

10/20/72 Jim, this is only a reminder, is not for the present papers. The second and third packages of the Hanes material came yesterday. I opened both and skimmed through them before going to bed. Just to get an idea of what was there. The last thing I saw is one we'll have to do some talking about. It is one of the reasons I should have gone to Birmingham. In part it is identical to something I have. I have investigated what I have to the degree I could. You have most of the results, all I could put on paper. I'd prefer that from here on this be between the two of us and be treated with utmost caution. Jimmy sent me that stuff through censorship, pretending it was merely a bunch of junk in which he had no interest, saying maybe I'd like to see it instead of him, in effect, throwing it away. Some pages were missing. What you sent has some pages it didn't. Until I lay them side by side, which is not for present purposes, I can't say more. This can be important for discovery. However, I'll not be prepared to go further with this without some assurances and some reason to believe that this time they will be kept. I have specific reasons, one of which is danger. This also is one area in which Jimmy was not honest with me, where I tripped him up. There has to be a reason, given his record, which stacks remarkably well. I see no folios on these pages. I think you said something about them being numbered. When I get to making notes on them, I'll use some arbitrary means of identification for which later substitution can be made if you have some numbering system. HW

bcc: ref to Hanes' notes on physical evidence. Includes notes based on map N.O. with addresses. I have photos all of them, and with one exception, all of ideal meeting places for one not really familiar with town-straight-line driving from Provincial motel. Exception fits this but is residential neighborhood, where I took most pictures, including of all cars and homes (pretending interest in trees when questioned). Jimmy told me he got them from Russell Thompson. He correctly identified some of the pictures and was too disinterested in others. They were all of a part of N.O. in which he said he had never been "below" Canal t. He said "below". In N.O. that is "uptown". The pages missing in the Hanes file seem to be in a different hand. I also got all the relevant pages of the city directory for the right year, xeroxed, showing all the addresses indicated on the map.