**Clark Says Hoover Should Retire Now** 

> By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writer

Attorney General, on a CBS news program in Former Ramsey Clark said yesterday elaboration on his assertion that the controversy over FBI Thursday that Hoover had givwiretapping of the late Rev. en a misleading impression Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. that he had been the "reluchas convinced him that Direc- tant eavesdropper" of Dr. tor J. Edgar Hoover should re- King. Clark said that Hoover tire.

suggestion, umprece-The dented from a former Government official, was not considered likely to stir the 74-yearold Hoover to quit his job. For the first time in three days neither Hoover nor his aides had anything to say to any newsmen.

"I think perhaps the time has come when he should retire," Clark said in a televised interview, "both in the interests of his own career, which has been distinguished, and in the interests of the FBI, which has been a great investigative agency.

Clark made the suggestion

sought permission to resume eavesdropping of Dr. King as late as two days before the

civil rights leader was assassinated last year. The former Attorney General was not available for further comment yesterday, but it was learned that he has documentation for his claim that Hoover kept after him about

Dr. King. The man who was Hoover and Clark's boss, former President Johnson, assured Hoover that although past retirement age he could stay in office "as long as I am in the White House.'

See BUG, A17, Col. 7

## THE WASHINGTON POST 6961 'IZ anul 'Yobruto2 A17

## **Clark Is 'Convince Hoover Should O**

## BUG, From A1

And at his Thursday night Another former Attorney press conference Mr. Nixon General, Nicholas deB. Katthere had been no discussion of his tenure, Mr. Nixon went

The White House, as part of an apparent Administrationwide effort to cool down the argument, refused all comment yesterday when asked how far Mr. Nixon meant to go in backing up Hoover. By yesterday the issue had become, not whether, the late Robert F. Kennedy authorized the wiretaps, which no one disputes, but whether it was he or Hoover who pressed for their use and which man doubted Dr. King's loyalty.

The Justice Department would not say whether Hoover acted with the knowledge or approval of his nominal supe-Attorney General John rior. N. Mitchell, in making disclosures about the wiretapping affair that Clark labeled "both

terribly unfair and deceptive" and carefully selected.

said the Director enjoyed "my zenbach, along with other forcomplete confidence" and that mer Kennedy associates, acknowledge that Kennedy did approve tapping Dr. King's on to say, in words that that the tapping was done in phone in 1963, but they insist seemed to back Hoover's side response to Hoover's requests his personal obeck showed for two years and in an at-that all FBI eavesdrops had tempt to dispel Hoover's received the approval of the charges that Dr. King was a security risk. An aide to Hoover said the

Director would have no reply to Clark's suggestion. He said Hoover would not grant an interview nor make any comment to The Washington Post. Asked whether Hoover might talk to The Washington Post at some future time, the aide said he had "no way of knowing.'

Syndicated columnist Carl T Rowan, whose published charges first provoked the FBI into stating that the wiretap had been approved by Kennedy for an "internal security investigation," charged yesterday that the FBI main-tained some sort of electronic surveillance of Dr. King well past President Johnson's June, 1965. order prohibiting the practice.

Rowan said that he read FBI surveillance reports on Dr. King "long after" the date of April 30, 1965, when Hoover said the wiretaps terminated. He accused the FBI of evading the thrust of his charge of illegal snooping by refusing to answer further pertinent question he had raised.

In response to questions, Rowan said he read the reports while still director of the United States Information Agency, a post he left in Sep-tember, 1965. He said he could not tell from the reports what type of surveillance was involved, but he said the dates and events definitely indicated that the reports were current.