11/3/69

Mr. Martin Agronaky STOP-TV News Breadcast House Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Agrongky,

Once upon a decent time it was the custom of hororable reporters and decent papers never to say a man was guilty of a crime until convicted in court by a jury of his peers. This is many of the fine traditions of American journalism, this is no longer true.

Tonight you said Lee Hervey Swald was the lone accessin of resident Wennedy, shorting him with a mail-order rifle from the Texas School Book Seponitory. Break this down as fine as you will, each element is false to such an extent that I believe today even a biased mind will schoolledge core than a reasonable doubt.

The unspetizing Dawald is one of the victims, as are the members of the Dommission which steadfast by refused to conduct an investigation of the murder it was charged with investigating, while its publiciests were loudly pretending that in star-chambers it was. The liberal journalistic friends of Earl arren and the conservative deswtess of Richard Russell have this in common' by their silence and their advications they guarantee the man they respect, like the national honor and integrity, will not soon recover from what they have uncritically accepted or worse, with no personal knowledge, proclaimed.

The purpose of this letter is to request equal time to respond to you inactur to broadcast. I propose to offer you for ciring some of the suppressed evidence showing that taxedd was known to the government not to have been in a position to have committed the crime, that there is no proof that rifle w s used (and the evidence that is alleged to prove it to this day is still suppressed); and what until now has not been sired, proof tested for the first time in court that there was no investigation to see how the crime was con itted or by shom.

Hed you responded to my several earlier efforts to reach you by phone when you were siring the official stories on the assessination, all now orderned pointless, committed only by mute while they serve comprehensible political ends, you sight have had an inkling of the truth. However, were our positions (and knowledge of the facts) switched, were I to receive such a letter as this, I'd have the deepest doubts. Therefore, 4 offer to show you in advance more then enough to satisfy a reasonable man that the foregoing is not exaggerated. More, Iwwill show you in confidence what I wil' not now permit to be aired. I think it will shoke you a bit.

Sincerely.

Harold elaberg

27.444

一次就能