Hs. Jessica L. Fandrich 3020 Rovere Road Plover, WI 54467 8/4/95

Dear lis. Fandrich,

11

I ou ask what I may be able to suggest about Joe McCarthy's motives rather arbiguously and say that "rofessor Wroke "will not comment on," not, I'm wrong, you say that you "realized that" McCarthy's motives were not personal. I think that is type only as it relates to his targets and victims. In that sense he was not being personal by intent perhaps. But in the overall what he was up to was for his personal political befiefit, I believe.

He was not new in that ugly and anti-American business. ^{He} merely was even less inhibited and more irresponsible than those who prefeded himm most of all Martin Dies. Before Dies there was the EcCormqck-Dickstein committee an "unAmerican" activities, and when it hit reak pay dirt, an actual actempt to overherw FDR, it chickened out. John McCormack, from Mass., Mwas later Speaker of the House. Sam Dickstein, of New York City, was his vice chairman. It was when Richard Nixon got appointed to the Dies committee that he took off as he did in Dies' steps and those of the men who preceded Dies and his associated anti-Americans, which they all were.

McCarthy saw how the others had profited politically from that ugly business and practised it hi mself.

I see now that I was not wrong above. Wrone may have his reasons for not giving you that comment.

I think that McCarthy's record after he went off on that **atak** tack was consistent. For example, he tried to make a case that our soldiers were responsible for a massacre of Mazis at as I re all the battle of Malmedy in Worlds War II. That had nothing at all to with with his red scare. That was openly pro-Mazi. It is one of the factors that can make an argument that ^{McC}arthy had turned in that political direction. It can also have been intended as an appeal to voters of German ancestry. If so that also was **argument** unprincipled.

Heenrhy ceftainly was aware that the red scare made careers or contributed much to that. Not only with the committees above and their counterparts in the Senate. J. Edgar Hoover's career got started when he was an important part of the redhunts after World War I. Those were under then then attorney general, A. Mitchell Palmer. They could not have been more unconstitutional. With forced deportations without due process, among other abuses.

I think that McCarthy's motivations were both personal political benefit and the change in his own political views toward maxism. I made no study of him but I tried to pay some attention to what he was doing and I knew people who were hisfriends and

heard a little from them. I hope this is helpful. Sincerely,

Hardewesly

Mr. Harold Weisberg Rural Route 12 Old Receiver Road Frederick MD, 21702

Subject: Senator Joseph R. McCarthy

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Recently, I have been doing research on the Citizens vs. McCarthy movement in Wisconsin. Unfortunately, it has been shadowed by the "Joe Must Go Movement" and left out of Wisconsin's history. I realized that the Senator's motive's were not personal and, Professor David Wrone suggested that you might be able to comment on the motives behind McCarthy's actions.

Professor Wrone has directed my research and provided me with invaluable information; however, will not comment on his personal opinion regarding the motivation of Senator McCarthy. If you have any personal comments or suggestions on further information that I could read as a reference it would be greatly appreciated.

In the Fall of 1994, I took Professor Wrone's course on the Kennedy assassination and, I enjoyed reading your books <u>Selections From Whitewash</u>, <u>Case Closed</u>, and I just started <u>Never</u> <u>Again</u>. It helped spark my interest in political cover-ups, keep up the good work.

Sincerely, Fandrich ware

Jessica L. Fandrich 3020 Revere Road Plover WI, 54467