Dear Jim,

all and the second s

FIST/PA

1/26/78

Thanks for the copies of the Fal field office letters in response to the personal requests. I enclose a carbon in the event you want to take up what I will go into with anyone in Civil. On the chance you decide this is a constructive thing to do I will say less than I can.

N.O.

Some of these responses are false. I illustrate with Savanach's 1/9/79

Dirmingham's of 1/18 proves non-compliance by MQ, the other such field offices of 1996, and suggests other than the reasons given for claim to copyright withholding for the catalogues and the Person's writing on the Bay of Pigs.

Atlanta admits having records and does not provide copies. Date letter 1/17/70

Dallas is stonewalling. They avaded under date of December 30,1977 and delayed under date of 1/13/73. If you have not heard from them before you receive this they are in non-compliance. I suggest that if we are ever to bring these things to an end without surrendering to lawlessness and intent to delay me we should proceed with those not in compliance when it is clear that they are not. If they need more time they can be in accord with proper procedures and state it in writing and when they will respond. When Dallas acknowledged receipt of the 12/25/77 request under date of 1/13/78. Absent something out of the usual there should be response by the latest under date of 1/23/78.

New Orleans wrote you the same day, 1/13. It said the request "is being processed as quickly as possible." This means that processing was begun and that there is no claim to a need for more time for compliance. I feel about this as I do about Dallas.

But let us not kid ourselves about the reality. They are checking with 54 and working out what is embarrassing to them they they will seek to withhold if not his about. Now where they lie I may have a few surprises for them. One I mention with intended ellipsis is that they were indiscrete in their quest for a favorable press. I grass I can safely add more with regard to how Orleans: my 1969 letter to AG Mitchell about agents' intrusions into my life and work followed reports releved to me by a friend, Matt Herron, in when I'm sure there was special interest. We is the source of my Memphic taped interviews of the time of the king assassination. His is credited in <u>Frame-Up</u>. His personal life includes such adventures as being navigator of the ship <u>Greenstrings?Green-sout</u> thing, of the ecologists. From whales to nuclear explosions. The FBI insists its heaphis office has no record of what matt took there at the time of the "ing assassination. On this we now have enough to leave little doubt that if the FBI was the source of the letter to de from Criminal the FBI was not truthful with Grisinal. And there is the popular sythology that Garrison and I were buddies.

Be prepared for needless privacy claims. Some will be sued to disguise mischief of which I have proof.

I believe these are the kinds of reasons there has been no acknowledgement from some offices. They don't know what to do about the masty business and are awaiting word from 30G. Those offices which have not even written are in intended non-compliance. Failure to respond, if I am not mistaken, means you can go right to court. I'm willing. If you want to persuade or try to persuade people in Washington that someche has to drive those trampling elephants out of the jungles, how about a separate complaint for each office not in compliance? If they are going to continue to behave this way daramtizing it a bit might be informative to others who may look back on all of this. Where like the Congress. Or GAO examining into total eastes of time and money. If not people inside DJ, whose interest is long overdue. "mless by their detachment they declare themselves.

Best,





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No. 701 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 January 4, 1978

Mr. James H. Lesar Attorney at Law 910 Sixteenth Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Lesar:

Reference is made to your letter dated December 25, 1977, concerning the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of Mr. Harold Weisberg for records pertaining to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy and others.

This is to advise you that your letter has been received and has been referred to FBI Headquarters in Washington, D.C., for further processing. It is suggested that any further inquiry be directed to our FOIA Section at our headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Very truly yours,

FRANCIS M. MULLEN, JR. Special Agent in Charge

By Joseph P. Mc Malan JOSÉPH P. MC MAHON Supervisory Special Agent