Roger Feinman 142-10 Hoover ave., # 404 Jamaica, NY 11435 Dear Slinet Roger.

Having cleaned up ather things on which I was working I returned to what I'd laid side long ago and intended as a record for history, what some of the others, like Lifton, have done in their commercializing and exploiting of the assassination. I intended to add to it what I wrote long ago about Lifton to replace the stolen analysis I had done when Best Evidence appeared. There is something I wanted to add to that and after a search I cannot find it. I write to ask if you will please provide the copy I'd like. I got it for you. It is Lifton letters on planning to steal the Zapruder film and on doing it. You make reference to it on page 97 of your book I'm so forry did not get published!!!

The student who did the work did it her last days before graduation. Until then she had also been doing the filing for me because of the problems I have with filing. I do not recall where or how I filed it. I've gone over my Lifton files page by page and it is not there. Perhaps there were two subjects that interested me, the other his papier mache trees insanity. In any event, none of this is not there.

The student who did the work did it her last days before graduation. Until then she had also been did not problems I have with filing. I do not recall where or how I filed it. I've gone over my Lifton files page by page and it is not there.

I did learn a little more about Waybright's stealing for Lifton, there being no other explanation of how some other things disappeared from files in which he alone worked other than I and that student. In my <u>Best Evidence</u> file there is a large envelope on which I'd written not to be copied mf or distributed. It is empty. I have no recollection of what could have been in it. If it had been these few pages I now cannot find, that would not have involved Waybright because he was no longer coming here then. I'd a already told him he alone could have stolen my analysis of Nest Evidence and the MDW records. And that is not the file in which I should have placed it.

Last Thanksgiving I learned of other thievery that could have been by Waybright only and by him most likely for Lifton only.

John Newman, of JFK and Viet Nam, was here. He is garking on Oswald, and he had seen and worked in some of the newly—and released records. He told me that the refords disclose CIA interest in Oswald before it opened its 201 file on him. So, I took him to my office, where I have a file drawer plus on Oswald. I told him that some of what I had filed on the possibility Oswald had been an agent would interest him. That drawer, like many since the problems from my physical limitation s was overstuffed. It was that way when I opened it. But those files, about two inches of them, were gone. And the keeper at the back of that drawer was pulled so tightly the drawer still loked overstuffed. Nobody wooks in my office files. I'd permitted Waybright to do it so he could copy whatever he wanted for Livingstone for my Lifton file, which, it happens was in the drawer to the left of

else

the one on Oswald. So, aside from the fact that nobody/has worked in these files there that is the fact that liften was working on an Oswald book. Nobody couldn't have taken those records other than Waybright and the only use he could have had for them was for Lifton. (I told Newman how he could replaced the record that in particular localized he would want and he later phoned me to tell me that he had done that.)

Since then I've found other things missing and again, I can think of nobody other than Waybright who could have taken them. Some are only copies of pictures. Those he could see future value in for himself and would not have been of interest to Lifton.

In searching for these few of Sylvia's pages I found a memo of October 1991 in which I noted that Livingstone had not only confirmed that he knew Waybright was working for Lifton but had someone who had personal knowledge phone me and give me details, including of payments by Eifton.

From time to time I've wondered about your long silence and whether it has to do with my urging caution on those who say the autopsy X-rays are phonies. Since then I've read something by Mantik on that that. I think he and Randy should satisfy themselves that what they had access to was actually the original X-rays and not copies. Because both are at the least competent I think they were allowed to use copies only. The reason is that some of the artifacts that could appear to be real on copies would be detected on the originals. For one thing, blistering from too much heat. That did happen to at least one original X-ray. I believe that no copy would blister on being copied! I do not know, however, that the blister would appear on any copy.

The records I refer to at the beginning are Sylvia's.

I take it that Lifton has not filed suit against you. I've not heard that he has against Hood and doubt he ever intended filing any.

Caroly

Hope all is gping well with you now that bou have joined the Trappists.