Dear Cery,
Terry celler again lest niett to soy tart ae aod sroken to pred Gele (wholive known for some years) after the shom of viici I'd written earlier. Gale said he'd like to inve re $\varepsilon$ e a guest end th have a copy of thebook. Tuese are taings I'd gssumed, knowing him $8 s$ I do (and nevine changed his position on tas escissinetion). But it wes nice of Jerry to do it, enu whenever be sees what he thinke is on oprortunity, he tries to make use of it.

While we were talking, we dieucssed tue show a bit further. I esked if Bud $h=d$ mede any mention of the srectro suit. He seid, accoraing to Jerry, thot "we"had filied usch a suit. It sems, and this can be wrong, thet tue we was in the context of the gnearances, Bud snd two aembers of his cmmittee, the "we" taus reing tae committee.

Jerry called back a second time to ask of other suits and Bud said he is filing series of them for me, he is not, only beçuse after egreeing to, be changed his mind -ond without consulting me. de presented me with o colite ultimetum, oft = rut ing a to months of wrok and onstly copying.

The roint I sm recoraing uere is asimple one:is Eud off en an ego trip, is he seeking to meice sometaing of his committee with tue work of otaers, with waich it lias no enenection wastsoever:

I suggest tiagt ais not raising on his own tae significant court victory, tue first in tue gssassinations story, is in itself a reflection of nis pur noses.

Wijle + am concern, and vary much oncerned, obout personal damage, I daily become more epprehensive about what can at best become another serious ru pture in the thin ranks and most of all about tie consequences of a junioir-grade adventure in garrisonism. It was strange how, about two or tiree weeka sgo, sucienly, out of a zleer sky, hid zuddonly mused, aloud to Jim and me, how pure Jim's intentions were. He was ouite defensive when I asked him how meny mare rade th tell we reguired. I suggect tuis is a retiection of tue tring wita waich you work, a subconscious realization....

