

10/12/70

Dear Jim,

I don't recall if we discussed this last time I was in DC or when you were here, but the arrival of the first few pages of proofs reminds me of it.

A month or so ago, Bud promised to make copies of the Ray Foreman-Hanes-Huis contracts and to give me his originals, which are the clearest, for inclusion in the appendix of the book, in facsimile. In this form in particular I think they will be effective.

I think we did speak of this and I asked that they now be sent directly to the publisher. If this has not been done, I would appreciate it, for if the schedule is adhered to, there should be uncorrected proofs of all the text by Friday of this week, when they will have an idea of how many pages there will be for the appendix and when they'll start putting it together.

If this has not yet been done, would you please send them to

Miss Margaret Peet
Outerbridge & Dienstfrey
200 W. 72 St.,
New York, N.Y. 10023

I have obtained a copy of the response to the Nichols suit and will not be needing that. I've begun to go over it. As I expected, it holds some value for us, as does almost every official word. I've made a few notes on what I've studied and will on the rest as I can complete it, which will be soon. Some is, I think, quite relevant to any argument we may make in the pictures/clothing complaint.

Sincerely,