To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg King assessination records 12/16/78
Privacy requests

Thank you for resinding so that Er. Lesar did in fact file the appeals to the Maltimore and Los Angeles Field "ffice with regard to my Privacy act request of 1975. This also remindess that when there was total stonewalling from the field Effices, as distinguished from extensive and continuing stonewalling by FEIRL, "r. "esar also repeates the request to all field offices for me because that was beyond my capability.

If you will be kind enough to reread fir. "east" a specia of January 24 and 31 of this year you will find that for the most part they are knowed.

He also referred to the Washington Field Office. There is no reference to this in the few pages of records Er. McCreight sent redently. And no MFO records.

There is no reference to the still withheld fecords referred to. Nos is there any desial of their existence.

When so such time passes and then the FBI goes out of its way not to identify requests in any way and with me almost always refuses to include the sequential numbers, it is not difficulty to get them mixed up. I presume this is FBI intent, otherwise it would properly and clearly identify each request and communication to the exclusion of all others.

Baltimore is clearly and deliberately not complying with this and with the sing request/apparl because, as you now know, it withhold its outeness in associating me with two bank rebberies, unless FRIMO decided that. Considering its earlier fabrications I suppose I could regard filing me with bank rebberies as kindness by the FRI. However, all such records are within my PA request and in my marcer of alleged association with bank rebberies, surprisingly enough, with the sing investigation. For that matter, although I have written you and the FRI about it often enough and premises were made to the judge more than a year ago, RFO still has not returned the photo and eketch I leaned the FRI instapril 1968. Nor, I am confident, all relevant records.

Hr. Legar asked what lew was being enforced. The name of the local SA was withheld from a memo forwarding Minuteren records I loaned the PBI. There was excision from the copy of my letter to the editor of the local paper over the firing of a caciologist who apparently failed to refer to the Founding Director as St. Edgar. I repeat the unanswered question, what laws were being enforced to make any Exemption 7 claim applicable?

I was not a candidate for government exployment and have every reason to believe that nobody was considering hiring me without speaking to me about it. So how does Examption 1 become applicable?

When may I expect response to the actual appeals of about a year ago rather than a Bow more of the many withhold records? And would you mind nudging Falls and the Springfield F.C. about that I don't recall even from dream, my nilogad accordation withbank robberies? No copies elsewhere, like Memphis, Chicago, St. "ouis?

44-149