

5/31/71

Bud, Jim,

The Request for Admissions sounds great. I am all for stretching the law and its interpretation and for each new area we enter.

I presume you intended 2) to meet the Jencks requirement, get around the investigative-files exemption.

The one point at which I have questions is 7) and 8). I think both are unnecessary and may suggest moves to the other side in the future that might work against us. 6) and 9) cover everything, so these are redundant anyway.

Whether or not this succeeds, it seems to make a very good record. It would seem to be a move we should use more often.

On the 5/25 letter from Hayette Murray, that is nat country and she belongs. Thinking a white Thunderbirds nest of a continent away the next morning can be connected with him?

But this reminds me of something else: if you have an authentic copy of Ruby's writing, I'd like it.

What was enclosed with the large envelope from Bossener? From the size and postage, it would seem to be pamphlets of some kind. I think the author is a woman rather than a male homo. My immediate thought was that this was a woman he might have met. Perhaps so, but I now think this less likely - unless there are other letters. But the lack of a return address and the suggestion an address might be provided make me think otherwise. The correlation with the attempted break seems obvious.

After having read his comment on legal matters, I am of the opinion I expressed earlier, that if he can be kept from filing papers on his own and sending them to you for prior approval, it is good to encourage him. Not only does he know some of the fact better than anyone, he also knows the non-fact. One of the possible consequences is that he might say something Foreman told him the significance of which he might not know. I have told you of one such thing. While there may be a danger int having him take Judge Battle's comment to literally, there is also merit in giving him these things to do and in making him think what can well be the case, that he is making positive contributions to his own defense.

If you make formal request for his undelivered mail, be sure to include more than the prisons. I, for example, not knowing the address of the prison, wrote him c/o Canele and asked forwarding. Some may have been sent c/o police or courts. K'd like especially to go over the earlier ones, those tight after his arrest. England might have forwarded some, too. It is always possible that someone he may have met somewhere may have written and some aspect might be important.

It seems that a suit, possibly Spragues, was filed in NYC. If you get a copy, I'd like to see it. The news accounts (TV) make me apprehensive. If this is Sprague's, I warned him rather pointedly in advance that he had no idea what he is talking about. Witout then knowing he planned a suit.

Best regards,