Dear Roger,

## 4/19/89

Now I know which "damage control" you meant. Rather than going over your letter point by point, let me tell you first what I remember about it and how I used it, without any attention at all.

Mark Allen and I, separately, filed FOIA requests for DJ records relating to HSCA. Mark sought only JFK, I asked also for "ing, and more inclusively on both. But then I had further physical reverses and could not pursue it. So, "ark alone filed suit, Jim his lawyer, as from time to time, when Jim thought of it, he'd send me copies. He also sent some when he wanted help. "his was in one of the earlier batches of those releases.

Strange as it may seem, both 'im and Mark missed it. Jim was surprised when he got a memo from me on it.

I put the paperclips on to mark those parts for use in a case in which I was pro se, the field offices cases, combined, where the issue was ticklers. This is part of a tickler because it was in the disclosed ticklers.

In the Allen case, the Congress appeared and blocked the disclosure of Congressional records, which are outside FOIA. The compromise reached is that what originated with the 'ongress would not be disclosed. What originated with the FBI, however, could not be withheld, except under the existing exemptions. So, this cannot be a Congressional records.

It is a tickler. The FBI has enormous ticklers and lies about having them. They are kept by the case agents and at HQ by, among others, case supervisors. I should say that it is part of a tickler alough it could be an independent recordy.

My own belief is that it was prepared at the time the committee was anticipated, not during its active life, because at some point it could and should have become clear that the FBI had little to worry about from the committee.

In the absence of a date I think it might have been prepared for an earlier committee, like Church's.

When I asked myself why would anybody in the FBI get all that kind of stuff together it appeared obvious to me that somebody was preparing to answer and/or defend the record, for which the record had to be known. These are, without exception, things that could embarrass the FBI. And to a remarkable degree they are, at least in the specifics, not included in any disclosed records, something you mentioned. This, of course, means that there are significant records on the JFK assassination that are within my requests and remain withheld. I'd not know that Alex Rosen was as blunt, or that dossigrs had been prepared, not that there was no reason to suspect that. I did know that they had the sick pictures Mark Lane had had taken of himself from reporters to whom they were shown by the FBI.We knew about Hoover's "adversary relations" with the Commission and from a few but not inclusive records that SAs had been told not to volunteer anything. (Hosty was praised for his perjurious performance in which he did not volunteer such things as his destruction of Oswald's note. about this I knew from the Inspector General's phony investigation of it that there was reason to believe that some at HQ knew about it but we did not know that the matter was "handled" the very day Uswald was killed. "eaning handled at FBIHL.) We had some knowledge of the FBI's leaking, by which I mean other than from this prior record of leaking, from Katzenbach's executive session testimony and - knew of the CD1 leak, aside from it being obvious that only the FBI could have leaked it, from a beneficiary whose name I withhold.

In general - think that getting those records would be the most difficult of all FBI FOIA lawsuits and that it could be the most important of all such disclosures.

Now the holes punched at the top: this is true of all FBI records. They use ACCO clips attached to a thin cardboard backing.

Now I'll go over your questions and comments not addressed above.

The notation "Doc 1" at the bottom of the page you refer to 'elsewhere sometimes) is added in FOIA disclosures where there are no file numbers for reference. Ticklers do also include records from main files, of course, and contrary to the FBI stock lying, from files other than those directly involved in any case. If you want to know more about this in the future, remind me of the Long tickler.

You wonde v about the antecedents of the preparation of dossiers on the staff after the deport was out and did the idea come from the White House. While I do not know I believe that it is typical of what could be expected from FBIHQ after even the slightest criticism. And in general, were you and others wonder if Hoover did something, I am ideclined to believe that largely those hotions were bucked upward and required his OK.

I don t know how much of the conniving with angleton on answers to the Commission would be on paper but I'm sure that everything that required an OK would be as well as what could please Hoover or earn Brownie/ points. Most like/what originated with him, too. Now on this particular thing, I'd expect that Sullivan's domestic intelligence division would have records, perhaps in ticker, that did not get into the general files from which retrieval would be too simple and less readily hidden.

I have no way of knowing which critics provided or could provide the kind of smear stuff they were looking for in preparing sex dossiers on the critics. I know of only one where I have any reason to believe that there would be anything at all offbeat, fame. I declined an invitation to see them in "ew rleans, where the Shaw defense had them.

The FBI does have special files. I've told you about how they hide in the 94s and 80s and that they have a number of files on some subjects, like the Commission, although they've dislosed only the "liaison" commission file. It is from such files as well as perhaps in some instances recoldection that the content of this tickler comes. However, I'm not at all sure that most if any were in the central files. Where they'd be indexed.

I've not the energy to start a suit on this. I'd be gold to be calitigants who could do what he could and not be expected to so what I've done in the past. I do think that it would be better, off the top of the head, if there were a group of us, including writers, lawyers, historicans and political scientists. Not relansen, though, or any other ego-trippers like, for an extreme example, Lane. It could make the most remarkable stufy yetof the FBI and a sensational book. A protigeous writer like Sheehan, Halberstam, etc., would be ideal and I'd then have no interest in any rights other than copies. I'd help, of course. Maybe Carl Bernstein. So Hewh, etc.

They never had any real trouble dealing with HSCA. They decided at the outset to withhold all they could and, if successfully pressured, to give it access to as little as possible of what they and already disclosed to me. Take this literally. I have the record and used it in FOIA litigation. The committee got other thingd I had not \*

asked for and the more irrelevant the most anxious the FBI was to help. But it actually planned to withhold what it had already disclosed.

I'l enclose your letter so you will have an a means of knowing what you wrote.

Harly

21 (g. 1. <sup>1</sup>. 1. SERET De Teleral Bureau of Investigation Berli Burren Berrense To The President's Assessmention MELY CALSTYPES ; SANGLEVELLAND OF SLALAS ; BARYET, BALLIVER, BALMORT MARCH ; DO AGARTE TO BALLO 1. Karly talatypes; instructions to field; Neverter 22-25, 1963 2. Joskins was of Nov 24: Borrer says Devald alone did Willing Stingers masses and "samples the public Devald is the real Sting of the second is." 3. Janking ware of More 24: Moover says Orwald along did 3. KOUVER WERE ON HAR hands faste work () and () MOOVER was as nov the basic facts and 60 - 109060 -1490 4. NOTVER MAND ON NOT 29: "hope to have investigation 2:(132 by 30:67.1/6el classify on: OADR 753.4 VISIPPEL UP by BASE VER 1 2. Ketablicking chain of ovidence, bullet to ge Rete B. Los Marvey Corald Rosty sola destruction: bandling by Bureau on How 24 and affact is subsequent days Taterriers of Orvald Associator, Marias Wireter M. Minarnes, 676 REFERRAL DOCUMENT POL/PA \$ 24,326 APTENL A CIVILACT -3666 E.O. # 22 EX := 15/5 2. Noover exercision of basement entry and escietance 1. Basic facts, early man Jack Baby 3. estensive teletypes and reports on organized erime 151 Referred CORRECTIONS, ALSO ROOVER'S ON BRADE contacts in 1959 as P.C.I - for use as informer on criminal element in Dallas . . . . •\* SECT - د به د الم Doc 5-11... • : .

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 2. Structure and Methods of the Durnes Investigation Basic Organization and Jurisdiction 1. Logal basis of THI involvement in probe, state \*\*\* 13 - Far 12 - 43 2. Hoever and Balaont manne A ..... 3. Organization chart -----۰. ويوجعونه ومروا 8. General Investigative Division GID. <u>ء</u>. S. Rosen testimony on "encillary nature" of probe; والمتحافظ والمعادين Lack of mostings; essignment to bank subbary dook 2. Supervisors Senate testimony on physical evidence chain . . . J. Sullivan on lack of communication with Domestic Intelligence - the Division running the probe of 180 4. Boson characterization of TBI "standing with pockate of -12.1 waiting for evidence to drop in" -i.-5. Supervisors testimony on LHO not being included in \$-:• G.I.D. yrabe other then in relation to physical ovide ž ..... 6. Roses didn't know of "Gale Report" which for 1 deficiencies in Dursan coverage of Devald • OTO AL INSTING LT. Div. Si C. Domestic Intelligence Division (.I.). .- .- : خ ( in 2 · • • • 1. 180 background established, prior coverage · 10 · j. 2. Salliven testimony on chaotic process, lack of impr 3. Soviet experts headled Devald investigation 4. Secret disciplining of DID officials who handled 84<sup>0</sup> pro-assassination investigation of Dovald بسره ومغ 5. Incident of Sullivan's people copying GID files 6. Nosty note destruction: Sullivan lack of knowledge 7. Assignment of Buby probe to Civil Rights Division - outside of DID jurisdiction, thus not a part of general Dowald investigation. د و بقير 1.00 . . . . -2 .... ور الحر. •

SECKET) D. Investigation of Potential Cuban Aspects 1. Concellation of orders to contact Cu *;, ,*,, idelind 15-17\_51 120 1. Deletion of to Considera (5-2)(5) 4. Cuben deports and supervisors excluded fro S. Church Counittee findings on nervou Cuban Sou the set 6. E. Investigation of Potential Organized Crime Aspects 1. Boover menos and teletypes on Ruby connection 2. Inby phone records 3. Justice Dept. Interest in grobing 0.C. aspects 4. Chicago interviews with Ruby associates 5. Drans and Staffeld (and Danahy and Stanley) sta on not being consulted 6. Hes of huby as informat on Dallas eriminal al 7. LCH sources evailable at time Bureau Malationship With Marran Countssion A. Ternstion of Warren Countesion 1. Noover opposition: meno and Jenkins me 2. Katsenbach testinony and Sullivan state 3. Early memos - adversary relationship 4. Boover blocking Warren's choice for general con S. Preparation of depoiers on staff and t ..... 'SE •

1. Jasic comps of official relationship

SEPRET

- 2. Barly Sriction over informant allegation (LHD)
- 5. Withholding of Hosty name from Counld notabook
- 6. Hoover instructions to agents not to volunteer infe. to WC

- 5. Destruction of Bosty moto: Suplications
- 6. Withholding of secret "Gale Report" on Durness mistekes in cerlier Opwald probe; disciplining of officials
- 7. Noover instructions ordering that no Bureau official attend earliest WC session, despite Katsenbach request
- 8. Delay is sending information to Countesion regarding Bureau's past nine contacts with Buby
- 9. Apparant withholding of "esvald imposter" memor of 1960-1961

Veferia .

11. Mandling of Ruby polygraph

C. Belated Bureau Actions and Activities

- 1. Proparation of dossiers on WC staff after the Report an
- 2. Hoover's looking of early TBI report (Sullivan statement)

5677 . 24 144

- 3. Hoover views on Communism and Covald (Kronheim letter)
- 4. Sullivan relationship with Angleton: pre-arranging of answers to Coumission questions.
- 5. Secret plan to distribute Gevald-Mermist posters in Bureau plan to discredit Communist Party; prejudicial aspects
- 6. Boover reaction to Marran Report
- 7. Subsequent proparation of sex dossiers on critics of pro
- 8. Questions regarding FBI's continuel plotse that "case will " remain open for all time;" actual designation of it as "closed" is internal Bureau files.