

# New York Times

— NEW YORK, THURSDAY, JULY 13, 1978 —

25 cents beyond 50-mile zone from New York  
Higher in air delivery cities

## Justice Dept. Investigating Report Linking Informer to Violent Crime

By ANTHONY MARRO

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 12 — The Justice Department began a formal inquiry today to determine whether Gary Thomas Rowe Jr. was involved in violent crime while he was a Ku Klux Klan informer for the Federal Bureau of Investigation and whether bureau agents helped to hide the fact.

The inquiry was ordered by Benjamin R. Civiletti, the Deputy Attorney General, after the two Democrats on the Senate committee drafting a legislative charter for the bureau told the department that they expected a "full report" on the matter.

The two Senators, Edward M. Kennedy of Massachusetts and James Abourezk of South Dakota, said in a letter to the head of the department's civil rights division that, because their committee was looking at the department's procedures for controlling its informers, it was "intensely interested" in receiving a full report.

In addition, the Senators said that they wanted a report from the department on the "methodology" of the investigation,

including a report on "those interviewed, the leads not followed and all areas inquired into."

Although he did not mention it in the letter, Senator Kennedy suggested earlier today — at a public hearing on the use of informers in criminal investigations — that he felt the department should oversee such an investigation because the public might not have full confidence in any inquiry by the bureau itself.

Mr. Rowe, who was the bureau's chief paid informer in the Ku Klux Klan in the early 1960's, was questioned in connection with an Alabama investigation of the racial violence of those years.

In an article Sunday, The New York Times quoted sources close to that investigation as saying that Mr. Rowe was suspected of having acted as an agent provocateur and of participating in the violent activity that the F.B.I. was paying him to monitor and report on.

In addition, according to investigative documents, Mr. Rowe recently told the

Continued on Page A13, Column 1

Alabama authorities that, in an attempt to protect himself, he shot and killed a black man in Birmingham in 1963 but was told by his case agent to keep quiet about it.

The agent to whom Mr. Rowe said that he reported the shooting has termed the statement "an absolute falsehood," and F.B.I. officials at the bureau's headquarters here have said that there is nothing in their files to indicate that anyone was shot, that Mr. Rowe did the shooting or that he ever admitted the shooting to the bureau.

Terrence B. Adamson, a Justice Department spokesman, said today that Mr. Civiletti had told Philip B. Heymann, the head of the criminal division, to ask the state authorities in Alabama to furnish him with any information they have about Mr. Rowe.

In addition, he said, the department's Office of Professional Responsibility was directed to ask the bureau's internal investigators to conduct an inquiry into the matter and to report back to the department.

The controversy over Mr. Rowe comes at a time when the department and the bureau are urging Congress not to place major restrictions on the use of criminal informers. The legislative code being drafted is expected to govern the bureau's activities in the future.

Mr. Kennedy and Mr. Abourezk are important to the future of the charter because Mr. Kennedy will become chairman of the full Judiciary Committee next year, and Mr. Abourezk now heads the subcommittee drafting the legislation.

Today, at a hearing held by the subcommittee, Mr. Heymann, the new head of the criminal division, said that although the use of informers involved a number of dangers, they were so important to many sorts of criminal investigations that the Justice Department should have a great deal of flexibility in its dealings with them.

This was seconded by James Q. Wilson, a professor of government at Harvard, who said that the recruitment of informers was a "subtle, complex, very human and hard-to-define process," one that did not lend itself to rigid guidelines.

In earlier hearings, the American Civil Liberties Union urged that Congress require law enforcement agencies to obtain court authorization before placing an informer in a group, just as it obtains court warrants for searches or wiretaps.

Today, however, Mr. Heymann argued that decisions on when and where to place informers should be left with Government investigators, not judges.

Later in the hearing, Edwin J. Sharp, a bureau official, said that the F.B.I. now had four "media" informers, but that all were "low-level" employees reporting on criminal or domestic security matters and were not involved in matters concerning "editorial policy."

He added that one was a television reporter and one worked in the classified ad section of a newspaper, but that he could not recall where the others were employed.