As best I now recall I laid your letter of 3/15 aside in the expectation of hearingniro m Jim about your surprise in your case, from the judge. Then I got tied up in a long affidavit in the field office cases. Now I've attacked the accumulation. With my usual morning furlough from the deck. I still spend mornings walking in a nearby mall. Takes a big hunk out of every day but it is best medicine for me.

If I did not mention a DC district Londvicen decision to you, on first-person affidavits, read it as soon as you can.

On the FRI's filing systems, why not file an FOIA request to get that info while you await whatever eventuates? There also was a study by GAO for the Congress. As best I recall, it concluded that only about 25% of the records get indexed in the general indices.

I'm sure I told you the importance of the 97 files for you in this case and any separate Deloach files, including ticklers and including within that division,

Judges have been accepting incompetent affidavitd and DJ prefers them, but from time to time a judge doesn't. So, your judge is not exceptional and I suppose all of them have varying degrees of apprehension because they all know the kinds of files that can be leaked and who conducts investigation for federal appointees.

Your judge is not exceptional in handling the case on motions. I know of only one FOIA case that went to trial.

Can you file interrogatories anyway? Roes the status of the case permit it? Can you more to expunge nonfirst-person affidavits now, based on Londrigan?

This newest affidavit documents DJ/FHI mendacity and deceptions practicated on a judge they regard - not without cause as their rubber starp. It is as long as Inquest, maybe longer. They lied a lot.

They are trying to misuse that case to work out parmanent suppression of all field office records not provided and all improper withholdings, by a 1/100 Yaughn

I've offered a very generous compromise, simply to get the case off my back. They are insisting on winning, beginning with a phoney <u>Vauctor</u>. So, I'm wasted some more by the bastards. But I've made a record that they and the judge will know they don't really want to go to the appeals court.

Otherwise not much new. We enjoyed a weekend visit with Jerry, who was here with Merk Allen and Kevin Walsh.

Webre glad so many pretty flowers are out - but sorry we can't give them proper attention.

Hope things are going well with you.

Best.

The superior of the second superior of the se

Dear Harold,

By the time this peaches you, I hope that you will have already becomed the copy of Judge Ababers latered surprise which I sent to you. I write this separately because I wanted some additional time to paper.

Sam somewhat chaqued, because the judge obviously docent think too highly of my intelligence or legal skills, otherwise he would have properly clevical Dot's first summary judgment notion in toto to year also for leak of swind alleved me to develop my own care without constraints. Neaher now raised shally the same problems which I put into handwritten sphalegy notes which I placed in my file on this care 2/2 years ago! I didn't pant them out in lable affidavils because I saw no need to to my hand. I knyway, and however haphanasely, we have now arrived on the deviced ground. I have been spaced an expensive and time-consuming effort to close the drove of all other possible Harget components of Dot. Jungo are about to get really serious!

The remaining prime difficulty seems to be the judge's preference to sevelve this lace on motion papers above. I am already thinking in terms of a second set of interiogatories seeking general linformation on the DFBT's file systems of . Anhershing that the FBT well limit its search to a check of the CB general index; I do want to be prepared to have begueved mught not be accessible through that method

