Only this time it wasn't really us.

The realities of life and law and court decisions is that what McKinley has in mind serves Ray's interest in a way it has never been served. For the first time it is possible. It also serves other interests. There is nothing bad in it.

However, this is not my point. But has a simple way out of this and I did not suggest it to him. He can go back to the reasonable position he took to my face, I now think never meaning it, and find out exactly what they have in mind. He can then decide, on that basis only, what he thinks of it. And there is no manner reasonable objection to what I've discussed with Jim. So, unless there is a change in it. I'm not abdicating my own judgement.

This would be hard on his ego. There is nothing I can do about that. Then Jim and Playboy will go absord with their article which will be one of opinion. And if I am asked, for May publication, questions to which I think the time has come for an honest answer, I might decide that indeed the time has come and give a few honest answers.

What troubled as more is just having read the really fine job you did on moboliming decisions on the obligations of a griminal lawyer especially as they relate to investigation. Bud has violated every one of those points. The only reason there was any investigation is because I did it. In no single case did he even send as out on one, unless you call asking me to do even his work and solually drafting the affidavite investigation. And as you know, I elected and followed another course. And you drafted these affidavits. He never allowed we to go off on any investigating. Our original agreement was specific and contrary to this. The only investigating I've been able to dh in the field was in every case incidental to something else and up election, my initiative. When there were specific investigations that clearly had to be made he refused to pay only the actual costs. (Wouldn't that look great is he has to face this questions sometimes!) And you saw that the minute the case was over except for the reading of the transcripts, while he did not again ask, direct or see the meets for further investigating, I went out on it. You saw some of the results, too. Ky point here is not a threat, either. It is again a reality that because a very real threat because of what "ud does and does not do, not because of what

語るい

日本の教授者で

 $\cdot i$

and Lands

いたい ない 一日 いた ななない

At some point he, if he stays, is going to be in exactly the position you handle as affectively with Foreman. He has been in it all along except for me.

And I'm not going to remain in the position in which I have been about noney with which to do the relatively inexpensive investigating that has to be done still. I am never again going to let him be in a position to insult and abuse me about it, either. And I think I'm also going to insist on pay, at the same rate he pays Kan, for his responsibility in a criminal case is no less than that for fatoats in civil cases.

I was troubled by two aspects of this: the reality, that Bud has been guilty of the same offenses you charge so well; and the fact that if I called this to his sick mind he'd read a threat intox it. So, I omitted it. But with a men who allowed himseld to be rational I certainly would not have.

Bown the road there lies these meeds. I think you, too, should be aware that at some point we will have to face them and in the back of your sind have the question "how?" Under what conditions? If he again refuses an absolutely necessary investigatory expense what will we do?

Martin made a juice about seeing bridges to cross that were never crossed. We came to very few. But where we fell because we didn't see the bridge, is now case was it that I hadn't seen the bridge. I see this one and I intend to begin holding Bud to the agreement he made at the outset. We haven't done all this work just to let him fuck it up to indulge his concept of self. And Miney isn't going to be hostage to his esotional needs.

I don't anticipate any need to have anything to do with Bud for the immediate future so I won't. He can do whatever he wants about the Playboy situation. But I also have and will use my freedom of judgement. If Bud doesn't straighten his head out and give good, solid tangible proof of it then he will have made my decisions for me. I have no intention of arguing with his about this or the money he owes me or anything else. He has a already wasted too much time for me.

The sacrifices of the past are over, too. I had no copies of Frame-Up with me when I could have sold them to people who wanted and needed them. I had more of the new book when it served our interest very much for me to have copies for the press. And I stayed off camera when being on would have been legitimate and the natural promotion of the new book also would have been.

For what he did and didn't do? Never again!

I think I carboned you, but if I didn't, prior to hearing from Jim I asked him to send as what Jud asked for, what they have in sind. Here A not convinced that the Jimmy interview is right, proper, necessary and the best approach I'd be pushing Jim to do a story and an angled one that would also be honest.

Sincerely,