Bud-I think this quote from Wrossman may at some point be useful to you, perhaps in court or pleadings. It reminds me that I think, if bob has time for a definitive study that could be of great value, it would be of what was declassified in the 1970 review that had never been classified to begin with, having been published by the WC; was already available in another file where it was not deemed necessary to withhold; was refused when sought only to be declassified (as Ferrie docs); was never subject to classification, as became obvious when made available; was classified illegally, merely to prevent embarrassment (we have samples); should never have been declassified (i.e., Vallee, Marina's medical records, etc).

This is off the top of my head. I think PH and I should go over the draft it is is done, for me may be able to add illustrative cases from the past, and I think it can be used in every FFI case we may file, and perhaps others on other subjects.

Also cases where the same thing appeared in two forms, the longer proving there was no legitimate basis for withholding the masked part of the shorter (C in NO has case). Cases where the FBI withheld from the WC, as in the cases I am preparing on films.

HW