

Crutcher - book reviewed

in and FBI investigation records of same. Harold Weinberg 6/19/80

As is true in most cases, there is overlap between my in and FBI records regarding -
in all cases considered by various sides of these records by the FBI, for its special
political purposes. Distorted references to my alleged past and FBI records pertinent
to it are included in the FBI and my records to which I refer.

Two of my appearances covered at the FBI at various agencies were on radio-TV, New
York, and WVA radio, Arlington, Va. The radio station is in the Washington/Baltimore
office territory. I filed requests of all 50 field offices. How many the FBI returns to
as "an established source" at the TV station it obtained both tape and transcript of
the "Minority Report" broadcast, later syndicated, (62-10,060-4395). While 62-10,060-
6586 is a tape of the WVA broadcast, other records indicate that the recording was
done on a Sunday by the Lab and that a transcript, not provided, was maintained to
the Minority Report, serial 4235 states that the Domestic Intelligence, Laboratory and
General Investigative Divisions are to review the transcript and provide rough drafts
of reviews, which the Criminal Section of CID was to coordinate and incorporate in a
rough memo. (This is a function of the Lab to which I've referred in earlier and ignored
appeals - not the usual laboratory function.)

These drafts have not been provided under either request. ~~Relevant to appeals~~
The normal function of the Civil Rights Section of CID would not appear to include
"revising" transcripts of broadcasts of critics of the FBI, later to be coordinated with
other such reviews by a different part of FBI.

Now that a decade has passed and in some respects my views have changed as I've
learned more - often from previously withheld records. In some respects the FBI distorts.
Regardless, I am anxious to preserve all possible, including any confidential and representa-
tions, for the future. I therefore decide what is withheld.

The tape recordings are FBI, the records are serious. With Harold mostly absent
every place where there was rebroadcast where there is a field office may have pertinent
records. Initial broadcasts were in ^{NY}New York and Washington (WDC), where a well-known

The "context"/assert was very aggressively pursued, her anonymity unindicated by her public denunciations. Other records reflect the fact that certain testimony was used in the JFK propaganda activities of the FBI.

It is noted that she did not provide OJG in response to my FO request. While it does not contain a name, or the date of issue, the other pertinent records do. It was not possible for the Bureau to locate the other records referred to in this case in what was provided under the request.

While the date, name, OJG, is included in the FO response, the date was not. A check of the response indicates nothing was provided. What was used as the basis for that date was not provided.

It is apparent, not for the first time, that the farout duties not normally those of ^a ~~the~~ laboratory ^{lab} no regular/assigned and have to comply with both my FO and subject's letter requests and this my and does include the right to make such requests.