

heard, FBI Reports/ FBI dependability, accuracy, distortions, etc MW 5/11/75

This is a caution for future writing and in particular for a to-be lawyer whose words and even inferences can determine what results in court, how judges and juries understand:

Bottom p. 204- "Mrs. Galvany was not called to testify, and the one statement by her to the FBI does not address this issue." I am sure you know the reality, that it is not her statement to the FBI you refer to but the FBI's representation of what she said, in turn limited by what they asked. As a matter of fact, we have no way of knowing if she was interviewed more than once by the FBI or by any other(s).

Seemingly minor distinctions like these can be the turning points of court and jury decisions.

Perhaps similarly in your handling (very good) of Carolyn Arnold. The Commission never had the original of her affidavit. There was no need for the FBI to retype any of them. I never followed up on my request for the original. Would you, if you have time, do this for me under FOIA? As with DeLoer's, we may find significant differences. You show your awareness of my point in quoting me (185) but take the FBI at face value (186). Similarly with the unqualified quote "she felt." Did or did she not know Oswald? These subtleties can be of utmost significance in court and otherwise to a lawyer and the lawyer's client.