br. Janes J. Rhoads, Archivist The Astional Archives Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Dr. Rhoads,

Dr. Angel's letter of the 16 claims to be in "reply" to three of mine dated August 20 and one dated August 22. Of these he mays in your name "we have nothing to dd to the statements made in our provious letters" and that you have propared a copy of CN243, an utter meaninglessnes, considering the length of time it has been in my pes easion.

9/18/71

This is perhaps as unabashed an expression of the contempt for the law, the disregard for the obligations imposed upon you by your position and the complete dishonesty of your costody of the importabable archive on the assessmation of a Freedent as you have yot surmoned yourself to express. If I can presently do no more than protent it, that I do, with vigor and sincerity.

what are the subjects on which you "have nothing to add"?

First of all, your asual lack of concern over the destruction of evidence of this heinous orise. I had asked if you were making an investigation to determine how it could have hap ened and to be informed of its result. You have nothing further to add than the nothing my civil action forced your to admits that this evidence has been destroyed?

You, the man who has made so big a deal in every court action in which with such pretended purity of purpose you claimed that secens had to be denied to this evidence only to preserve it? God save the country from such "preservation" as yours!

Have you no shame? He self-respect? You go to court, com it perjury there -and I dare you to sue me for shander - and his to judges to say all this evidence has to be defied those who may use it to prove the government hied to the people only so that it can be held safely and all the time it is no honger safe? In what I have at last forced you to admit,  $\mathcal{A}$ no longer exists? Heed I remind you that your mobility of purpose and purity of souls are not expressed in this one disappearance of evidence, that what can't possible be replaced no longer exists? And you so abuse citizens and courts and judges with that smactimenious falsity that you swear to? And you do not propose to investigate to learn how this can or did map en?

while the very thought of having to sue by government for access to public information, most of all public evidence in the investigation of how a President was murdered is mickening to me as 1 hope it would be to all citizons, I certainly welcome this evidence of your sincerity is making these spurious claims. I have cited to you sworn testimony before a Committee of the Congress to the effect that Executive Order 10501 granted no such anatherity as you allege to the Marren Commission. Net this is the basis for the withholding of the Warren Commission's executive sessions and you have nothing further to say? Cannot you cite me a law that vests this authority in the Commission so that you are not perpetuating an illegality? Is it too much for a concurred citizen to ask this or expect this, of you personally or of his government? I reminded you will not address this? You are arrogant enough to say no more than that you will say nothing, leaving it, I can't take a club to you to make you discharge your obligations (for midde I you do.

I miked you to meet the obligation of the contract the legality of which you allege, that to deny me what you have denied me you prove "undignified" and "sensational" use by me of what I meek. You have no consent. This is because you knot as I did that you alleged falsely in making this claim, and without making the claim you could not deny me what I sought and seek. You have no comment when out of one mide of your mouth you said the contract prevented you from taking any pictures for researchers and out of the other, when my action compelled it of you, you said you would take those for which I maked?

In the past, when I have asked for all your relevant regulations, you have lied is saying you provided them when you didn't. When I got the most relevant one by accident and asked for a copy of that through another you lied to him and said it didn't exist. After my civil action you revised that and sent no the revised copy only (not relevant to anything prior to your revision), and to this day you have not provided me with the regulation special interpretations of your regulations you first tall me to hire a lawyer and then regulations of whatever period during your custody of these records, all interpretations, and if I do not get them promptly I will consult the Senate and see if I misread its

I asked for your"assurance that all of what you have withheld under this citation is covered by this", that is, 5 U.S.C. 552 (b) (6), and you do not provide this assurance? If you cannot, then I ask for what you are withhelding. You can't have it both ways.

You withheld under  $(y_b)(7)$  with sespect to the killing of Oswald and the assassination of the freshdent, fail to give any federal law that conceivably could be involved or invoked, do this for the period of the Commission, which is now past, the Commission that had no law-enforcement purposes, and refuse any explanation or comment, and when I and what the law-enforcement purposes, and refuse any explanation or comment, and when I and what the law requires of you, a citation, you fob me off with this newest of your endless offensive betters, this latest of your deliberate and illegal suppressions. The law does place the barden of proof on you, I have made proper requests, I submit I am entitled to meaningful and respectful answers and I again ask for precisely this without your wasting any more of my time or placing more needless obstacles in my path, another ill egality.

If I have not by this time catablished in your mind the seriousness of up purposes or my intermination to see to it that the will of the Congress is observed or that you and the rest of the government abide by the law, please tell me what else is required, for the last thing I want to do is drag you into court so you can again perjure yourself, again corrupt the courts, again debase your honorable and important functions. I ask for all the answers you have refused to give or specific and established reason in each case for refusing it, or that for which I have asked and herewith ask again.

> Sincerely, Harold Meisberg

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

> National Archives and Records Service Washington, D.C. 20408



September 16, 1971

Mr. Harold Weisberg Coq d'Or Press Route 8 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in reply to your three letters dated August 20, 1971, and one dated August 22, 1971. We have nothing to add to the statements made in our previous letters regarding these matters. We have prepared the photograph of Commission Exhibit 843 that you ordered.

Sincerely,

HERBERT E. ANGEL Acting Archivist of the United States

Keep Freedom in Your Future With U.S. Savings Bonds