Picele liturn to the

CONFIDENTIAL TO RECIPIENTS- TO BE DISCUSSED WITH NO ONE ELSE

I have just received a copy of a memorandum Bud and his people, probably mostly Bob Smith, prepared and gave to Boggs secretly, having stolen the idea from my earlier correspondence with Boggs, of which I'd given Bud copies. This, the contents and the approach, plus the great factual vulnerability of the memo and the disaster it can bring down upon Boggs' head, and most of all, Bud's persistent violation of my confidence and repeated use of material I mgard (and he agreed is) we as my loterary property, or great value in a book for which I am currently negotiating, bring this unedning untehtical and immoral behavior in an attempt to make something of that CTIA is isn't, never was and con't be, have brought me to a point where I think I may will have to rupture any relations.

Some of this is just plain stupid, inviting the response, should Boggs use it, where were you, what were you doing, when you were a member of the Commission? It clearly relates to what it was his function to do and is not the fault of the FBI.

But I write this immediately upon first and hasty reading of the memo, which is headed in such a way as to not indicate either its purpose or its origin. But, in fact, had told his people not to mention this to me. Aside from the use of what he was not supposed to, what he had promised again not to, and what her has to anticipate this time will reach Anderson for his column, which could not be more immoral or unethical, for he it taking went for my work and he is using what he had assured me he'd never mention again, the others, published, to theirknownledge, by others, and <u>before</u> any of the committee was this, of course, is not to my that any researcher might not have found the same things, in copyrighted work. The studiasness with which such credit is avpided in almost total and and a half are from my published work alone.

The extent to which the is masked, the extent to which I am consciously frozen out wile my work is used for to benefit of Bud and his committee, is illustrated by a small indent, relating to the actrographic analysis, which first appeared in my work, which I and of Hoover in May 1966 for which I filed suit-and there is not even reference to the sui, Here there can be no bubt of a)Bud's knowledge, for it all comes from me, his own indendent references, which he did not check them with me, being erroneous, he knows so his tent, for he is my layer in this suit, and everything he has is in evidence, all the

^B how damaging this an be to me I think you can understand when I tell you that the ott he was supposed tkeep confidential and knew very well he was for we had quite a fight W he used it and h pretended to have returned the material to me, can be pivotal in my by able to contracthat book. I have a meeting on it next week in NYC, submitted a proposin riting a whilback, and recently had phone conversations about it, as a few of you matecall. The tontively-favored proposa; was for a complete package, the first one I've, and could be f great value to me.

I havroided phoningud. Jim is in Baltimore all day. I've asked Bob, without telling hir, to be surto have Jim phone me tonight. I'll discuss this with Jim. If Bud does not thout that rt, from Boggs, from Anderson, from any use, I suggest you be prepared for at may be exemply unpleasant. But one N.O. is too much

ΗW