
Adrainistrative Practiaes Sùcoz ittoe

Wahhington, D.C.
Dear 'rom,
 normination and a story dealins with Senator Punnoy's poattion on it. Padoys e Post


I have two interets in Silbert. One is the suboraine of perjury by ins offtce in my C.s. $2052-73$ to fruytrote the roI law and continue sup reasion of whot oould not be suppresssed legally. The other is from the finst of two watorgnte books I have wuitven. I laid this first ons and de when it grow too lons. The drapt of tho second is comploted by I have not, been able to ratume to it in almoet oizts months.

The judgo igrored falso swoaring in C.A. 2052-73 but ruled on fact and on fact hald for me and againot tho govermont on that ieove, tha phomeg cabin to nhational sacumity."

In Gins fiwat Hatercate book I have a chaptar as long as a slort book on L. Patrick Gray, It deals extensively with the oxistine woorm, the recori ervetionle to Silbort uns his absiatiants.

Hy orn pration in that those wenv enough leade to brak tho caco in tho aarliest days. In fact, I also beliove that in ofrerting this to avy who have an interset I as joopariduing the possibilities of ever dosing anything with the book.

Hovever, it should also be undergtood that this sam information was in the po soesion of tho Judiciary Comittoo. I can aalre a distinction others may not. Senators nad not elected to bo investigatoxa. Thoy can fail to peroetwo shat it is tha obligation of the prosecutor to seek and comprehond.

I have never bean able to got two of the early itacis of Watergate evinence used in oourt - not in any way seoret. Rocently I acked ifin Loaar to try abain, with lavi. Ono of these, axisibita in the oricinal trial, cortarinly ousht to contain leads not followed. Thay are the adtressbocks of the Gubans.

So, if anyone has the intoreat and I can help, I'm willuge
Sincordy,
*arolainelshorg

