Dear Jing Domestic Intelilgence/Suits in o/J/7\%
I'ls be rambling in tilis as I try to wecail anu report a number of sojarete but i think interrelated items. I hope that as you read this you will moke remdudera mi about what you think you should and somehow manage to keep traci of them.

If the past the traditional respone of the FBI when it was under criticiam (the CLA had escaped it) was to como up with some new sonaation, like spies or alloged spies who had been under aurvedllanes all alonge ky recollection is not clear on all of these cases but I do assume that many were legitimate eases of espionage. So, I would nut be a bit surpriaed if something like this is pulled now. There aro thosa inft who can couneel Kelley if he does not have the same instincta.

A logical variant more agoful today would be nose leind of senmational charge that need only seom crodible for a short period of time, one that it afjght be officially expected would fall apart after it serves its incediate public relations intentions. The possibilities are close to limitlees. Te question is daring and that is conditioned by perooived neod measured agginst ozpectahle countering by the beveral Congrassionsl con ittees and sone of the nem Mombers.

Teoterthy I cearil briofly Inom Zord Rowan. I bad cailed my aid news friend and In his absence was trensferred. Rowan was in New York, apparently working on the
 firet sogment of the roday show today, plus a longer dialogus with Zdwin wewan. I did make a for sugesestions and he said he was on dalinu and would call me today or tonorrow, that he did want us to sit down and talk. He remombere me from 1.0. , Whene during the Carristun dayg he was with a local atation. I asked him to akip today and malce it tomorrow because I have a vory long day today and want to try to app. Fe aid if ho could not matiey it Firday he'11 cell conday.

One of the thinga I've have to decide is whether to guve them the CIA domenticintelligonve front getap if they will not buy it. Have you advice on this?

Another, if he and NBC are vililing, is to give him the chance to take a test aase, me, and foliow it through whon there is the exdsting evidance and tha praven lies. (I also sugcested he try to have a friondly chat with vervtt Mam. I told his that the indlestions I have frow several conversations is tint Col. Hann did not apyrove these doing. I did not aee any account of Mann's Abzug aubcommitee testimony anywiera. Day before yesterciay.)

If you did not catch his roporta, he has a fice-source account of a nem sygtem whereby the various courputer systoma can be tranlated into the unique language of each, interlocked and fed and then disconnected with no records of the exhanges left.

He added tiona morniug in the dialogus tinat it stens from a co wundcatione problem after the JFK assessination and was Initiated on LBT's oxder to tied tha ${ }^{4}$ ational Security Council into the CIA dirvecily. I take it that this comeunications problem was tho breakedern fran overload of the DL phone systom. It lasted about 2 hours.

We now have proof of fallifications, lying and I think poryury by all of those me've asked for thoir recorits on ise. I ti.ink we should ss wocn as posubble get to a nov preparation in the light of the various disclosurea and loy the foundation for a broedor auft. combining all in one. I think thit $t$ is should begin with nou writm ten inquipies to all the oibinet-level heade of tho various agencies aith copies to the next leval, Scec levi copy to Kalley. And we ahould Invoke privacy act as well Has if not more than FOI. I belleve one the ner lem provisions is that Iying tolls the ruming of the statuto. One mascu for this is the pazacticel imposainility of knowing the dames of all the maxy military agencies alone. Another is that they have fronts, Boets, propristaries, etce an csin peotend disassociation, the pook way.

Hon seems to be a lost cause. "a is undepentable if only from his own affairs. Whatever the ruscon I thick se shouls seek other co-coursel as poon sis possibIo. Miti?

Heine case: I think that we now have enough to take a chance vith Judge Roszel Thossen, a conservative. He sealed the "elsis affidsvit(s) on the representation of national security. I think you should consider writins him and sending excerpts from the vailous transcripts, nut just the tuo most mecent, that all say these cats lie and awoar falsaly, alloging that that ha aloo was litod to. If yout vant you can add that in diacovery in the oivil suit tho Air s'roce ufthheld a file, or perhaps DJ did, but I movid d the ezact identification of the "ilo from han diacovery mat rial, asked for it and was lied to through harvoy Clapp, who then filled to sond me copiess of tho cerroopondenes si I askad. You or Diok heve there micorid. That was a lie Within his juriadiction and the inforaation was wine as a satter of right under the diacoviry wher him.

If thea Iails or parieps as an siltomativa, but I thinne esich a separatc step,
 false swearer aside from the theins cose.

Thoro is curvent falseloud by the present is ver. 1 counsel.
Fivere is the gine al truth from Dulies and Russell that they all lie and porjure.

## Ali of this also is rolevant to inillimme

 renponse I think it 5.8 tipe to eliminste the pasidbility of a tocminited inquiry/ request and of thoir resort to swantices and take the requot(s) whear both FOLA and the Irivect icte Thoy have to heve files on ae ganc back to the late 30s. Some can fally be alleged to be for lam-enforcement purposes. Uthers are security ino vestigations (Pnssed). Then thers were security cases. (Reversed in ny lavor.) I ras an invocent contwat with thoge in whou tiey had interest ans aud under sum veillanoe. I had liaison fonctions with the PBI when I was in ess. All prior to the presant. They did work on the first dawaga auit and one geont did corrupt wy ajajor witness, hita uncle, who later confegsen to wil and me and chereaitar never entered our homo arrin. What other inverti, 2 tora gotild the inaticr in taitimore nave? I did tura over information on zore than one accasiond. There simpiy is no posaiofility that. Astdo frox what is dended apncifilasliy, there swe no filue on co. I can add detadls, but this would becoiss one of $t$ ose oral histories in which wrone is interested.

CIA: Spocizicaliy adid matl interception or intrusion. Finclide Post viflce? I still have the overt frum case ovidonce sacied as roturned and these were supposedly investigations, ane at Byatiatown shi on after wo sovei henes. I was toldin both cases that there was no zasil interferencu and now frod the Cotter testimeny thero is no possibility of doubt that there was. Gn tilis I aliso have the correapondence with ny lititiah agent and there ano two probabit relovant incideniest

Verivai: Collins had given editorial approval to Whitowash in 1965, asked a readtns of John Sparrow (whose donisl as I recall was le :a than completor with
derinuitive when I raised this witi him after his article) who recomonded agninst it.
Writtent Lealia Frewin had gone for whitewaw and was actually mriting the contract when he wets reci bum intomation.

Spoculativas if Jewman was CTA, as JP noons to think there is ryaern to beliovo,
 Malcom $\AA$ mas a beat seller and they had fine distribution.

The rest you know. You do with Arwy anc we now have proof of Iying. Ditto for Air Porce. They aan all retrieve now.
(I belicve the lan also segs that in there is lying sosto can't be assossed.)
Fimingz with disclosure of not leas then $15,000,000$ computerized files added to what has already come out the possibility of a, flood os suits and en official determination that thore are so many zothing can be done can t be ignored. I think thase iupel an affort at speed, which would seem to be not easy. Wo are into too anoh we enn't drop. This again indicates the noed for oomcouncel. I think the ACLJ is a loat cause on this. Ditto from Ron, who has been silent for three weeks. Howevar, I also thick the possibilities are exceptionally good. Aside from what we have and the changing attitudes toward
what I've bsen dping, the record in and the fact of these five FOI cases, whether or not there ever is reference to them, will tell even tho judges that there is no possibility that there has been no inproper interest in me.

I'ril not auggesting that this would overcome either press or judicial prejudice but I an sugesting that there might well be expectation that something might out and be omberrassing because of it.

There are a number of conflicting considerations.
On balance I think the situation is Iavorable and the prospecta good, including for eash rewovery, which we both need urgantly.

I thus also think that theae kinds of endoavors should teke miority, if only because they can make a oignificant difference in what we can be able to do with the other interests we share.

All we do and uhat to cannot do are controlled by our reaources. Be have none. These aases agn provide them.
I, for exanplog mili scon face reprintinc needs and costs. 政 will cost nore than Wd IV and I may want to add, not only to extond the copyright but because an addition could be indicated.

I have to prepare to loave on an errand.
I'll try to write you separately today on Kagell.
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