F.B.I. Is Said to Have Cut Direct Liaison With C.I.A.

Hoover Move in Quarrel 11/2 Years Ago Causes Concern Among Intelligence Officials About Coping With Spies

By ROBERT M. SMITH 10/10/71 Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 9-The for the C.I.A. could not be Federal Bureau of Investigation reached today. broke off direct liaison with the The suspension of direct con-Central Intelligence Agency a tact is one of the factors year and a half ago because prompting leading members of the C.I.A. would not tell J. the intelligence community to Edgar Hoover who had leaked feel that Mr. Hoover must be

To offset some of the danger,

operations.

said today. "It is not true." He years. added, "The F.B.I. has always Mr. Hoover's retirement has maintained liaison with the been periodically predicted and C.I.A., and it is very close and effective liaison." Spokesmen Continued on Page 62, Column 1

information from his organiza-deposed as Director of the tion, according to authoritative F.B.I. The feelings of these officials run so high that some As a result, high officials of of them have dropped their the intelligence community are customary secrecy to make concerned about the Govern-their views known. Others rement's ability to control foreign main silent because they fear espionage in this country, Their public criticism might boomapprehension has been in erang, reinforcing Mr. Hoover's creased by the recent British desire to continue in his post discovery of extensive Soviet and evoking public support for

Reputation a Factor

officials of the F.B.I. and the Adding to the anxiety and C.I.A. have held private meet-langer of members of the intelings, unknown to Mr. Hoover, ligence community is Mr. at which they exchanged infor- Hoover's reputation. In their mation. Authorized communica-view, his personality is a tion is limited to mail, telephone compound of insecurity and and infrequent special meetings, authoritarianism. They fear the F.B.I. Spokesman's Statement 76-year-old Director will do nothing to repair the break-Asked if it was true that the down in liaison between the bureau broke direct liaison two agencies and will try to with the C.I.A. more than a remain as long as he can at year ago, an F.B.I. spokesman the post he has held for 46





Continued From Pagel , Col. 4

is said to be favored, for a variety of reasons, by several prominent members of the Administration. But so far there is no sign that he has lost the backing of the one person who

counts—President Nixon.
Only four cases involving the exposure of foreign espionage agents in the United States have come to public attention in the last three years. Two of the cases involved the expulsion of Soviet agents; another involved two Cuban diplomats at the United Nations and a South African girl, and the fourth dealt with a Swiss Government official.

The story of the severance of F.B.I.-C.I.A. liaison begins with the disappearance of Prof. Thomas Riha in March, 1969. Mr. Riha was a Czech-born associate professor of modern Russian history at the Universi-

ty of Colorado.

The 40-year-old professor left
the university abruptly, apparently took nothing with him and left a mysterious trail. He disappeared from the campus so suddenly that, though nor-mally a neat and precise man, he left papers scattered on his university desk where he had been preparing his income tax return.

Friends and fellow faculty members said they feared that Professor Riha might be dead, but police officials in Boulder and Denver and the former president of the university, Dr. Joseph R. Smiley, insisted that he was alive.

Dr. Smiley told the press enigmatically at the time that he had been assured of the professor's safety "by what I consider reliable sources" in Washington.

"I repeat my real regret that I can't go beyond what I have said," he told The New York Times in a telephone interview in January, 1970. "A confidence is a confidence."

Confidential Information

What Dr. Smiley, by then president of the University of Texas at El Paso, could not say was that he had been given the information concerning Professor Riha in confidence by an employe of the C.I.A.

The agency was interested in the Riha case because of the professor's Czech origin. It wanted to know if there had been foreign interference. The F.B.I. learned that there had been no foul play, that the professor had chosen to leave for personal reasons.

According to well informed

sources, an individual agent in the F.B.I.'s large Denver office, acting on his own, told a C.I.A. employe in Denver. (The C.I.A. is restricted by law from operating as an intelligence agency within this country. The employe in Denver was involved in recruiting.)

The agency then suggested that the F.B.I. tell Dr. Smiley, who was very concerned about Mr. Riha's disappearance, what had happened on a confidential basis to quiet his and the community's fears. The bureau

refused.

After the refusal, the C.I.A. went ahead and told Dr. Smiley, pledging him to secrecy. According to reliable sources, Dr. Smiley later inadvertently let it get out that there had been no foul play. The question arose at F.B.I. headquarters in Washington: How had the president of the university obtained this information?

The bureau office in Denver told headquarters that it had not given the information to anyone. It eventually was learned here, however, that an individual F.B.I. man had told the story to a C.I.A. man. For Mr. Hoover, the question then became: Which of my men gave out this information? He asked

the C.I.A.

The C.I.A. man in Denver was inflexible. He told his superiors that the information had been given him in confidence and it was a matter of conscience. According to sources, he well knew what would happen to any F.B.I. man he named—at the least, exile to

Montana; at the most, dismissal. In The C.I.A. man held his ground under pressure from the bureau, saying any disclosure would be a breach of faith. The Director of the C.I.A., Richard Helms, accepted his man's position and refused to force him to divulge the F.B.I. man's identity.

Irritated, Mr. Hoover broke off all direct liaison with the



J. Edgar Hoover

Central Intelligence Agency.
Until February of last year,
the F.B.I. man who provided
the personal link with the C.I.A.
was Sam Papich. Mr, Papich
grew up in Montana and
worked in mines there before
he attended Northwestern University. He played professional
football, then went to work for
the F.B.I.

Mr. Papich worked in Latin America for a while for the bureau and handled several special assignments. He later became the liaison officer between the bureau and the C.I.A. His reputation was that of an honest and sincere man with high professional competence and an insatiable appetite for work. Most importantly, in an area potentially fraught with jealousy, intrigue and deceit, he had the trust of the C.I.A. and the respect of the F.B.I.

and the respect of the F.B.I.

When Mr. Hoover took his action severing liaison, Mr. Papich was despondent. He is known to have beseeched the Director in the strongest language to reconsider, pleading that a close relationship between the two agencies was vital to controlling Communistoloc intelligence operatives.

He is known to have told Mr. Hoover that the United States had never faced the kind of sophisticated and dangerous Soviet-bloc espionage that it ild then, in 1970. He also argued that the complexity of intelligence cases, coupled with the swiftness of travel and communication, had made direct links necessary between the bureau and more than a dozen C.I.A. officials every day.

Mr. Papich said that communicating with the C.I.A. by mail would be an impossible arrangement and warned Mr. Hoover that a continuation of the rupture might leave a dan-

gerous gap, which enemy agents would very likely try to exploit.

Urging a reconciliation, Mr. Papich retired from the bureau in March, 1970, expressing the hope that Mr. Hoover would appoint a new liaison officer who might more easily smooth over the difficulties between the two agencies. According to reliable sources, Mr. Hoover never responded to his pleas.

Only. Limited Contact

Since the Denver incident, therefore, the bulk of the communication and coordination between the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. has been by telephone and correspondence, with very limited contact approved by Mr. Hoover on an ad hoc basis. Both agencies remain members of the United States Intelligence Board, and there is presumably also some interchange through the board.

But men in both the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. have found telephoning and mailing letters back and forth so grossly inadequate that they are known to meet with one another privately, without Mr. Hoover's

knowledge.

One member of the intelligence community explained that personal contact is necessary for a variety of reasons: The cases are sometimes complex and sometimes split between the two agencies, speed is often essential to successful action, conferences involving several people are sometimes necessary, written material is occasionally involved and there are not enough secure telephone lines for the volume of work.

Information generally exchanged between the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. might concern such subjects as officers of the Black Panther party traveling overseas, Soviet diplomats en route to this country, the activities

of an international arms dealer ability to neutralize foreign and American youngsters cut-spies.

ting sugar cane in Cuba. direct liaison with the C.I.A .- area that the threat from for-Mr. Hoover abolished the seven-eign agents is substantial. They man section that maintained argue that Mr. Hoover is so incontact with the Defense Intent on preventing any embartelligence Agency, the Office of rassment to the F.B.I. or any Naval Intelligence, Army Intel-sullying of his reputation that ligence, Air Force Intelligence, he avoids the risks of counterthe Air Force Office of Special espionage work. Investigations, the National Security Agency, the State Dethe officials point out that an partment, the Post Office, the F.B.I. man might find himself Department of Health, Education and Welfare, the United States Information Agency, the Bureau of Customs and the Impage agencies of Customs and the Impage sometimes involving illustration. migration Service. These agen-legal activity. Or, they say, if cies were disappointed and an F.B.I. man approaches a distressed at the new arrange-foreign diplomat and asks him

have said the work of the possibly a diplomatic uproar. section could be properly hanOther sources in Government

getting about the rupture with lem is the F.B.I.'s orientation the C.I.A. According to the as a criminal investigative speculation, he wanted to show that he was not discriminating against the C.I.A. and that all relations could be handled by phone and mail. The various criminal terms," explained one agencies are still hoping that official in the Justice Departdirect liaison will be re-established.

community here also pronounced themselves unhappy last week with the retirement expert on domestic intelligence operated in the United States and rose to the position of from 1948 until 1957. The case assistant to the Director bewas really cracked not by the fore Mr. Hoover reportedly F.B.I., he said, but by the C.I.A. became so unhappy with him The official explained that that he changed the lock on Reino Hayhanen, the Soviet Mr. Sullivan's door to force him defector who was the key to

in the F.B.I., apparently had say was interesting but was several disputes with Mr. more in the C.I.A.'s area.

Hoover. What triggered his forced retirement is not known. to the C.I.A. office in Paris, Members of the intelligence the agents became excited, community report, however rang up the F.B.I. man, told that one of the arguments in him to listen to Hayhanen and which he was involved con-sent him back. cerned surveillance of foreign The official—who said that agents in this country. The F.B.I. is still not doing well

Division, and was reportedly same day. They don't have concerned about the bureau's enough specialists."

Intelligence officials here say In July of last year—four they now believe the F.B.I. is months after he had severed doing such a poor job in that

ent.
Mr. Hoover is reported to runs the risk of a refusal and

Other sources in Government dled by telephone and corres- agree that the F.B.I.'s successes pondence.

The speculation within the limited and to consist in large F.B.I., however, was that Mr. measure of defectors who ap-Hoover had taken the action pear at some F.B.I. office. But because of criticism he was they argue that the main probgetting about the rupture with lem is the F.B.I.'s orientation

Members of the intelligence them."

The Case of Abel

The classic example, the offrom the F.B.I. of William C. ficial said, was the famous case Sullivan. Mr. Sullivan spent 30 involving Col. Rudolf I. Abel, years in the bureau, became an the Russian master spy who

out of the agency.

Mr. Sullivan, who has a liaison office in Paris in June, reputation as a scholarly researcher on Communist philosbut was cut short by the F.B.I. ophy and tactics and was agent. The agent reportedly known as a moderating force told him that what he had to

According to the intelligence in counterespionage - identiofficials, Mr. Sullivan asked fied one problem as lack of some time ago for more men expertise. "In Washington," he and money to counter Soviet-said, "there are some F.B.I. bloc espionage and was turned men who specialize in security, down by Mr. Hoover. For nine but in most other places a man years Mr. Sullivan headed the can be working on both crim-F.B.I.'s Domestic Intelligence inal and security cases on the