

FBI perjury/1115

Dear Jim - for possible spectro/AAA remind

IM 3/30/79

While it may appear to be a futility to call a falsely-sworn/ FBI affidavit to your attention if we eliminate on this basis there is no use to which any FBI affidavit can be put.

Attached is the affidavit of Roy Jevons in the Michels case. Jevons fails to identify himself completely. He was then head of what was known as the physics branch of the FBI Lab.

Jevons states that he personally conducted a review of all the Lab spectro records. We have received no record of this of which I have any recollection in C.A. 75-226.

As the man in charge he should have provided the affidavit in C.A. 2301-70 and if he had not retired vice Kilty in 226. He says all the work was done "under my supervision."

What is false is that a) all the work was done for "law enforcement purposes." There was none, as Hoover testified to the Commission and as other records I've just found state.

It also is false that the file "was made for use solely by U.S. Government personnel" and that there is no disclosure to others.

Under date of 11/23/63, which was the day after the assassination, the FBI used this information in a report it sent to then Dallas Chief of Police Jesse Curry. In C.A. 65-1996 they swore this was all the results of all that work, even though some of the tests had not yet been performed.

Prior to the date of this Jevons affidavit (which cannot be read on the copy but is known by the time of the case) the FBI approved the public disclosure of the Lab report and other such material. The Warren Commission published by facsimiles.

Even though Frasier was the major FBI contact with the Commission in these areas of the Lab's work Jevons should have greater overall knowledge.

Do we want to learn where he is and consider deposing him if there is a remnant?