loch up

To Quin Mea from Harold Weisberg, JFK assessination records appeals 6/21/79

The flap ever suppression of Oswald's visit to Bb FO prior to assessination and leaving a note said to have been threatening; destruction of that note; the FRI's self@investigation of this in 1975; Hosty's unthruth-funces and FEK telerence of it.

FRIEQ and Dallas records

As my prior appeals reflect I regard this as a serious matter. "t is of interest to me in my own planned work and writing as well as because of its great historical importance. The more elaborate optioning is also intended to provide an encapsulation prior to consultation with prior appeals.

I am not now rereading these prior appeals bu plan to do so soon.

Before the Warren Commission and in the FRI's so-called internal investigation (which was far from an investigation) Hosty testified that he did nothing about the Oswald case because he was awaiting the rouns of the case from New Orleans, to which it had been transferred, and that this semshow was long delayed. I informed you there was reason to believe his explanation was not truthful. It is now, I believe, also clear that FRING was aware of this.

Although they are separateed in time by almost a month the two pages both marked 105-82555-54, of 7/17 and 8/13/63 are both from NO and both identify Dallas, not N.O., as Office of Origin. In both recerds N.O. did this in the captioning, with regard to both Oswalds, and it is written on one, apparently by N.O. SA Milton Kasok. (FII, he is one office the Sis who resigned mather than accept a transfer as disciplining over this Oswald matter.)

The appear to be N.O. copies sent to FEIRQ. In the copying the N.O. 100-16604 serial numbers are eliminated.

While these records are not the only means by which FRISQ knew that what the Generication and its own inspectional service were told what is not the truth (and first in fact Inspector Gale was in touch with H.O. SAG as early as 11/26/63, the Stat working day after the assaudination day) I believe they leave no doubt about (a) the untruthfulness and (b) that FRIEQ was withing. Both provide ample notive for the initial suppression and for the centinuing withholdings I have appealed.