

Dear "oger,
I'd like to have the tine + do not have to write you in more detail about your interesting Notes and Reactions to 'fnitial Keading of Finck's 1965 notes but I don't. I used a highlighter as + read it and perhaps some tine we can discuss those parts. In general it is good and it is interesting but I think you also ought say whoa! and pull the reins back a little and thin a little more about sone it. This refers in part to what 1 think is overly conspiratorial, such as suggesting that the body was tampered with after it was turned over th the morticians or that Kellerman lied.

I an not suggesting that all the inconsistencies can be attributed to failed memory, تither. But caution is needed not to take more of a jump than in indicated.

Page 2: the army general was Whhle, comanding general of the Military District of Washington. And before you believe the Report on th rear heud wound read where the Clark panel located it from the A-rays, 4 inches higher. Read also page 7 of the autopsy holograph for the words about this that were removed. And believe me, the Z frames following 334 show the back of the head intact.
23. All JBC's clothing was washed/dry cleaned.
26. There is a case for two separate haad wounds. - have some of this in PM.

General observations 13. I did not know that Fhe clothinf was at bethesda. I buess I should have assumed it but $I$ didn 8 t.

Stairs are a bit more of a problem so I've not checked the basement Burkley file for that second memo but when a student who helps mepart-time cones again I'll see if I have it and have just forgotten it.

Unless the $Y$ cut and related measures were as soon as the k -rays were taken Finck got there after 8:30.
5. Fudging read entry wound the proctocol was rewritten and the original burned \&fter Uswald was killed. And the corrections on the holograph were that evening, Sunday.
9. I go into "mahor flagments" in PM. How many minor? Waen none supposed to be there?
13. See Clark Panel on this. Visible.


