apy under Majue - computer shoris

5/3/70

Dear Bud.

This morning's Washington Post story on Sprague's gimick and your association, especially financial, fill me with dismay.

There is a phrase of the computer trade I have heard as "Gigo", short for "garbage in, garbage out", a less polite formulation of the concept that the poseputer can out only want can come from what is out in.

Sprague, aside from temperamental discqualifications (which has nothing to do with whether of not he is a very nice and deeply concerned guy, which he is), is so completely lacking in both knowledge and judgement as to be unable to feed the computers. What, then, can emerge except another gimick and, i specifically predict without qualification, to make a record upon which I shall certainly stand, another destruction of our credibility?

Let us take the Frenchy pictures. Now you tell me what he is going to feed about them. The offals about where and when the men were "arrested", when he has nothing but wishful thinking and an ignored but existing photographic disqualification of the entire already-disaster he has wrought with it through Garrison? When he would pay no attention to reason and provided contrivences responding to nothing about this, I dropped it. But there is nothing he has said about this that is even tenable and much that is contrary to what he cites. That he cites is at best gnat-straining. Or, which at his different versions of these pictures will go into the machines? Or his different identifications of the same men, all specific, all unequivocal, all ardently pressed in their day as unquestionable and established toyong doubt, and all but the final version, whatever that may by now be, abandoned sadregarded as though they never existed?

If the machines are to prove the puffs of smoke prove firing (and this, naturally, includes to the elimination of all possibilities other than this), where does he get the knowledge, especially the ballistics knowledge, or what weapons under what conditions and with what ammunition can a) produce such puffs of smoke and b) what alternative explanations there may be?

What in the hell would 50 men at the scene be doing? And did you ever hear of so many men all preserving their silence so completely, remaining undetected—any one of them—so long? What kind of insenity conceives of the need for anything like this army at the scene? Before this story appeared, I was questioned by radio press about this and was able to avoid this aspect on the air by restricting myself to fulsome presse for the fine job Dick has cone of finding the pictures and the importance of this thing slone.

What makes it so much worse is that, unless you have all suddenly acquired basic knowledge you did not have or have added qualified experts with the requsitie knowledge, none of whom are known to me to exist, all of you combined, assuming the improbable, that you card all agree on the fact to be fed the machines, do not have the basic knowledge to feed it. To cite but a single area, on the results of this shooting by how many of the fifty you and/or the machines were firing and from where and with what. To take but a single aspect of this, with what kinds of weapons (the effects of all differing so greatly) and with what kinds, sizes and velocities of bullets, where the variations in results are even greater.

In the interest of your personal indegrity and reputation, which I would like to preserve for you as a friend, as well as in the increasingly-difficult survival problem we face from endless gimicry and constant feilure to eveb explore contrary beliefs, the most painful example of which we have in Garriosn, I make you an offer and a promise. I will take time from what could be put to constructive work to devil's advocate the input and, when this is not done, I will utterly and completely destroy the finel product, too late to save us this added and needless and so awasteful disaster without benefit of computer, with what the computer has not yet bee able to eliminate.

I get even sicker when I think of them useful purposes to which this sum could be put and the minor expenses for the repsyment of which no funds were svailable, like phone calls.

I would be delighted at any possibility of any solution of the crime. But will you please tell me or quote to me what Sprague told you he knows of the crimes itself? Of course, he knows the President was killed and Connely was wounded. But I defy you, individually or collectively, to give me an unequivocal explanation of what Killed Kennedy, to the exclusions of all other possibilities, what wounds he had, to the exclusion of all other possibilities, what inflicted them, to the exclusion of all other possibilities, what inflicted them, to the exclusion of all other possibilities (how and from hat directions and angles), a description of all thexmounds to the exclusion of all other possibilities, and what shots of what kind from what weapons striking where were fired? If you cannot do this, pray tell me what you will feed into the machines?

And this, I hope you can see, if but one aspect.

30,8

If you reed Sprague's "Did These Menn Assessinate Tresident Kennedy", you either did not check it out or did it on the besis of entirely inadequate knolwedge, for it is entirely untenable. Is this the way to feed a computed and get a meaningful output? And this is the best thing Dick has done. How depends be the most elemental espects of his work and raw materials are you probably forgot, but I remind you of our wasted trip to the library of Congress, where he had studied what I turned over to him, that NBC footage. He could not even take an accurate citation to it. Feed this into the computers, and permit your/our reputations to be measured by it?

If you doubt the foregoing is but a small part of what you now face, just ask for more. It is, without doubt, abundant. This is but some of what comes to mind the very moment I read the Post story. I have drop ed what I was doing to get this written so I can give it to Poul to hand you tomorrow, for I would protect you, alas, also from yourself. If I am asked anything further about this, I will continue to fend off anything that can be interpreted as criticism, but it will gall me to do it.

And Christ how I hate to live in such abject poverty, with viable works that cannot be printed, when there is lavish financing eveilable for shit! I have offered so many times, as recently as Skolnick, to consult with you and, where I can, to guide you, for I have been in this longer and more intensively than you and learned what it has been impossible for you to have learned. Surely it is unselfish of me to want to do this or take the time for it. There is no possibility of gain for me. I have not, for example, asked you for the funds you are giving Dick. Why not take the most elemental precautions? Can we not have learned anything from the Garrison fiasco? Or, can we survive perpetuating the same, lemming-like mistakes? Please, Bud, waste my time and savejourself, if not your money. If this thing ever comes out, you will be a laughingstock. And the object of ridicule, pity or both of these who know the fact. Regretfully,

Paul end Gary,

: 1

The enclosed letter to Bud is for the two of you only, for no further distribution, no further domment. I like Bud, and the last thing I want to do is hurt or embarrass him. But this is a stupidity beyond comparison, even edequate description. It is at best an impossibility, a childish futility, and it saeds another Harvest of Garrison thorms. I shudder to think of the possibilities I have not mentioned, a real computer expert taking the time to think it out and then making comment in public. God knows, the government has enough of them, if they do not exist in private corporations which would not be hurt by defending the government, in this case assailing us. I think you will recognize I have been modest in pointing out the built—in disqualifications, as Paul will see better than either of us. Some time ago, by the way, Bud asked eak. I take it he did not. Bud did not indicate anything like this as what he had in mind.

I hope I mid some of my bitterness. This sum, on which I have no cisim, would brint 5,000 copies each of both CoUPs, both PMs, end getting that kind of information around might do some good. Especially would it out pressure on those whose sid we could use. What really hurts is that, while he has been kind and helpful in minor ways, like picking up the tab for parking my cer when we got to the same parking lottingether, there are things where but should have spent money end didn't, with the result I was stuck. When he agreed to be Ray's counsel if I could arrange it, when I had completed COUP, I thereafter, in arranging it, had to pay for Jerry's calls to me. For me it was a considerable sume, \$50.00 or more. When I mentioed this to Bud he poor-mouthed me. The original deal was that I would be the investigator on the case and thus entitleed to what access to Pay might thereby be possible and that I would always be with him if and when he went to see hay. The first time he arranged it without telling me end when I reised the question of our agreement he said there were no funds eveilable and that besides, there was no chance I could get in. This is akin to my spending something like \$1,000, perhaps a bit more, for Garriosn, who also had no funds for repayment, but had no trouble finding shout \$7,000 for Jaffe to go to Supppe for what any self-respecting toilet would have rejected in advence.

Enemies are wasted ucon us. We have friends instead.

If this story ettracts any attention in your areas, I'M appreciate copies.

And the timing-right on top of Skolnick!

Cen we survive out friends?

#