## Study of Dr. King's Death Finds No Links to F.B.I

This is the first of two articles on renewed questions about the assassination of the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. It was written by John M. Crewdson and is based on reporting by Mr. Crewdson, Ben A. Franklin, Nicholas M. Horrock and Martin Waldron.

WAT 11176 Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Dec. 31-For agency that could prosecuti nearly eight years the assas- if evidence warranted. Withi sination of the Rev. Dr. Martin days, the Justice Department Luther King Jr. has puzzled Civil Rights Division opene many private citizens and even an examination-the first eva some Government officials who ---of the 96-volume archive th were skeptical that James Earl F.B.I. had compiled on Dr. Kin' Ray, an escaped ex-convict About the same time, Th with no obvious antipathy to- New York Times began its ow ward the black civil rights lead- inquiry into the case. Althoug er, had been the lone assassin, limited by Mr. Ray's refusal ! despite Mr. Ray's own admis- talk with reporters and by lac sion of guilt in court.

Select Committee on Intel- files, reporters investigate ligence disclosed that the Federal Bureau of Investigation Ray's possible motives, mov had carried out a secret six- ments, money and connection year effort to discredit Dr. King and the activities of the F.B publicly, questions were sud- After numerous interview denly being asked in official over six weeks with prese quarters: Might the F.B.I. itself and former officials 'of' th n have been involved in some Justice Department and t way in the murder of Dr. King F.B.I., lawyers and others fan, at a Memphis motel on April liar with the case, The Tim g 4, 1968?

v of the F.B.I.'s harassment of rectly or indirectly in the killin -S Dr. King was immediate. The 's Senate committee considered vestigation by the Senate sele

3 but decided to leave it to some Continued on Page 6, Column

of access to the still-classifie Last month when the Senate Justice Department and F.B. found no evidence that wou Pressure for an investigation implicate the F.B.I. either (

Sources familiar with the extending its own investigation committee asserted that the

## Continued From Page I, Col. 8

had found no evidence of F.B.I. complicity in the position of the King file they had examined.

The Justice Department's re-examination of the case con-tinues. But J. Stanley Pottinger, head of the Civil Rights Division, said in an interview that so far his inquiry had turned up no evidence whatever of F.B.I. involvement in the killing.

But many other questions remain open. The Justice De-partment's investigation itself is charged with a broader task than merely finding out wheth-er the F.B.I. had a hand in the murder. It is also trying to de-termine the extent of the "dirty tricks" played against Dr. King and whether any of them in-volved illegalities. The inquiry is checking the F.B.I. files for possible dereliction in the bureau's original investigation of the murder or for evidence of a conspiracy itself.

Only one occasion has thus far come to light, according to Justice Department and F.B.I. sources, in which the bureau's efforts to discredit Dr. King overlapped with his investigation of his murder.

In that instance, which appears to be of no consequence, the F.B.L's Intelligence Division provided to its General Investigative Division the name of a Los Angeles dentist with whose estranged wife Dr. King had been filmed by F.B.I, intel-ligence agents entering and leaving motel rooms as well as overheard talking on the telephone, according to the sources.

The F.B.I.'s assassination investigators, considering the possibility that Dr. King:s murder might have been arranged by a jealous husband, inter-viewed both the dentist and his wife and concluded that the man knew nothing of the crime.

## **Preconceptions Denied**

Although none of them cited that particular incident as an example, many of the F.B.I; personnel who talked to The Times emphasized that they Hpproached the King murder case with no ppreconceptions about whether a conspiracy had existed.

As one pnt it, "We didn:t have the slightest damned idea who or what" was behind the killing at the outset, and he noted that the F.B.I. had offi-cially considered a number of chany considered a number of possibilities, including the like-lihood that the killer was an agent of a foreign power, but discarded such theories as con-



**James Earl Ray** 

Moreover, former aides to tradictory, evidence mounted. So far, the Civil Rights Divi-sion lawyers say they have found only one item in the 96-volume file that caused them 96-volume file that caused them place but was taken there by any alarm—an F.B.I, proposal, the Memphis police, who be-the Memphis police, who be-the most secure place friendly "media sources" in friendly "media sources" integration-ist, had taken a room there a few days earlier at the ex white-owned Rivermont Motel overlooking the Mississippi Riv-on March 28, 1968, Dr. King

Hotel and Motel in a rundown section of the city, where the King party had previously stayed.

Dr. King left Memphis the day after the disastrous march with a promise to mount another protest as soon as tempers cooled, and when he did return, on April 3-the day before he died-he and his entourage installed themselves at the Lorraine, on whose open-air balco-ny, he was shot down the fol-lowing evening. The discovery of the F.B.L

proposal to embarrass Dr. King publicly for having stopped at the white-owned Rivermont the week before opened the possibility in the minds of Justice Department lawyers that the F.B.I. might have been indirectly responsible for, or even tried to engineer, Dr. King's staying at the Lorraine Motel.

at the Lorraine Motel; But the Justice Department's investigation of the matter, which has included interviews with F.B.I. agents responsible for the proposal and a review of the Memphis press iuring the period in guestion has prothe period in question, has pro-duced, one official said, no evidence that the F.B.I. even trieR to encourage the publica-

tion of such a story. Nothing, the official said, ap-peared in either of the Memphis newspapers, The Commercial-Appeal or The Press-Scimitar, taunting Mr. King for his brief stay at the Rivermont.

Moreover, former aides to Dr. King have told the Depart-

overlooking the Mississippi Riv-er. On March 28, 1968, Dr. King a climate within the bureau and other officials of his South-ern Christian Leadership Con-ference, a Civil Rights Organi-zation, went to Memphis, to lead a march in support of striking municipal garbage workers. The dispute quickly turned into a violent protest gan smashing shop windows. Dr. King and his aides re-treated from the violence to the Rivermont, several blocks pressed offense at his personal from the black-owned Lorraine morals and were concerned

that his association with purported Communist sympathizers might give the American Communist Party a degree of control over black voters in the South.

Although knowledge of harassments aimed at Dr. King was confirmed to top officials at F.B.I. headquarters, some lesser executives and field agents knew of the minor disruptions and physical and electronic surveillance of which he was a target,

But these persons and others, including Justice Department officials who read the product of the F.B.I.'s investigation as it flowed into headquarters in 1968, the bureau's efforts to find Dr. King's killer had been unstinting.

There is, however, at leastone indication that the F.B.I. investigation may have been tardy in following up an important lead—a fingerprint clue. Several former F.B.I. and Justice Department officials noted that whatever Mr. Hoover's views might have been, the pressure on the bureau to find the killer had been intense, both from . Attorney General Ramsey Clark and President Johnson. One former official called Mr. Clark "a heavy hammer." Another said President Johnson "was quite provoked at us" because it took the F.B.I. tow months- and four days to find Mr. Ray.

'We Had to Solve It' One former F.B.I. official with responsibility for the King, investigation noted that charges at the time by some blacks and radical whites that the bureau could be expected to hold back on the case "worked the other way."

the other way." "People were saying, we wouldn't crack the case because Hoover didn't like King," the former official said, "and he [Hoover] made it very apparent [within the F.B.I.] that we had to solve it." "We followed shotgun leads, wasting manpower and money

"We followed shotgun leads, wasting manpower and money like it was going out of style," the man said, and another retired F.B.I. official, also closely involved with the investigation, echoed that contention.

"This is a case," he said, "This is a case," he said, "where we went all out. We followed not only the leads that were logical or even semiplausible, but a lot that were sumply crazy, absolutely just silly. We followed them all." The F.B.I.'s hunt for Dr. King's killer was one of the most comprehensive "special," or high-priority, investigations in the bureau's history, involving at its peak some 3,000



Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.

agents-then about half the total number-more than 30, 000 interviews of 'individuals believed to have knowledge of the case, and a cost of nearly \$1.5 million over two months. But some former Justice Department officials, and even a few retired F.B.I agents, conceded that once the bureau's investigation narrowed to Mr. Ray's whereabouts, some evidence that did not seem to lead directly to Mr. Ray, but which might have provided clues to a conspiracy, might have gone overlooked. One former F.B.I. official echoed that suspicion, saying that once Mr. Ray's identity was discovered two weeks after Dr. King was killed, "we were sure it was Ray" who had committed the murder, "and everything else was secondary" to finding the man. But no one interviewed could provide any examples of leads they believed had not been energetically followed up.

cally followed up. Several officials, in discounting speculation about the bure-

au's involvement in the King killing, pointed out that if, inconceivably, the bureau had chosen to arrange for such a murder it presumably could have developed a more sophisticated and far less risky method than the employment of a bumbling ex-convict with a hunting rifle.

And several individuals in and out of Government familiar with the internal workings of the F.B.I., asserted that the bureau was institutionally incapable of making the leap from sending Dr. King anonymous letters to arranging for his assassination.

But some questioned whether

the bureau which had had Dr King under intermittent survelllance in the weeks before he was murdered, might have received and withheld information about an assassination plot that could have saved his life. According to all those interviewed by The Times, the F.B.I. had no such information at the time of Dr. King's death, and had warned him of impending dangers on numerous occasions. During the 1965 civil rights march from Selma to Montgomery, Ala., for instance, the Justice Department sent a high official to advise Dr. King privately, that the F.B.I. had learned of a threat against his life.

Sevela past and present Justice Department lawyers pointed out that, to take a cynicil view, whatever the degree of Mr. Hoover's personal dislike for Dr. King, it would not have been in the F.B.I. director's interest to see the black leader martyred, but rather to relay to Dr. King every threat brought to the bureau's attention in the hope of frightening him out of the civil rights movement. The ohly asserion of tampering by Mr. Hoover with any facet of the King investigation came from one former top F.B.I. official, who noted that Mr. Ray was captured in London by New Scotland Yard at 6:15 A.M., Eastern daylight time, on June 8, 1968 — four lays after. Senator Robert F. Kennedy was struck down by an assassin's bullet in Los Angeles.

Delay on Identification

The funeral service for Mr. Kennedy, whom Mr. Hoover ad also grown to distlike while he was Attorney General, was cheduled to begin in New York City at 10 o'clock that same morning, and the former F.B.I. official said that Mr. Hoover had ordered the public announcement of Mr. Ray's capture held up until the funeral was under way, in the belief that this would detract from the publicity surrounding the certicas

services. One facet of the F.B.I: investigation that remains a mystery —Mr. Pottinger has asked the bureau not to comment publicly, on the case in view of Mr. Ray's appeal for a new trial—is the passage of 15 days between the shooting of Dr. King and the bureau's identification of the suspected killer as James Earl Ray.

Within seven minutes of the King shooting, a Memphis police lieutenant discovered on the sidewalk outside a cheap rooming house adjacent to the Lorraine Motel a bundle contraing a .30-06 calibre hunting rifle—purchased by Mr. Ray

a week earlier, it was later learned—a mismatched box of cartridges, a pair of binoculars, two unopened cans of beer and some undergarments. Several of these items, including the rifle, one of the bullets, the binoculars and a beer can, bore Mr. Ray's finger-prints—"so much in the way of evidence left on the scene," on evidence left on the scene," one former Justice Department lawyer said, "that it puzzled me that it took the F.B.L as ong as it did to come up with [the name f] James Eart Ray."

The bundle also contained a portable radio that Mr. Ray had bought at the Missouri State Penitentiary a few days before he escaped, and the radio had an easily traceable se-

rial number on it. For some reason, the F.B.L apparently did not check out the radio serial number until after Mr. Ray was arrested. The bundle was shipped un-for y in Washington, arriving less than 12 hours after the shoot-ing. And yet, by all available accounts, no search of the bure-au's fingerprint files was begun until April 18, when F.B.L agents, retracing, Mr. Ray's teps, discovered in a room he hadrented in Atlanta a map that yielded a nearly per-fect latent thumb print. Only after the thumbprint F.B.L clerks begin poring over the fingerprint cards of 53,000 Federal fugitives, in the belief

Federal fugitives, in the belief question.



J. Edgar Hoover