Mr. Fartin Eicldron
New York Tismas
229 W .23 St.,
New York, N.Y. 10036
Dear ilo,
A few minutes ago I finimhed a short convewastion with John Orawdson. I returned his oill. I had been in fiashington for a phlebitis checkup (the enticcagulent, although I am nove mobile, is incronsed). Because I am gtill unable to anve that cistance without being exhauater for several days, a side comont that I think wilil have relevanae. I used the rathor poor bus.

All John wanted to know is whether I had told him that CBS had paid Cliff Andrewa for an Interview. I had heard this. I have no Independent racollection of having tola John. Or you. Or anyone olse. Dut I would have told anyone working on the story.

Because I had no independent racollection I told John I'd bost iso over what I reaslled to see if it could bring back ry source. I did tizis. He sald that Rether dinied paying Aindrewe. I do not recali the exact werds. But I do know that whilo Rather mey not heve, others may have. The atory I heard is that Andrews got $8 f, 000$ for the interview in liew Yoxk, heisted a car in Canade, was rearrosted near Calgary, and tried unscueasafully to hit CBS again thereafter. In telline his the atory I came to believe tivet ay source was ona who had bean told this by one who acid he had beon tola by Androve.

As you know I have never beldeved any part of the Androws etory. Jopmy says he has in his note my having told him thes etory. The only sense in which I could have, having and to John's inouledge having no possibility of firstmend knowl.hege, has to have been for hie to follow if it interveted his. I have never net cliff. I have never seen his picture. I have never had a letter or a call fros him. In fact as I understand his approach, it apecifioallyexhcluded me. I am sure John knows this. I an also sure that he knows I am pretty imoobile and can theve firet-hand knowiedge. I am enve I told him I never belleved the Andrews etory fitan the first. And I can date my braviunt first knowledge practaely. I believe but I an not oortetn that this particular timing suggested certain poseibilitiss to me and I may well have told Jokn what they arw.

As I say, John told me that Rathor deried paying Clifí. I dia not ask "did anyone elso" or the other possibilities. I merely ask that Andrews is a con man and if I had to make a choice between Hithor's word and Andrews' I'd believe Rather. ('To whom I've never talked and to whaia I've writion two letfers about ethies, unansmoredi.)

John agid this is all he wanted to know and that wes the and of the converantion.
Once abain his entire nsmacr as well as his soris troubied we. Even the way he put it to my wife wher he callea at $1: 15$ poano, that he wou.a like me to call him when I "reappear." She told me this when I walked in the door and the word is in her written
rominder.

Maybe John is just trying to eliminate what he makt can eliminate froa what he is woridnc on. But the other possibility is why I write thes, close to immediately, for the recori. I tried to phone you and you were either not in or did not unewer. It was approximately 5:30-5:45.

You know I wondered why the Times sont throe reporters to Bud, knowins he had been too busy to be active in the case for some tine, while not finding time to send one to the ress conference I had to rake avaibable new FBI materials I obtained under rOLA. Now I wonder about this as it relates to ue.

Neither, including John's "rhat's all I wanted to know," is consiatent with strgazht joumalism. Nor is indififercnce when tho Shaes Washington Bureau got a release, hend-delivered, about ry offer of thife nou cridence and it had the deme thine on the -ity news wirs.

Waybe this is mach ado about nothinge kybe it ia just dinn's manner and aome $^{6}$ arcane journalistic concepts in your Washington buresaus. I'm taking this time because 1 tintur there is a reasonable interpretacion that it is not.

## If you caro to comunicete this to anyone olso at the Thimes, including whet follows, please do.

Hy belief, which I'd rather have not be the fect, is that thia is intended as and attacis on me and for this use in the Iifes.

There ins a long history between me and the Times and its attitude towarid the aide of controwersial issum on which it and I are, its recons sud nink and its rucord With rogard to ma and my work as well as the factlity with which it itinds non-exports for dafemstions. flore that under the osreurstances I think it bost not to tell you or anyone shes. But I think if this conas to an issue, as it will if the times defamen me for doing no rore than jasatiag on a tip anybody pest hinderzarven should know could have been no tore and wam intanded an no nore, I will seak counsel. I think that with a. long history it aill cracoss the malico line, if it haer't alrocady. If it comes to tills, as I once discussed with John in asicing him to keep full notes on what he was loarninge the Tives will find that 1 have fairily full rocouts thet nobody at the inea has. frine occassion, by the way, was whan vohn called we from "allionala to tell aw thet the shrink to thor llay wont gave him an analysia that exactiy colndsced with the one that I had given John and that verold Frank had shown thes shrsnk FBI reporta denied the Hay defense even under the mandate of the 6 th corcuit court of appeals, for a "fuil sable judiaical inquiry," apmroz. We diad ask for thase things on discowery, as the court and our reconds will thow, fad were refused - long after tirey were wade avatiable to anyona of syoophantio pradisposition. Thus a pur part of yay C.A.75-1996.)

411 of this hrexn uhen I sugeastol the existonce of a legitsuato story to you. It that timo I had never spokon to Jokne fo jou zonow i asked nothing in roturn. his I have since told you, from that ${ }^{2}$ lanew of you of the jast I myent whet for te is a consiuerable sum with no poseibility of any kind of bonarit to vo its an effort to ba sa hulfui es I could be to tha Tigoseas you alao know I have offered the Tiaps, tiphugh you, exclusives where I have no confilet of interest and egain asising notning in return. When I havo large debts ant no reguiau incone selfishnems in this is not easily attributeri to re.

As a matior of fact. I have also told you that John did not read poat kortem when it was taken from you and alven to him. Nor did he ever get back to ne except for a single 1rrelevant question he phoned me from, he said, Cxalfornin. There is in this book accurats bolentific date, monledge of which he could use in the king case. He also did not teli me eizner that ho had not coapleted this assigument or haw been telken off of it, which 15 mited and handicappec. ne , as we have discussed.

As of this minute I will stahd on any representation I havo ever nace to anyone on the tines as a mattor of my own parsonal knowiedge, as distinguished fros reports that reached be tisat I ppesed on undor circumetances that wite it obvious it colitd not be personal knowlecios. Andrews is an examplo oi tids.

I write you not to involve you. But whon I spoke to Hedriak Smith about two different thiags that by nowal stancards woul be considorod legitimete new, he told wo. Without eny discuseion rith we, 8 apprdximately, that he has truct in his won and leaves thesc mstters up to tiocs. Under this formulation I felt he did not want we to go furthor and I cidin't. Theso had to do with the spontanesty of Levi's announcement of an "Invostigation" (on whichhx John told me Horrok would call-and Horrock didn't - and David Delin's agroment with we after our debate in asking a Congressional JHK investigation.

After supper. It has bsen about 10 asys since vohn last callef we. He then told me that the nezt day the DJ or Gisi was going to shov hin what they have 1thheld from me ainoe I fllod for it $4 / 15 / 75$ and gued for it when ievi dis not rospond to ny $5 / 5 / 75$ appesi sone time agt. If John is tha icind of reporter tho has no questiona about this and heaked asked foriproparation for this examination, and from what he told me hadn't raad what could have preparad him, that is hie affair. If the Tiwee is the kind of yapor itrects of toleratas inctra on wajor arents in this unpropared namer or wants or John mparation and ita poople doang it, that is the Pines' effeir. Eut I did ofier press conference for who ancess wat the eovarment was duing to the media in the
 abour facts or orfers or selilimmessue tapod and filmed and there wian be no guestions press that did not have the eumption, offered to all tijue trouble and than, to ting

I as reminded that when Chftew
havens ovar the Hay of Has and I wrote Phiels beet his breest and prayed to the high If the Tinew wents to the efther the teil or the for his full teat i got no reaponse. officialdow, it skrely has tho rifint-if ite nopeloseet thing to the that tril of errant tike exd.
(I'm reminded of 1937 and not Daniels buic Eavisell, whan $I$ wes phowed In a iLntern land as the only sober person who could be trusted - I was workineta what baniell broke a soran's leg in trying to do what she did not want done. At 3 a.ti. I oouldn't set/a

But I die offer the Tinees what I knew, what I had and whist I had just received that was relevant and then and thereafter, specificelily in the previous convensation With yohn, and it wes not fit to meint wherosa whet I guepoet sooms to bev, shen it is
 casie. And ae you lroos I not only asiced notiding for this, I ajin't evon adk your. abcurances of the zocovery of uy expenses when - undartook to nejip. I kinor of nobody on then a decade.

Yeu, zersonaliy, knon that I offered the imes eciusively what ; obiainod with no roquast for corpendation. You know that here were other offers I macie, had accepted, and astred no nore than the ectual zeroxing oosts, to this dey not paid.

There is nothing perbonal in this, es I'm sure you know. It is just that there is nobody alse on this on the Lizaca I have any reason to have feith in. I thinic it is a iretehed ousineas. I tilinis also thaz when i an 111 and con't keep up with work that is to get as close to the roolve to take ng taia ovan when I's asleop or supposed to be wle to 50.

The Stete of Temessee saw fit to fisyense with Hary maile's services in this Gase. The FBI saw Ift to let Robort razier retire when he is younger and in better health than - Wilither of these developments seems to heve been news fit to print. Not was it then Haile, in the presence or a witness, personally threstoned we because or ay

If John's alieged aheaking of no zore then or in
all/ of a sudden news then all else I have done is an unc fifriwd roprejpassed on 21 about thisch I'11 se what, if anythinave done is not, then we will kuge a aituation dof thon the thrie cones. have to do with of swiday gind you were asieep" had several purposes. Thoae I recall then 1 hac received sevsral approaches. While coapensation for the work I wacu to you. By to inform you. Another hed to do with an FoIA suiting t'd cay cone of eny, I felt obligatod to inforz you. Another had to do with an FOIA suit I'd are never totten ardund to
starting. I romember it then, thought it could wake a story, your youncost could have handled it, so I called to see if you wanted it. I hsve initiated it. There is no posaible anctor that loes not hold the possibilities ar a ciacent story.

To the reporter with whase anployer there sny be a real jrowpoct I sate that I had beon asiost to hane loose until the first of the syear and hed agreed. I also tola hin that I would, if $\bar{z}$ his poople dovolope on intereat, welt only a fou daya aftor the siust.
isothing porsonal, ho. Hopatuily, this is a coaplete wate of tiow. But if I thought it was I'd not be wasting it.

Aeràn inturruptione. Ded tomenlus.
It it is no pore than an incpt way of expressing hiraself vohn picked a very bad day for this kdind of thing. I'vo been out of the hospital for two monthe. During that time the level of extimcoandilent prescribed, after a teaporary reduetion of a sixth, was todey increased to what it was last weok, throe tises the luval proseribud then i vas discharcou. What - have can ba not oniy serious but fatal. I'va Lost rost i requice from John's thoughtlesmesen or armorance. I sakod and received and expect notidng for this. I'm prepared to tay to be as helpful tolanyone, including finines people, as I can be and without any quid pro cuio,

Dut 1't not prepared to acuopt in silonco any wom of this sind of abuge,

 do not went 60 , but if I have to, I' 21 nudut a try. If I nens not 411 titis would bo the casc. It what I suspect, nusty business coutatived asainst sud and ae, turne out to bo the reality, there is no illness ehort of wist I do not expect, total inoapacity, that will deter what effort I cen end will maks.
 for these roasone: I frug you as a mom that competant teportor and as an honset mon; there is nobely else with whon i have deaitfon this story of when I can honoatly or reasonailoy ay this (at the hames); and I thinl: that soman there aught wat a paper of ita reputation to te is bit noze than $\frac{1}{}$ givemament abshole.
 wontt and it will go nut in tae mornange

Herfly a way to wind up with the sincerre hope that you have a good yenr ahead,

12/25/75 P.S.
I'm sorry if I seom to be talding anger or disappointment out on youl. This is not my intent. Amd I realize John can say that he was werely checking to see if I had somethins. However, that would have had morez credibility at the time I passed the report on to him for hin to investigate. It was a matter of no consequence to me or I'd have made a note of it and would have been able to get it out and read it. Likewise dooe it aeem that at the time it appeared to be of no consequence to John, or he'd then have asked me my source of sources. Another is not imposaible and if it is true it would be a dependable source. At the time it just made no difference to me. CBS has a long history of this, paying people to talk. Even staging news. Always with bed people.

What does make a difference is that I can't thinic of a alngle decent, honest fair job of reporting on any political-aseassination stories that originated with the Times' national desl or DC burcau. There have been infrequent decent reporting jobs, far less than was justified, by metro desk reporters.

At every time there seems to be some new information not favorable to corrupt govemment on this subject the national desk comes to its rescue. Its record of the suppression of what by nomal standards are lecitimato stories is incredible and incredbily long. ragardiess of who presides. Post Mortem, for example, anc the lesse than honest way in which John dealt with me on it. If üohn knew his nationsl desk wantea a story he'd. have had no trouble finding one. I've your you the appondix pages I had noted for him to make this easy.

For a dozen years it has been no more than an agjunct of corruption in govermment.
The exceptions are when someone like you worked on the King case. You can roread some of those stories with pride. So can Leiferman and others. In fact, this is one of the things John argued with me, when I said the contemperaneous repting was excellent. I can't think of anything he didn't argue with me except that the shrink confirmed by amateur analysis of Jinny.

Again I lay awake last night after 3-4 hours of sleep. The prescribed pill didn't work. I knew I'd need it. between dozing thereafter $\%$ I did think about this. I suppose there ars a combination of factors involved in the dopth of py feeline. One is the traditional view I hold of the press and its responaibilities. Another is what I ann certain ell this corruptionf has done to the country, especially to the young. This kind of reporting/non-reporting becomes a new kind of MCCBFrthyism. The corruptions spreads and the same corrupters do the same in other arcas and get awsy with it because the same preas fadla to espose/report it. The same John, toc, when I told him he waa being had on his Nosenko story. I was right. On another subject he'd have been anxious to check it out. On this he was aatisfied he had good sources. Even though there came a time when he adm mitted hs is aware that the government wants very much to get favorable stories in the
 his not checking out a single one of thene things about which he admitted an awareness of the possibilityor decepticn. On no other story would he in not if he had avaiable means.

So I suppose all my foolings are magnifiod by what I soe hapiening to the country. I see a growing suthoritarianism tade possible. hy the sbaicatping press. This boiks dom in individuals, who have sons and daughters. I time their sons and daughters, some, will come to understand and to feel what their fathers have done, not with respect and admiration. One minor incident of this is within my recent experience. The kids 8 tharyiand had ssked me to appear fein a benefot for them to raise inoney so they could exercise their Constitutional rights. I agreed but said I couldn't drive there. Among themscives one valunteered. Nice gid. I'd never met him before. He wanted to stop off so his mother, who had read some of my work, could meet ne. She is a war bride, apparently, who chershes the ereat improvement she finds here. It happens that the father sells something we need and I said I'd buy it from him. What happened instead its that the father felt even an unknown relationship of purely conamercial neture could interfere with his business with the govornment and oriered his son to drop out of that student sroup. The son had to do it. It was not easy on him. The others hold him in contempt. If it is but one minor tragedy it is symptonatic of what troubles me much in all of this. I've seen much of it over the years.
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