Pleese return arthout apping

Dear Jin,

This is a personal letter, to you only, not to your constitues. It is prompted by several things you said hast night without really thinking them through, what amounts to acceptance of the salf-justification of others as fact, uncritical acceptance when you are in a position to know better. I say this and what follows not to criticize you but with any entirely idferent motive. The time has come when I will not again even undertake to stop these interminable stupidities, all ismoral and unethical, all part of the sidly effort to make of the constituee what it will never ben, cannot and ought not be, an effort to breaths left into the stillborn.

4/20/71

You say not at share of it, but when Bud first organized his constitute, he had his board of directors is and before seering combership. It is one of the reason no sorious critic would join. I explained this and the other things, all of which proved true, to him, and as with Carrison, with Bud, on this, being right is nort to crisinal, and is the unforgivable. We had such ambition, such mobility of purpose, and all that.

There have always been serious disputes between the sajor critics, and it is not to be unexpected because we are all people of strongly-hold beliefs. But the fact is that on no case has any dispute prevented the closest collaboration, the trusted sharing, the help with eigh others work that now, even after so long a time, seems hard to believe could exist. Quit the contrary of that solfishness horeshit hud and Sob feed you, I have always submitted my work to the crifical comment of others before publication. With Will want, which was completed before I know any others were working in the field (save Mark), after publication or the limited emition, it did it also with that, prior to printing, once I learned of others, and to several mombers of the press (including Ton Wicker and Harrison Salisbury, among those who read it e-riously; Dan Kurzman, who also dia, harry storn, who did not. there say have been others). People of stronglyheld belief often express then strongly, depending on their characters. But let no cite to you the vigor of my dispute with raul noch ever his melon work. That did not in my way ispair our relationship. We stil trust each other, still work cooperatively, and I am cortain (on my part at least) still respect each other. In Faul does not now realize it, I have no doubt he will come to understand that as I was concerned about the impact on what we all, is different ways socks, I was seriously worried about what this, eventually, would have agent to him as a person. There are a few of the nuts who have some resentment at what they regard as a fatherly attitude on my part. It is a natural byproduct of years. I an ald enough to be the father of most, the grandfather of some, and I have jed more experience with life, its forces and ways. I see and understand what these with loss experience often do not, and I as content to rest on the established validity of, if not all, close to it, for in algost als cases it is skready stablished. With such people, this sourches recalts in bitterness and resentment that is not expressed in t ras of that old bastard was right but, in such cases alone, with psychological devices. This is not to say that I have always been right, never make mistakes, but I'll stack this record against any other, for all the erfors I have made, of judgement and of trust. I as as, in time, you will come to realize, rather outgoing and trusting. Your new board member, Mary, shen she was here, did in fact give me hall for trusting so many people, with the result that so such of by stuff disappears. Une since example of which you have knowledge is the John George picture, the only copy I find. In this case 1 still recall clearly what happened to it, a you should. And you also should be aware of its possible value, hence of its disappearance.

Let us explain what may some like a lack of continuity in this. After your call last night, I was at least a deeply upset as I reflected. I had trouble going to alsop, which is quit: rare, was so disturbed that it hads my heart beat irregularly. The physical and medical toll of the years has been heavy, for I also have worked continuously, longer, I believe, than any others, under nore unfavorable conditions, of some of which your are aware. The electional toll has been heavy, and such things as Carrison and no. Bud are worst in this area. At the memory, what I do not have to tell you about the pyblisher contributes. So, I forced upself to stay in bed later than usual, even if without sleeping, and I write this is please because there are the morning things, like showing, and I write this is a disagreeable reliving, so I do it in sports.

What you will cose to understand is that the only reason I am taking time to do this now, to write this at all, is for you, how you may not see it that way, but you may understand better if you understand, by asking yourself, that it can mean nothing for for see, do nothing for we. What other purpose could I by any means serve?

As I said earlier, I an older then all others, and I do have a sort of fatherly interest in these for I do like and do respect and of show, in the and, I do hope for meaningful contributions. You are going to have to live in the future with this part of your life, and the future always beings the inelight of hindslight. It can be unconformable. This, too, is a function of years. I do not think you will be happy if you are purp of searthing that, regardless of your actives or these scatter thing they haven you in retrospect thing was either not goed or not moral and ethical, that did wrong or bad things, that defeated or impetred the energous work of others.

You say displie that this newest innorality and stupidity, for it is both, with "eage, follows my sending Bud copies of ay litters. The obvious is monthing that oftens essayes anay. In retrospect, everyone may think soluthing so obvious he also thought of it denodiately. But I think it not likely that this business was not an effort to take over what - had initiated, such that she all of you with. Not knowing the date Bob started on it, or how, I cennot of course core up with proof. I can ungest that the time interval beens beavily by way. I can also any set that, especially with this alleged fear of my my "wrath", the most obvious an the completery normal thing to have done would have been to acknowledge by 1 other with a single commant to the effect that you-all had had the sense adea independently and were taking your own ap reach to it. This, coming inmediately, woul would have at least addreared that question. But honest people in other areas? have trouble being dishenest. Bishops are not the best pickpockets. Follow to do this and particularly the injunction to secrecy, so that no word of it would get back to me, leave little doubt in my aind set I tell you frankly that untill there is groof that makes it possible to believe your version, I shaply do not and see no basis for it.

There is a record that addresses this perfectly. It was equally obvious that with the broaking of the Army Intelligence story the same thing should have be arred to all of us abo have of fourily. You people ince low, had fond less, knew of it only recently. Others of us had verked on it long long before your condities existed. But your constitues has arrogston, or attempted to arrogate to itself, a thorities, functions and responsibilities it does not have and cannot exercise. So, there is an easy morality in all of this that tend to obscure what stripped of all the solfOjustification becomes no nore than unethical. With the Army stuff there is and can be do doubt. You can check your own files files on this. I wrote the army, sent Bad copies, and Later there suddenly bloossomed ed his original idea, or jobs, to issue that grasy release. How such later you can recall vithout checking your films, for it was not watil Bud's office party that I blundered into it. Then the reaction to by dectristion a that stupidity/vanity was resistance, i was wrathful. But I was also right, and it died, and you ware saved, and all of us were saved hart. Hat 1 not lost my cool, so powerfully, there would have been this great blunder, this great help to the other side, menothing they could have achieved a credibilit by simply shouting it down. Aside from all of this, there we another appect, I have a have a special case against the knay intelligence. But Bud is unwilling to share even that which is the property of others with others. It all belong to his, is his mind, simple because he has the great condition, great only because it is his, great only in the dishoneaty publicity he has created for it.

The up roach to the Arry was my idea alone. Bud, Hob or both stole it. Collectively you could not hancle it, It case out as stupid and the kind of thing that had to do sorse than fail and sorse, help them. But my control point here is normal anothtical. Knowing this, if you can recall the begin ing of this argument, you must recall that I was not wrathful, that I was call, and that I did not get angry until there was not alternative spirosch, for that chorsons stupidity had to be prevented. I think the record here addressed the solutions with formal stupidity had to be prevented. I think the record and they may have the product of rubbing any change of any Congressional collaboration in the future.

On the questions of ethics and merality I remind you ad the May case. For all he spent, for all the these and colleaboration for incompetents he had, had done up with nothing except calle's pair, nothing significant. On the other hand, I did ada a deal with bin. We considered it impossible, but I pulled it off. By terms were clear, explicit and agreed to. So, after I do all this work, after I get the his claimt and give him his case (and he even stuck as for what are for me considérable phone bills-shou i actual for them he artially sold he alter t move the noney!), what weep he do but may it is his comittee that has done the work I did, and that llamondo, who isn't even a competent crock, was his investigator. He took Flamsonds to Europe (yous applanation, that P's publicher paid his expenses, doorn't stack, for " hal no publicher). Nos then I had ay book done, it is pretty obvious that I had no pe could gain involved in my pro-condition that " he the invnetigator on the case. As I have told you cany that, had bee to be protectes from Bud. He is also a muck for any kind of curve or drop, swin ing wildly at what ought be ignored. He had no know to live up to his agreement, to take he with him when he went to see day, after I got his the case, but he had for all sorts of other and uniformly susteful things, libs harops, which is the Buie shoteke, what could have yiolded nothing except to the devotee of the choopet of poor detective faction. The real work to be none, in "amphie in particular, we sower approached, had is incapable of it. My little time there was very productive, as to a degree you know. And the more I succeed, the sore bud resents.

I can give you much sor, but this is such it you into a position to make an independent judger at. One thing I ade: I get from Ray what hobedy class did the one time I saw him. Dies this make bud happy? No. He still doesn't keep as filled in. How much of this do you think 1'll put up with? Or should? You tell me who you know who sould have

Let through all of this time, I we keeping you, watil it became ispossible, you collectively, with the estance of my successes, and you damed will know this. Now show no one t ing you collectively produced that you shared with no. and I'm the collich, I'w the paraooid? Gan you coall this yourself t is?

Bud's judgement is eiserable. Every time by fails to consult with se, and it is his Geo alon that produces it, for whenever he has asked no to go to NC, thether or not I could afford either the dight cost or the time, I did. Joing back to the Hallock case, he dach't consult me about forman, and that her, so I told and as so m as he didg a real divater. I have never said empthing about it, nave in PALLI (and I want the investate return of both of those books), giving all the credit to bud, but again I get his his case after he lost it, propped his wither as with what they dien't soc. I think he did not see, fought Garrison through to his agreement to continue, brought has what action for hed to continue, and then have a very famile, fight with 5 lendmic to get the thing going again. Bud got erwist for this victory, but I did the work. And I've been allent. So, the prestion of sgop in eachly adversed. INA Wiss after the this is the case. The after time I as eilent about these things. I did take yo : and Hill exide one and tell you the consequences unless there was a change, that I couldn't bell the cat and you'd have to. You failed, If I have to, I will not. I may be too have, but you believe as, dim, I'll do it. If I do not, ell the work I've some, at all this great cost, the ruin of our lives and our futures will be a futility. Next I will not accept.

hot no addread Spragae. You ar welcome, as you've long known, to see my filer on his. Iou will find that as I have had to drain syscilf to frustrate the enormous damage he die that could be provented, and I can't begin to describe the cost, in money and physical and emotional drain, that I have spont an enormous arount of painstaking time over the years in trying to put a little common sense into his nowile. Even recently. You know of some. What you do not know it that his wife drow an acide and thanked we at and's prety. dials as and known, she appearance he is nuts about this. I did not lean on his st the party until there was no choice, and what I then did tent he later found so uncompanial is to charge his with responsibility for any coursquences. I also not only told his but proved to his that he knew so coddaened little he ought never open his south. It is this that in retrospect he found so uncongranial, and it is, unfortunately, true. I took his appearance on the Fred Wale show in New York and parsod it lake a contence. There was on it so single factual or nocurate thing he said. In fact, that disester was so great that when I did the Gale snow six works ago, and Fred has long been a friend of wine, Frad accord as afterward is all these guys were nuts. He stilly likes Bud, but the others he down't want to see neals. If a man who knows so little about the case as Fred, but is genuing and concerned, can see this, can you intiging how bad they really wore? Remomber, I told you I had beengent this tape, told you to li ten to it, and you didn't.

There is no chance that is a long lifetime broague, reparaless of the purity of his increations, can begin to used the harm he has done us. How can you expect no to continue to take this? Or to be silent in the faceof it, to not even try to do southing? Or how can you support block to like it when faceof it, to not even try to do southing? Or how sat necessary to try and close that nonetop flapjan before considered escape. It simply that, not is a distinct possibility, whether or not you know it. The great boon is that he didn't tall your flapjan committee, which has the remarkion of an oversize sleve, all he was told. The rest I had to try and keep locked inside that blownup head.

Bick was very uncessiontable after he thought it over. We all have egos, and his was deeply hart. So, he got sore. But if you have the alightest doubt about that happened, I adre you to got his to go over it with so in front of you.

Note is no point incontinuing with the specifics. I could write a book about thes, heav ar that way. I am crying only to open your eyes and sind, for I do not want you to look back in sorrow, to be hart when you seek good, to fail later that you have dealy abuged you wife, been part of hart. But as of today, your consistee has don't about as much good as varison. It is as actile and selflocating as he and is it has come up with been may seen in any way helps forward what we are all supposed to be seeking, it has obtain to you got an easy helps forward what we are all supposed to be seeking, it has been may seen from more as you know, so that as cooperation is concerned, it is you only. obtain to you got an easy all o the Archiver regulations I asked of him. Whilt reminds me so there one day and he was all o these and each the supposed to be seeking, it has to be there one day and he was all o these are each, is shown to work his strings. I (limitly, at and later) justion solutions you don't have", what was it's which if you have then been in COOP for the years. But and solut have ", what was it's which if you have all of for the years. But and's unique genius in that he buys all the shit not already down the heaver, we are and you con't have ", what he buys all the shit not reading time, out a just you a picture of his jeakers in that he buys all the shit not reading time, out a just you a picture of his jeakers, what was it has he had alread of he way all the shit not reading time, out a just you a picture of his jeakers, be that he buys all the shit not reading time, out a just you a picture of his jeakers, what attitude.

That I do really addressing is how he can be so nice a guy in so dany ways and on

this be so corrupt and dishonest word how in the future of will emerge from this whole, not sick, as Garrison is. I do not want that to happen. But I connet long take the time for the effort to prevent it. Nor can I continue to parait the energous easts of my time that he has caused. Come here some day and lat me show you the smount of it reflected in things he asked me to do on paper that I did-to no end, for he forgot as soon as he asked, of he changed his mind, or he didn't read it.

Jim, we have a record to look back upon. I as the non-lawyer, but I think you will agree that substantially, even my legal judgements and recommedations were the right ones. Where they were ignored, we fell. We slight bot have avoided it had they not been

I think you should also make your own estimate of the validity of the procesupations of your consitues, the total involvement in what any mature mind had to tell you was shit. It is incredible that so enormous an effort should have gone into so much that could not possibly be right and could not, were there the chance, be established. When the wild gauge did not come in on their own, you people sontnative beaters out.

If you recall some of our argument at the time you began the computer project, you now, in the current issue, should see the validity of my then argument. What was fed into it was garbage. The basic work was not, so the basic work cannot be retrieved. Had it may value at all, what Bon drafted would not have emerged in that form, if at all.

I address the false calim about lack of index. I gave each one of you, personally, copies of all my works and all the indexes. Those not printed in the book you had for meroxing, and Sprague and Backley also had and duplicated these. Only the first printing of WW is without index. I do not give those away. Besides, the few I have may some day have malue, and I have very few. That edition mold out almost 100%.

Take my word for it, though you will not have this latter in the for it to make a difference in what I do, from now on, to the degree I can influence or control it, things will be different. Again 1 have to face our survivel-mine and that of all of us. If you do not or cannot see it that way, I'm sorry, and I will not take more time to try and open your eyes. The political stapidities in this monymous meso-ack yourself when why it is undeted, and about that childiah subtitle- are a discredit to all of you. Frankly, if you read it, I'm sympthed you did not are its boomerang potential. Right Not for windictiveness, either, for it can be productive. And it is a shakefully dishonest to us. Which brings me to where I now em.

I will not again repeat the total dishonesty of the thing to which objected so strongly and what it can mean to what i now have in the works. It cannot be innocent. First of all, if the year-old produce had been kept, it would not have been there for yourself how, when he is in other wary, the kids of guy he is, on this subject he can in any way, it will not only kill the prospects of carrying that bit further, but it may using it with the rest. If it does, then I have to consider that to which I next turn, one to you. Whether or not I can arrange it, you would be well advised to consider that I will try.

A year a o this uskept promise we made. I will not f ght with dud. It would achieve nothing, he would get his jaw and ignore what he wants to ignore. He probably will not admit it to himself, but mit he has made no to many promises he has not kept. I can't accept promises any more. Now I want more than a promise, and I realise the work this will require. I want the return of corrything I have let any of you have, I want it now, and I want it completely. I want not only what is in your files but whatever copies have been permitted to be made. Regardless of source, too, for fud got such of my work from that other epitome of personal integrity, Garrison. The sole exception is what is simply cannot allow anything like this to be ppen again, and I do not propose to again be subjected to the kind of nervous and emptional straing this has caused. Neither my age nor the present candition of my health permits it.

I an surprised that you, personally, would go for that horsehit that I am so difficult to work with. Your have worked with se. Take two cases: the clothing. ic suit and the spectro. Did we have a single problem with the former? Did you repeatedly not keep your schedules and dates on it, and did I not, in close to complete milence, accept this wasting of my times and limited means? When Bud said that the spectro case would go to the Supreme Court and he would do it, did I not let him do whatever he wanted, even when I disagreed with it? Did we have a single argument? Did I interpose any oserious objections? Was I, in fact, even consulted? Were the long analyses I prepared even consulted? Are the stupid errors so reflective of a lack of even the most basic knowledge of the fact of the assassination in any way justifiable? And the repetition of a serious one when I had onu ht it, but it is in the appeal? "ave I remted and raved about this very serious isposition upon no and my time? In each case I asked that I have time to review these papers for just this purpose, yet in both cases it was made ispossible. The results speak for themselves, as does the record. And the same thing happened with Ray, in and before Memphis. If we are all prome to error, we are all old enough to know that others may catch them. What botter reason for me not having the opportunity, when I have without deviation always been available, always found the time? I suggest you ask yourself is this is no more than accidental, or if it reflects someshing else, an increasible, childish jealousy.

There is not one you who knows enough about the essential fact of any of the causes. How long will it take before you both realize it and act upon it? Is this jealously to dominate everything you all do and what the rest of us can or must live with? It is not fear of my "wrath" that discourages this. And I don't ask for the return of my travel expenses, of the parking fees I can't afford. When I em asked, I am there, and you danned well many it. I cool my heals, waste time, accept other personal abuses, but I am there.

I could rehash more, like bavison and that crany, wrong whole bediness that killed what had potential. I ought not need to at this point. I can do the same thing with ougress, whether or not you know it, and with aspects of Tennospec. I content myself with Santayana: He who does not learn from the past is doomed to relive it.

Sincerely,

Harold Meisberg