To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg Aing assassination records 12/16/73 Privacy requests

Thank you for reminding so that Hr. Lesar did in fact file the appeals to the Baltimore and Los angeles Field "ffice with regard to my Privacy Act request of 1975. This also remindene that when there was total stonewalling from the field Sffices, as distinguished from extensive and continuing stonewalling by FBLEQ, Mr. "esar also repeated the request to all field offices for me because that was beyond my capability.

If you will be kind enough to reread Mr. Lesar's appeals of January 24 and 31 of this year you will find that for the most part they are appored.

He also referred to the Washington Field Office. There is no reference to this in the few pages of records Hr. McCreight sent redently. And no MFO records.

There is no reference to the still withheld focords referred to. Nor is there any denial of their existence.

When so such time passes and then the FBI goes out of its way not to identify requests in any way and with me almost always refuses to include the sequential numbers, it is not difficulty to get them mixed up. I presume this is FBI intent, otherwise it would properly and clearly identify each request and communication to the exclusion of all otherw.

Baltimore is clearly and deliberately not complying with this and with the "ing request/appeal because, as you now know, it withheld its enteness in associating se with two bank robberies, unless FHID docided that. Considering its earlier fabrications I suppose I could regard filing as with bank robberies as kindness by the FHL. However, all such records are within my PA request and in my career of alleged association with bank robberies, surprisingly enough, with the Eing investigation. For that matter, although I have written you and the FBL about it often enough and promises were made to the judge more than a year ago, BFO still has not returned the photo and sketch I loaned the FBL ink April 1968. Nor, I am confident, all relevant records.

by. Lesar asked what law was being enforced. The name of the local SA was withheld from a memo forwarding Minutemen records I loaned the FBI. There was excision from the copy of my letter to the editor of the local paper over the firing of a casiologist who apparently failed to refer to the Founding Director as St. Edgar. I repeat the unanswered question, what laws were being enforced to make any Exception 7 claim applicable?

I was not a condidate for government employment and have every reason to believe that mobody was considering hiring no without speaking to me about it. So how does Exemption 1 become applicable?

When may I expect response to the actual appeals of about a year ago rather than a few more of the many withheld records? And would you mind nudging FBIHE and the Springfield F.C. about that - don't recall even froms dream, my alleged association withbank robberies? No copies elsewhere, like Hemphis, Chicago, St. "cuis?

111 - 01 177