

7/12/69

Dear Bud,

Nice dinner, very worthwhile meeting. These people are not as represented by Garrison, Foxley, Tom or Penn or Jaffe's memos on them. I liked them and I meant the invitation to Mary to come here and get what she wants.

The enclosure ~~xxxxxx~~ self-explanatory, unless you object.

When I spoke to you in a.m. I anticipated I might have medical or dental need to return to DC soon. I do not. Therefore, I will make a special trip to bring you GEM file, Revolution, etc., and get anything Vivian can copy for me of those things in which I expressed interest. I need them as soon as possible for I am at the point of the evidence right now. I feel somewhat better, although when I realized the lateness of the hour I had misgivings about the (uneventful) drive home fresh on the injunction to take it easy and get plenty of rest. We expect company, so I may not get much weekend writing done, but certainly by Monday I will be on the evidence analysis.

Alternative, you and Pat or Vivian or both come here, with swimsuits, whatever you have for me. She can go over those files with which I've finished and take what she wants for copying of my clips, etc., while you scan what I've written by then. I can tell you what I plan for afterwards, for I have it in mind. I agree with your suggestion that Vivian may well know things I need.

But how I wish when I'd asked you to try and get local papers you had told me you would instead of being silent. That would have save me effort and time and I might have learned more.

We should also, sometime, carry forward out brief a.m. conversation about my new contact and its potential. This is but part of the reason I asked Vivian for a copy of every quote from him, or attribution to him. On this there is no rush. After I have seen what she has and finished with what I have it may be more meaningful. By then there should be more correspondence. I also may want you to draw a contract. Can you be considering this and be ready?

I have asked Mac Mathias to check into inordinate Archives delays. He has agreed.

I believe canon 5 deals with the obligations of prosecutors and says it is not convictions but justice. If you have I'd appreciate copy.

If you want to carry "Eerie" analysis forward, I recommend Shirley Orr, who has an active and uninhibited imagination. What a remarkable coincidence! Perhaps I'll ask Jerry about it soon. Anyx opinion?

Best,