Pt-Ruffee

JFK and King assassination and PA records appeals Harold Weisberg 1/13/80
Informants
Robert Kaffke
Withholding of what is disclosed in Warren Commission records

Whole the records to which I refer below are of the JFK assassination investigation the principles apply to the King case and all relates to a PA appeal on which you have not acted.

There is no question about the right and need to protect authortically confidential informants, those who provide real information as distinguished from their own fabrications for their own purposes. You will, I am confident, recall that I have notified you and the FaI of what I believed to be inadventent disclosures of informant identification so that reading room copies could be replaced with those not making identification dicalosure.

Serial is one of many 105-82555 records relating to Robert Kaffke, an informant of the San \*rancisco police and the FMI. My earlier appeals relating to him include records from other files. With regard to this Serial I also appeal the withholdings by excision.

Although I perceived that Kafike was an informant before locating FBI records in which it discloses this fact and I believe I then appealed, many records do include his identification, by name and role whilemothers add confusion and can cause harm to others because of the withholdings of what the FBI itself disclosed.

FRIENC's reason for disclosing Kaffke's identification is stated in Serial 2842:
"Bureau questions the veracity...and in light of Kaffke's expressions of sympathy
for GANTAN Castro Bureau does not desire to protect his identity (obliteration):

FRIMQ was correct in questioning Kaffke's verscity when he reported that one Armold Kessler had dised with Oswald in Mexico City long after Oswald had left there. The resulting investigation, which extended to South America, was quite costly and although this fairy take had not been confirmed, it had been reported to the Warren Cossission, which was influenced by it.

halfers,

This parallels many situations in the King investigation, a number of which I have appealed. There the FaI made selective disclosure of the identification of informants, limiting it to those it wanted the House assassins committee to be deceived and misled by. This is what happened and now the Department's newest self-investigation is limited to this hokum. Naturally enough there will be a self-awarded clean bill of health.

In the King case the FBI also engaged in tricky filing, eliminating from its MUMKIN file those records most embarrassing to it, like its intrusions into the legal defenses of the Ray brothers through informants of whom two are known, Patterson and Geppert. Here it gets even trickeries, with the Department's election of in camera inspection of withholdings and the Department's selection of the records to be examined by the Court, which lacks knowledge of such matters as what has been disclosed, like the identifications of informants. The potential for deceiving and misleading the Court is increased by your failure to act on my appeals of long ago.

With regard to Kaffke, I filed a På appeal in which I asked for a search of all relevant files to see if he informed on me, firectly or indirectly, from his having been present at a study group I was asked to address and from his association with one who was then a friend of mine. I did file P A requests with HQ and all field offices. And while helding me to be a public person the FEI did make selective disclosure of false and defematory records relating to me in both King and JPK cases.

If the FM can withhold or disclose on the basks of its liking or dislike of views or its opinion of veracity, I do not believe it can apply this standard inconsistently, arbitrarily and capriciously in historical cases.