

10/3/68

Dear Bud,

Something new makes even more desirable that I have the equipment if it is at all possible. I am including this note for emphasis and to serve as a reminder to you, when we meet again, to have me tell you why, if it slips my mind.

I also think I forgot to tell you that even more persuasive than what Dick showed you on the Oswald rifle picture is something I now have which clearly shows what was eliminated. There is, quite visibly, another person in the original picture.

When Fred Newcomb did the work for me of which Dick has duplicates I gave him the versions of that picture I had. I still have those, still with the Archives' stamp on them, and the file number, and I have, of course, the printed versions. However, from one of my youthful co-workers, high-school detachment, I have one of the uncorrupted versions. There is a woman not called as a witness and not in any official version.

You now know my Stillsborn names. I read the later things. There should also have been a memo of his visit to Jim. I think Jim told me he was there. He seems as dependable as Hall, which is saying little, but there are things that are inadequate. For example, he avoids saying where he worked, although he alluded to his place of work several times. He wrote Jim at just the time WWII in the Dell edition was available in Texas, when others got in touch with me, and refers in his letter to what was published in it alone, no other book and not the Report, so he knew of the False Oswald chapters and had read at least one. He doesn't say this, however. He accurately describes Hall's car but gives a date separated by a season. His account of Hall's laying around may be the right one, but none of the other versions are in accord. There are things about himself he does not say that I believe Hall on, with his acknowledgment of a firearms-conviction. I told you what H told me.

Stoner has offered to join Ray's defense. Best,