Mr. Tom Susmari, Counsel
Administrative Practises Subcourittee
New Senate Oflice isldg.
Washington, D.C.
Dear Tom,
This is a briog POLA updata. I'm recovering from an apparently heavy phlebitie, which limits what I can do and zequifen that I get oloser to formal sleop. While I can waik some I can't stand arsh or let the lscrs hane peniant. Typing is uncomfortable because I heve to stradrile the trble and keep at least one leg up. I'd like to be more informative, if you vant more information. I've driven to washington only once in two months and it was too much. but I do get there by other means.
C.A. 75-225: vo have aereed to rive the Novemment a month more to file their response in return for their acreement not to oppose our motion to speed oral arguments. $A B$ I have told you, I believe Pratt and DI egain tried to rewrite tive law with the same case, for the spedirographic and neutron-activation arialyses. One mejor question is what constitutes complience. i was not aiven a single paper for which I askeu and sued. this is wricontosted ank is not addrossed in any of the govemphnit's aflicevits I proved vere otherwise perjurious. Fratt held that givine me some of wiat ticy haci as a substitute for what I sued for constituted compliance. I proved they had not delivered all they had as a substitute from the materiels they did supply. Our eppeal quoted the proof, under oath, that whet I seck did exist. I go intc the perjury in a fourth part added to POST MORTEI, which was rinted while I was in the hospital. In amouncing the book in a. well-attended and weli-reported prese conierence (except for the iew York Times, the Vashineston Post end Star, etc) tixat received extensive networic radio coverage I suid It accusas named witnesses of perjury, identified Cownsaion iawyers of suborning it, and ohnilenged any to pppear before any consitituted Concressionsl cormittee with both of us undar oath. (So far no takers but it and his own record os and on this to his face in a confrontation plus his reading of POAP KORTEM persuaded Belin to change his position.) I spent some time with Tim Ingram, wade much evailaiole to him and hoped his comittee would so this way. I'm willing to run the riaks, which are not of fact and law but of power. They apparently were not. If you want more on that hoarins Ifd prefer that you get it and a reading on it from Jim Lesar. This is the firat case filed under the new law. I think DJ is depending on the existing prejudices. This is one of the reasons that for so long I have been risking perjury charges to make and prove them. I hope the CA will regard perjury more seriousily than Pratt oid. In this case $\bar{I}$ have neither the capability nor the dispdftion to seek andous. Three promised lest time and all cop;ed out. Jim and I have not even diecussed it. If the Abzug cormittee had followed my sucbestion I think much oould have been accomplished. Perhaps the egony enced and the heeling begun.
C.A.75-144E: For the missing sxocutive eossion iranscripts. One at least deals with what the Comission supprossed, any mention of the unsantec information supplied by the Soviet dofocter Igor Nosenko. One under bo aay rolate to the supyressed and misrepresented JHF medical evidence. There are 10 other pages of enother sebsion. I'm going for all. Fothing new. Wo hearing set. We have not moved to expedite. Tink.
C.A.75-1996: This is for suppressed King ascassination FBI materials. They stonewalled for reasons of which I was certain - it destroys the prefabrifyted case acainst Ray and establishes a deliberate official fravemp. They may have intended giving de sol sthing because it was not fany days after I filed that they die deliver about 70 pages and some pictures. They also delivored proof of tho withholding and the existence of other evidence for which I anked. Jin is arrending it tomorrow to ask for nore now.

What I have is onouch to start all over with "new eviance" and it is incomplete but under the case alleged totslly exculpatory. We may amend befors the 6th circuit, where the case now is, if it will peruit. fim is conniderios this. I've zwested that wave tise and I've demander in writing all the suit calls for. Some time has passed with no response. There is not doubt that they've given me new proof, this time from the FBI's filas, of perjury by the nedical erarinom. I'd zorked out an arrangenent on thís King tatarial with Martin Waloron. He's no sooner reeelved Tinea aprovel then the fational peopie movea in. The latest indicstion is that they are trying to support the official position. A large staff is working on this. The series is several weoks late as of today. Waldron wes taken off the atory. He lis the one expert on the elbject or the Times steff. He elso covered both the guilty-ilee houring and the evidentiary hearing where wo for all practicel purposes aumolisined the stite's case. I inve rade comectione with others on this. Thay auait eiitoriel decigions. Fut we again have the Depertacht lying, this time over the siganture of Tyler.

CIA: After years of lettincs their draig it oizt go I might build a better record. I've finally gotton a tiny fraction of their files on me. I've appealed and we'll file as scon as the time runs. They were quite careleas, giving me proof that they lied to their emeral counsel, who needed no such help in lyine to us in person end in writing: I save en extonsive amount irom other scurces that they do not admit having. I have frou them proof thet they have mach mers than they have delivereci. This one slould. provit in somm new asieclosures. We'll follow with a claim for money bamages end that ons alto has considercile potentiel for no: disclosures. I'm not as far along with the same request to the MBI, which nust have enoruous files on me. No, I've never been...

I've olso tiflea a nomber of oimpler, individusl nuqueste of FBI. There jo an enormous Archives hackiog I'm not will cnouch to adirese. Not because I fol fafy I don't. I pan't work as continuously as tiat requires. I'm trying to thenk through a fomale by which I cen get around thise.

Congressionsl poaringe, both sides, kssaesinations and relntec. areas: You'di rowember I prodicted 'Like Epstein would soot twak to me. He dicin't shen and he won't now that the bing matter is hot again, less spontaneously than appears on the surface. He made dates on thes with Jin, who suid he wes the wrong wien, and didn't keep thome Kaybe ha'll try naxt week againatide from watever the herikers on both"sides may intend there is the serioun problea of no oombination of ataffers, no natter how brisht, encompassing so much phaterial in the time they've hade I believe the FiBI, kowing what Iay abaad, if not in the Ray appeal and my FoIA aut or what collia have raached the birch corinittee through othor moans, foci it, a faise treill that somed so much like a genuine coniession veryone was taker in. Hover did not piant tha story described. There never was any such story. I'va had my recolicetion choeked thoroughly. Their jurpose, I think, is to obscura an cven worse truth. Jim and I will work on this our way and have atarted and I've startod with sous reporters. If I'a right it will mean not that the FBI assabsinated King but was such aore zecponsible then the story they eave the coatiftee inuicates.

I'm not surpmised thet dil te cormitteee have been spinning their wheis on the assassinations. I've stayec anay frow all except when baskod in ard that was infrequently. I $\operatorname{can}^{\prime} t$ compete wh the lobwinf self-seckere who ane also extremely undependable and I await assurtunces of sincurity of purpose from others. There are few real experts on these subjasts but 玉isuy with unermod repatationg they exploit. The Nembers and truth and doing something sufier. The Church comittee has been practising the ridicuious.

Obvjously I don't know what they have. I know sow of what they've been coing as those involvel have let mo lmow. I Con't think much is accomplished now by presenting two contradiciony viensuintout provine which is laice. On beimg in a position to, the situation with the Edwards subcomotitee. Lone is a crook, a lazy man ozcept in promoting hicaclf and while quite able is also a liar who soon cen't cuatinguish ruality foom his Lies and inprovisations, even with personal kioviluge. He und Garrison jazzed up what that FBI clerk raslly did tell tane aftor one of Lenc's lectures. Attention was all either wantod, However, what the clerk did aay fitit perfectily with cother work I've done end not becn ablo to print. I gave some of the eviduce to anothor House subcomittee, which way or may not be following it up. I've not heera. If thero was rot such a telex


Schoikur asked me to go In. I did tha day $I$ went to the doctor ard I arned I Lave phlobitis. I rpent the moming with hif. Fic triod four of this theories on me. He asked me to knock them down. I did. I then told him all originate in my work, all are worth investigating and should be but not as is boginning i moouraced him to content himsolf to bagin with by uttecly and completcly dertroyin; the Warren teport. Without this, I said and believe, he'd lack the support he needs from his colloagues and the major vedia. I offored him all the contonts of POST WORTE, which soes this overwheluidigly, why the papises h ve not touchod the subutance. When I left I thought he
 They hadict even cone thet.

N11 seem to be copping out or taking Madison Averue with the hadison Avenue types. The odes, as I ses them, are egainst this ard I don't want any wore whitewaching or covertic up. I' 211 rave nothing to do with any of this. and couldit't be effective under these oircunatences anyway.

I told Schwaiker he dion't have to onecitt re or ger book and that I'd even give
 that they are all excite about and on which I don't think any wil2 get anywere without exueptiontai 之uok.

I'm sorry for the suffering this causes so many families but there appars to be nothing cocatructive I can co except continue as I have until a time when people aro sarious and honaet emough comss. I've more than enough proofs to broak both JF'K and King cases, whici is not to eny solve them. All it requires is respopsible attention. I hope Baselon gets serious ith the C.A. $75-226$ sppeal. It hold readi possibilities. top: you hote a cood hollday and a eood year.

Sincerely,

Hismola Westaberg

Tom Sysman P.S. 12/22/75
Following a report from someone in touch with Eastland people, that he would conduct some kind of assassination investigation, today I was told by an out-oftown reporter who has been woricing on the case that it is his inforwation that the way this is to be done is within the scheme of an inveatigation of "radical" ETOUPS.

Chapuan's stpry in yesterday's Washington Post ins a classic example of the major medie lending itself t由 Department of Disinformation operations, news management. This story poses no single correct question and unquestioningly repeats all the irrelevant answers.

Chapman was at the press conference I held on the 11 th at which I displayed the new evidence I had obtained and offered copies. Afterward he came up to me and we had a short discussion. This new evidence end his stories are incompatible.

Yesterday, after reading the story, I phoned the national desk of the Post to leave word for him. I was explicit in saying that the story indicated he trusted the word of untrustaorthy people, that in effect he and the Post had been inposed upoy. I was told he would be phoned and he would then phone me. It hasn't happenod.

I can't inagine anyPost national-desk aditor woophistiastod onough not to understond what thet unquestioning story accomplished, what ends it scrved.

Trase an the other things I've mentioned and what I know is coming all indicate that once again the past will be prologue.
Too bad,

