

5-1 b. b. - 68
**CLAY WIRETAP
HEARING ENDS**

Lawyers Submit Briefs;
No Decision Yet

By B. F. KELLUM

HOUSTON, Tex. (AP) — A federal judge ended a five-day Supreme Court-ordered wire-tap hearing involving heavyweight boxing ex-champion Cassius Clay Friday but reserved the right to re-open it.

Judge Joe Ingraham handed down no ruling. Instead, he ordered government and defense lawyers to submit briefs.

The judge will rule or re-open the hearing after studying the briefs.

The hearing was ordered by the high court March 24 to determine if illegal government wiretapping played any part in the conviction of Clay on a charge of refusing to be inducted into the Army.

Clay was assessed a 5-year prison sentence and fined \$10,000 by Ingraham June 20, 1967. Clay, who appealed his conviction to the Supreme Court, claimed draft exemption as a conscientious objector and Black Muslim minister.

Ingraham denied defense motions for the producing of additional documents and witnesses but reserved the right to allow the motions after studying the briefs.

During the hearing, four wiretap summaries of Clay telephone conversations were admitted as evidence. One of the summaries involved Clay and the late civil rights leader, Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Another involved Clay and Elijah Muhammad, leader of the Black

Muslims.

SEALED ENVELOPE

Ingraham had a fifth summary in a sealed envelope but refused to admit it into the record on grounds such action could endanger national security.

The government also produced witnesses including FBI agents and former agents who testified they supervised the monitoring of telephone calls involving Clay, Dr. King and Black Muslim officials.

Charles Morgan Jr., of Atlanta, Ga., Clay's chief attorney, again made a motion that Clay's indictment be quashed, his conviction set aside and the case remanded to the selective service board in Louisville, Ky. The judge did not act on the motion.

GIVES SUMMARY

"I think we have made a full development in the case," Ingraham stated. "The defendant has had an opportunity to cross-examine the government witnesses in connection with wire-tapping."

Morgan said the government has shown the evidence was "tainted and illegal."

John S. Martin, a Justice Department attorney, said the hearing "has totally satisfied the Supreme Court requirement."

The summaries of the tapes held nothing of interest, but Clay's defense contended they proved that he indeed was a minister.