12/14/71 8330-5 09-668

Ar. William Ray, <sup>Ch</sup>iof Complaints and Compliance Division Federal Communications Consission Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Hr. Ray,

After hearing from you pursuant to my correspondence with Conviscioner Nicholas ohnson, I wrote CBS at key fork and at Washington. I sent you copies of the correspondence. I asked of CBS time to present the opposite side of the questions raised by Nerv Griffin and Percy Foresan relating to the subject of political assassinations, the workings of our system of justice, the rights of the upopular and indigant defendent and whether or not justice is available to him and all the ramifications that are involved. I think these are important national questions.

While I was away on a long trip I received the enclosed letter from Mr. Leonard Ackerman, GBS attorney, dated "evember 17. He in effect holds that this is not a "controversial issue." Aside from the obvious refutation of this, it being GBS's policy stand on the subject of political assassimations generally, the Harris poll is an overwhelsing contrary argument. In my personal experience GBS has even consored legitimate news on this general subject and specifically on this specific case.

I am, therefore, writing to ask the help of the Cormission in getting an opertunity to present the side other than that presented by Mr. Foreman (who was very much parti pris, having been the lawyer who brought what happened to pass), the side CSS has steadfastly refused to air.

Your riles should also show that I asked WJZ-TVin Baltimore for the opportunity of presenting this other side, they having aired Jin Bishop on the David Frost Show. I wrote... the Frost Show after this first airing. They never responded. Instead they aired Bishop again. I wrote again and was again unanswered. There has been no response of any kind after a month and a half, so here also I ask the Commission's help. In this case also the subject was the king assassination, the avoidance of a trial for James Earl Ray, etc.

## Sincerely,

Bud-does not a turn-down provide an opportunity for a hearing, meaning an airing? And should I ask <sup>J</sup>immie to have me ask for time to respond in his name, each show having called him the shooter, something he has never admitted and denies? Harold Weisborg

Phr. 5