

11-2 attachment of papers, which refer to
maps & reports of the
mapping on p. 2. Clearly pertaining
to me!

After 11-24 This study clearly
pertaining to me but I marked
the distribution of the species, as
was done, as was the under-
standing from study possible
because I have to work. I.e. was
Did no business with DPT/PT
pp 9-10 - in general use my own
membership of 10 given by the
study's production and updates
The report himself is not
upon date

11-2 Details of what pertaining during the
my night's work. Are they?

We see both, I'm afraid

" I hope the "I'm feeling out
is not "I'm able to work in am-
minds" "I'm written in margin

11-3-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-4-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-5-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-6-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-7-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-8-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-9-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-10-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-11-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-12-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-13-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-14-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-15-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-16-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-17-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-18-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-19-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-20-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-21-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-22-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

11-23-1907 213 H. height. Does me in fit
I believe I was in fit

13-4

Bottom 12 begins in - can, un-
 view - Blue not in checked. He
 me supplied by Johnson, Perry
 that we go to the ones out front
 I "secretly" got in track with "him"
 He's the primary we go a general
 he! and J10, "their" speaks a
 frog in the letters "see, secret" with
 proven "hand" about

P16 Blacky RCB Bottom 16 checked
 out but "N.B." is not

P17 - Looking out right wings
 is replaced in. No one. R. P.
 R. P. is the "underneath"
 factor "in L.H." 100% of this
 factor "in L.H." 100% of this

13-5

It is impossible for me to know
 what power says & know "I" not
 would be number 1 very common
 with whom you get "secret" -
 on anyone who has seen know me.
 B. like it is known that he
 identifies a "secret" not right -
 un-give

P12 This becomes clear in the
 replacement of my B.S. and
 at top of 12. On R.H. "his"
 also is a very "secret" - two
 names of last and. This + generally
 in drawing of questions about "I"
 really work; at top

13-6 1911: When was in the course
What was was report this is
"My dear brother"
20 - This was when I found in the
4/14/17-5/12/17 - what did I get -
"Confession", "In Fiat" & 1 other
Dg. publication
"I got" "not about piece"
"Mach's" signing, "not about piece"
"keep" "no investigation undertaken"
By them "not under the
same name" in in Paris
"acknowledge this" "see closed"
12/18/16 "Mach's" signing under the
beginning by whom investigation had
been "not under"

13-5 Back to Berlin University
Also referred to as the official
investigation
No references with M. A. Popov
17-17 - M. A. Popov had very recently
about how I got these by the
"not work"
Popov had not the word "work"
clearly "with me but he is otherwise
have had that. Even em/ &
minutes of the Union
The way it is in Berlin from
him then file by their investigation
without me from the beginning
18-20/17, 18/17 investigation

#17 3/31/47 ~~TE~~ Hoffmann's Files

DR at school top secret clearance one

2/11/47 ~~Alman's~~ files CST 11/1/47
attached 3/1/47 - memo to be updated

"FBI (Amsterg?) reports to Dept. of
found + contacts of persons active
in program" - Dan "Anthony" van
Loren #11 CST reports to me in "

(Also this memo they visit
with me w/rd Ben? see Dr

CST reports in (dated?)

#19 3/12/47 Civil Service investigation "in
classifying information"

#20 1/16/47 investigation requested by
him on the file "Mr. Fuchs" memo sent
down dated 12/19/47 is of use

13-7 20-1 Reference of "pro-Edna case
a New Deal" ...

21 - My 20-1 file still open at ~~1/15~~ in 1947
New Deal - Communist.

21 - When it is given I see Hill's letter
in which he writes to Charlene regarding

22 - His FBI report's protest - because
probably "produced" in with

my copy they would give me
two info "on February 20"

which in South America.
Could this report policy is made!

Dec 15 1947 SAC 4/1/47 regarding

CON Dr Mc
#18 4/15/47 letter to Herholt regarding
Washington of 7/7 when I am 7th

- 26. 3 card 12/5/46, 12/5/46, 12/6/46 24
 stamped "FBI - Mr. W. H. FULTON"
 attack wanted memo from West
 in on card "B. We habit take Dec 4, 46
 under his name "Disrupting F.P.R."
 27. 1 card 12/4/46. Apparently an
 apothecary who wrote his name as
 John J. Duggan, 1 card 1 card
 features under on will papers, about 1
 card not my. Part on phds.
 28. 12/2/46 Head notes, various 2 cards
 WFO, "last" back edge 4th to applicant
 29. 11/3/46 Con. In memo to Sgt. Fisher
 on me, also complete copy, investigation
 30. 12/3/46
 31. 2 card paper, 1 memo on 1st card
 11/16/45 11/17/45 ND / Ref. 11/17/45

- about 12/11/46 "invest" and "questioning"
 nothing on your no records
 21. 12/10/46 State of Phila
 22. 12/7/46 Con. Bannor memo to FC Amberg
 re me, Franking agency member. Re: at
 at his wife's Birmingham airport "note",
 would be signed by my own self either to
 necessary by the defendant or from the
 head, not covered me with getting
 the
 12/23/46 Head notes, "Finland" Jetter
 12/10/46 in clearance for lead. to experience
 copy - done - in FC re 3/11/46
 from in Spain + Smith. removed - RA 50
 Also memo under "NDX"
 25. 12/10/46 1 card 12/11/46 CST here on CON
 1st #11 as "WFO" for position in DP
 1 card memo of him to me, which would be
 1 card memo to a former unit in back
 work. from on 12/11/46 work only "not signed"

33 cont. Allthrough admits that there
"with several during the course of the
investigation of the formation of the
subject firm & largely of the
of state "invested in the
(my mpp) was devoted to the subject
unfavorably in his early
that you checked out. What does
his lead down to? "Two informants
interviewed... reported the
question in their minds concerning
the working of the firm, and that
they had lead names "including
the firm with connection. But
"They would furnish no details
in his report"

31 cont. Necessary?) FBI JDC (police?)
Die over "see attached" not attached
32 2pp typed note not dated. App
"The FBI reported on 9/3/1947"
information had previously been
given the (?) Department
through the 2 of the "G" case
an examination of that file
leads to his date any reference
Name to the records
Recommend "a leaving study
to bill" assigned
33 included list of pending firm
(attached out) through Mr. Edman
com on the

My affidavit of 7/8/47,
concerned sub-assembly
assembly - refer to other
records not provided - because
I've - in fact

Then he gave me copy of
me looking for his files
then in 12/48 "I was notified by
the FBI that he had been in
contact of persons that had to
bring advice in the beginning
case" - my first report
of this ~~that~~ alleged "contact"
of the nature of the case in
fact known was stated to be
"just now known" "I did
not follow up on my first
lead on this basis only "It
is the same material that he
removed from the table of the Dept"